

Filed: October 20, 2023

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2022-01222  
Patent 8,982,863 B1

---

**PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| I.    | INTRODUCTION .....  | 1  |
| II.   | PETITIONER STILL FAILS TO SHOW ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ALLEGED “SERVER FUNCTIONALITY” AND “ROUTING FUNCTIONALITY” .....  | 1  |
| A.    | The “Server” And “Network Switch Box” Are Distinct Components Of The Claims .....   | 1  |
| B.    | Petitioner Fails To Show That The Alleged “Server Functionality” Is Distinct From The Alleged “Routing Functionality” ..... | 2  |
| III.  | PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW THE ALLEGED COMBINATION MEETS LIMITATION 1[D] UNDER ITS “SERVER FUNCTIONALITY” THEORY .....        | 8  |
| IV.   | PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW THE ALLEGED COMBINATION MEETS LIMITATION 14[E] UNDER ITS “SERVER FUNCTIONALITY” THEORY .....       | 10 |
| V.    | PETITIONER’S “ACCESS SERVER” THEORY FAILS .....   | 12 |
| A.    | Petitioner Lacks A Reasoned Explanation For A Missing Claim Element.....  | 12 |
| B.    | The Alleged Combination Fails to Teach or Suggest All Limitations of Claim 1 Under the “Access Server” Theory .....         | 14 |
| C.    | The Alleged Combination Fails To Teach Or Suggest Limitation 14[e] Under The “Access Server” Theory .....                   | 14 |
| VI.   | PETITIONER DOES NOT CONTEST THAT ITS “ACCESS SERVER” THEORY IS INAPPLICABLE TO CLAIMS 4-6, 19, AND 24.....                  | 16 |
| VII.  | PETITIONER CANNOT REWRITE CLAIM 4 TO FIX THE PETITION’S SHORTCOMINGS .....  | 16 |
| VIII. | PETITIONER’S CHALLENGE TO CLAIM 6 FAILS BECAUSE IT CANNOT SHOW A SWITCH IN RESPONSE TO AN APPLICATION .....                 | 19 |

IX. AHOPELTO DOES NOT DEFINE AN OPTIMAL DATA PATH  
FOR A SPECIFIC DATA STREAM AS RECITED IN CLAIM 19.....21

X. HARDWICK AND SOOD ARE NOT ANALOGOUS ART .....23

XI. CONCLUSION.....25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| <i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> ,<br>832 F.3d 1355 (Fed Cir. 2016).....   | 12, 14   |
| <i>Becton Becton, Dickinson &amp; Co. v. Tyco Healthcare. Group, LP</i> ,<br>616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....                     | 1, 2     |
| <i>Boart Longyear Ltd. v. Australian Mud Co., Pty Ltd.</i> ,<br>IPR2019-01129, Paper 26 (PTAB Nov. 20, 2020).....                    | 11, 20   |
| <i>Gamber-Johnson LLC v. Nat’l Prod. Inc.</i> ,<br>IPR2021-01159, Paper 7 (PTAB Jan. 3, 2022).....                                   | 16       |
| <i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> ,<br>815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....  | 16       |
| <i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> ,<br>821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....                                | 8, 9, 22 |
| <i>Kinetic Techs., Inc., v. Skyworks Sols., Inc.</i> ,<br>IPR2014-00690, Paper 8 (PTAB Oct. 23, 2014).....                           | 10, 13   |
| <i>Packers Plus Energy Servs. Inc. v. Baker Hughes Oilfield Operation, LLC</i> ,<br>IPR2016-01000, Paper 34 (PTAB Nov. 6, 2017)..... | 8        |
| <i>PAR Pharm., Inc. v. TWI Pharms., Inc.</i> ,<br>773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....  | 14       |
| <i>Perfect Web, Techs., Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc.</i> ,<br>587 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....   | 13       |
| <i>Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GMBH v. Mylan Pharms Inc.</i> ,<br>66 F.4th 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2023) .....                                | 24       |
| <i>SIMO Holdings Inc. v. Hong Kong uCloudlink Network Tech. Ltd.</i> ,<br>983 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....                        | 17       |
| <i>SupreGuide Corp. v. DirecTV Enterprises, Inc.</i> ,<br>358 F.3d 870 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....   | 17       |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <i>TQ Delta, LLC v. CISCO., Inc.</i> ,<br>942 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....                     | 11         |
| <i>Traxcell Techs., LLC v. Sprint Commc'ns Co. LP</i> ,<br>15 F.4th 1121 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....   | 21         |
| <i>Univ. of Strathclyde v. Clear-Vu Lighting LLC</i> ,<br>17 F.4th 155 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....      | 14         |
| <i>Workspot, Inc. v. Citrix Sys., Inc.</i> ,<br>IPR2019-01002, Paper 39 (PTAB Nov. 17, 2020)..... | 2, 3, 6, 7 |

### **Other Authorities**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Antonin Scalia & Bryan A. Garner,<br><i>READING LAW: THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS</i> § 19 (2012) ..... | 18 |
| U.S. Patent Trial and Appeal Board,<br>Consolidated Trial Practice Guide 73 (Nov. 2019) .....                | 25 |

### **Regulations**

|                          |    |
|--------------------------|----|
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 ..... | 16 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.23 .....  | 25 |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.