

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Sanjay K. Rao, et al.
U.S. Patent No.: 8,982,863 Attorney Docket No.: 52959.103R863
Issue Date: March 17, 2015
Appl. Serial No.: 14/493,343
Filing Date: September 22, 2014
Title: CONTROLLER AND SERVER SYSTEM FOR NETWORKING

DECLARATION OF DR. MICHAEL ALLEN JENSEN

Table of Contents

I. ASSIGNMENT.....4

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....5

III. OVERVIEW OF CONCLUSIONS FORMED10

IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART14

V. LEGAL STANDARDS15

 A. Claim Construction.....15

 B. Legal Standards for Obviousness16

VI. The '863 Patent.....21

 A. Overview of the '863 Patent.....21

 B. File History of the '863 Patent23

 C. Claim Construction.....25

VII.SUMMARY OF THE CITED PRIOR ART27

 A. Overview of Ahopelto27

 B. Overview of Matero.....31

 C. Overview of Hardwick32

 D. Overview of Sood.....33

VIII.ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF AHOPELTO AND MATERO
(GROUND I).....33

 A. Combination of Ahopelto and Matero.....33

 B. Claim 137

 C. Claim 267

 D. Claim 372

 E. Claim 477

 F. Claim 578

 G. Claim 680

 H. Claim 882

 I. Claim 1084

 J. Claim 1187

 K. Claim 1490

 L. Claim 19104

M. Claim 24106

IX. ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF AHOPELTO, MATERO, AND
HARDWICK (GROUND II)109

 A. Combination of Ahopelto, Matero, and Hardwick.....109

 B. Claim 7111

 C. Claim 9113

X. ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF AHOPELTO, MATERO, AND SOOD
(GROUND III).....115

 A. Combination of Ahopelto, Matero, and Sood115

 B. Claim 12118

XI. CONCLUSION.....122

I, Michael A. Jensen declare as follows:

I. ASSIGNMENT

1. I have been retained as a technical expert by counsel on behalf of Apple Inc. (“Petitioner”). I understand that Petitioner is requesting that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board institute an inter partes review (“IPR”) proceeding with respect to U.S. Patent No. 8,982,863 (“the ’863 patent”).

2. I have been asked to provide my independent analysis of the ’863 patent in light of the prior art publications cited in Section VII below.

3. I am not, and never have been, an employee of Petitioner. I received no compensation for this Declaration beyond my normal hourly compensation based on my time actually spent analyzing the ’863 patent, the prior art publications cited in Section VII below, and issues related thereto, and I will not receive any added compensation based on the outcome of any IPR or other proceeding involving the ’863 patent.

4. My analysis here is based on my years of education, research and experience, as well as my investigation and study of relevant materials, including those cited here. I may rely upon these materials, my knowledge and experience, and/or additional materials to rebut arguments raised by the Patent Owner. Further, I may also consider additional documents and information in forming any

necessary opinions, including documents that may not yet have been provided to me.

5. My analysis of the materials produced in this proceeding is ongoing and I will continue to review any new material as it is provided. This declaration represents only those opinions I have formed to date. I reserve the right to revise, supplement, and/or amend my opinions stated here based on new information and on my continuing analysis of the materials already provided.

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

6. I have personal knowledge or have developed knowledge of these technologies based upon education, training, or experience, of the matters set forth herein.

7. A detailed description of my professional qualifications, including a listing of my specialties/expertise and professional activities, is contained in my *curriculum vitae*, a copy of which is provided as Exhibit 1004. Below is a short summary of my professional qualifications.

8. I earned a Bachelor of Science (B.S.) degree and a Master of Science (M.S.) degree in Electrical Engineering from Brigham Young University in 1990 and 1991, respectively, and a Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of California, Los Angeles in 1994.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.