

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

MOZIDO CORFIRE-KOREA, LTD.,

Patent Owner

---

Case No.: IPR2022-01149  
U.S. Patent No. 10,223,692

---

Title: METHOD FOR SETTING TEMPORARY PAYMENT CARD  
AND MOBILE DEVICE APPLYING THE SAME

---

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D.**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. QUALIFICATIONS .....	2
III. COMPENSATION .....	4
IV. MATERIALS CONSIDERED .....	5
V. SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS .....	6
VI. LEGAL PRINCIPLES .....	7
VII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	10
VIII. BACKGROUND .....	11
IX. SUMMARY OF THE '692 PATENT .....	12
X. THE '692 PROSECUTION HISTORY .....	14
XI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	14
A. “from the first portion of the screen” .....	14
B. Printed Matter .....	19
XII. SUMMARY OF THE ASSERTED REFERENCES .....	20
A. Hertel et al. U.S. 2009/0288012, Ex. APPL-1005 (“Hertel”) .....	20
B. Chitti at al. U.S. 2009/0037326, Ex. APPL-1006 (“Chitti”) .....	22
C. Spodak U.S. 2012/0123937, Ex. APPL-10078 (“Spodak”) .....	23
D. Tedesco et al. U.S. 8,296,686, Ex. APPL-1007 (“Tedesco”) .....	26
E. Bierbaum et al. U.S. 7,967,196, Ex. APPL-1010 (“Bierbaum”) .....	27
F. Grigg et al. U.S. 2012/0197743, Ex. APPL-1012 (“Grigg”) .....	28
G. Ording et al. U.S. 2009/0183120, Ex. APPL-1016 (“Ording”) .....	28
H. Roman et al. U.S. 9,116,596, Ex. APPL-1017 (“Roman”) .....	30
XIII. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-4 AND 11-13 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF HERTEL, CHITTI, SPODAK, AND TEDESCO .....	31
A. Claim 1 Would Not Have Been Obvious in View of Hertel, Chitti, Spodak, and Tedesco .....	33

1.	Limitation 1.0: “A method for setting a temporary payment card, comprising” .....	33
2.	Limitation 1.4: “based upon the user input sliding the mobile payment card, setting, as a temporary card, the mobile payment card, wherein while the mobile payment card is set as the temporary card, payments will be made by the mobile payment card” .....	34
3.	Limitation 1.5: “displaying a numerical indicator of a payable time, wherein the numerical indicator initially indicates a first remaining time amount” .....	34
4.	Limitations 1.6.1, 1.6.2: “simultaneously: moving the mobile payment card a first distance from the first portion of the screen towards a second portion of the touch screen” .....	35
5.	Limitations 1.6.1, 1.6.3: “simultaneously: ... decrementing the numerical indicator a first difference to display a remaining payable time” .....	36
6.	Limitation 1.7.1: “wherein the first distance is proportional to an amount of payable time that has passed” .....	36
7.	Limitation 1.7.2: “wherein ... the first difference is proportional to the amount of payable time that has passed” .....	37
8.	Limitation 1.8: “resetting the setting of the temporary payment card when the payable time passes such that the mobile payment card is no longer set as the temporary card and payments are made through a main card” .....	37
B.	Claim 2 .....	38
1.	Limitation 2.0: “The method of claim 1, further comprising:” .....	38

2.	Limitations 2.1, 2.2: “detecting the user input sliding the mobile payment card from the second portion of the touch screen interface to an original position within the first portion of touch screen interface; based upon the mobile payment card being moved to the original position by the user, resetting the setting of the temporary payment card.” .....	38
C.	Claim 3 .....	41
1.	Limitation 3.1: “The method of claim 1, further comprising, when a payment is made within the payable time, resetting the setting of the temporary payment card.” .....	41
D.	Claim 4 .....	41
1.	Limitation 4.0: “The method of claim 1, further comprising:” .....	41
2.	Limitation 4.2: “based upon the determination that the payable time has passed, displaying the mobile payment card being moved to an original position.” .....	41
E.	Claim 11 .....	42
1.	Limitation 11.0: “The method of claim 1, further comprising:” .....	42
F.	Claim 12 .....	43
1.	Limitation 12.1: “The method of claim 11, further comprising, when a usable time passes, setting the additional service to be disabled.” .....	43
G.	Claim 13 .....	44
XIV.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 5-6 AND 10 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER HERTEL IN VIEW OF CHITTI, SPODAK, TEDESCO AND BIERBAUM .....	44
A.	Claim 5 .....	45
1.	Limitation 5.1: “The method of claim 1, further comprising, when the mobile payment card set as the temporary payment card is moved again by the user prior to the payable time passing, extending the payable time.” .....	45
B.	Claim 6 .....	47

...

1.	Limitation 6.1: “The method of claim 5, wherein the movement by the user in the setting operation is performed in the same method as the movement by the user in the extending operation.” .....	47
C.	Claim 10 .....	48
1.	Limitation 10.1: “The method of claim 1, further comprising, when the remaining payable time is shorter than or equal to a threshold, outputting an alarm.” .....	48
XV.	GROUND 3: CLAIM 7 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER HERTEL IN VIEW OF CHITTI, SPODAK, TEDESCO, BIERBAUM AND GRIGG.....	48
A.	Claim 7 .....	48
1.	Limitation 7.1: “The method of claim 1, further comprising, when the mobile payment card set as the temporary payment card is moved again by the user prior to the payable time passing, extending the payable time.” .....	48
XVI.	GROUND 4: CLAIM 8 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER HERTEL IN VIEW OF CHITTI, SPODAK, TEDESCO, AND ORDING.....	50
A.	Claim 8 .....	50
1.	Limitation 8.1: “The method of claim 1, further comprising, when the payable time passes, making the mobile payment card moved by the user disappear.” .....	50
XVII.	GROUND 5: CLAIM 9 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER HERTEL IN VIEW OF CHITTI, SPODAK, TEDESCO, AND ROMAN.....	51
A.	Claim 9 .....	51
1.	Limitation 9.1: “The method of claim 1, further comprising making the mobile payment card moved by the user gradually disappear according to a remaining payable time.” .....	51
XVIII.	CONCLUSIONS .....	52

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.