

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

MOZIDO CORFIRE-KOREA, LTD.,

Patent Owner

---

Case No.: IPR2022-01149

U.S. Patent No. 10,223,692

Title: METHOD FOR SETTING TEMPORARY PAYMENT CARD  
AND MOBILE DEVICE APPLYING THE SAME

---

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D.**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. QUALIFICATIONS .....	2
III. COMPENSATION .....	4
IV. MATERIALS CONSIDERED .....	5
V. SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS .....	6
VI. LEGAL PRINCIPLES .....	7
VII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	10
VIII. SUMMARY OF THE '692 PATENT .....	11
IX. THE '692 PROSECUTION HISTORY .....	15
X. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	15
A. "temporary card"/"temporary payment card" .....	16
B. "screen" terms .....	16
C. "moving the mobile payment card a first distance from the first portion of the screen towards a second portion of the touch screen" .....	23
D. Printed Matter .....	24
XI. SUMMARY OF THE ASSERTED REFERENCES .....	25
XII. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-4 AND 11-13 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF HERTEL, CHITTI, SPODAK, AND TEDESCO .....	38
A. Claim 1 Would Not Have Been Obvious Over Hertel, Chitti, Spodak, and Tedesco .....	40
1. Limitation 1.0: "A method for setting a temporary payment card, comprising" .....	40
2. Limitation 1.5: "displaying a numerical indicator of a payable time, wherein the numerical indicator initially indicates a first remaining time amount" .....	41

3.	Limitations 1.4.1-1.6.3: [1.4] based upon the user input sliding the mobile payment card, setting, as a temporary card, the mobile payment card, wherein while the mobile payment card is set as the temporary card, payments will be made by the mobile payment card; [1.5] displaying a numerical indicator of a payable time, wherein the numerical indicator initially indicates a first remaining time amount; [1.6.1] simultaneously [1.6.2] moving the mobile payment card a first distance from the first portion of the screen towards a second portion of the touch screen, and [1.6.3] decrementing the numerical indicator a first difference to display a remaining payable time.....	41
4.	Limitation 17.1: “wherein the first distance is proportional to an amount of payable time that has passed” .....	51
5.	Limitation 17.2: “wherein ... the first difference is proportional to the amount of payable time that has passed” .....	51
6.	Limitation 1.8: “resetting the setting of the temporary payment card when the payable time passes such that the mobile payment card is no longer set as the temporary card and payments are made through a main card” .....	51
B.	Dependent Claims 2-4 and 11-14 Would Not Have Been Obvious Over Hertel, Chitti, Spodak, and Tedesco .....	52
1.	Limitations 2.1, 2.2: “detecting the user input sliding the mobile payment card from the second portion of the touch screen interface to an original position within the first portion of touch screen interface; based upon the mobile payment card being moved to the original position by the user, resetting the setting of the temporary payment card.” .....	52
2.	Limitation 4.2: “based upon the determination that the payable time has passed, displaying the mobile payment card being moved to an original position.” .....	55
3.	Limitation 12.1: “The method of claim 11, further comprising, when a usable time passes, setting the additional service to be disabled.” .....	55
XIII.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 5-6 AND 10 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER HERTEL IN VIEW OF CHITTI, SPODAK, TEDESCO AND BIERBAUM .....	56
XIV.	GROUND 3: CLAIM 7 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER HERTEL IN VIEW OF CHITTI, SPODAK, TEDESCO, BIERBAUM AND GRIGG .....	57

XV. GROUND 4: CLAIM 8 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS  
OVER HERTEL IN VIEW OF CHITTI, SPODAK, TEDESCO, AND  
ORDING .....57

XVI. GROUND 5: CLAIM 9 WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS  
OVER HERTEL IN VIEW OF CHITTI, SPODAK, TEDESCO, AND  
ROMAN .....58

XVII. JURAT .....58

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Michael Shamos. I have been retained as an expert witness by Patent Owner Mozido Corfire-Korea (“Mozido” or “Patent Owner”) for this *Inter Partes* Review IPR2022-01149 of U.S. Patent No. 10,223,692 (the “’692 Patent”) filed by Apple Inc. (“Apple” or “Petitioner”). I have been asked to respond to provide this declaration in conjunction with Patent Owner’s Response.

2. I previously submitted a declaration in this proceeding entitled “Declaration Of Michael I. Shamos, Ph.D.,” dated October 7, 2022 (“Initial Declaration,” Ex. 2001), which I incorporate here by reference.

3. Petitioner is challenging the validity of Claims 1-13 (the “Challenged Claims”) of the ’692 Patent (Ex. APPL-1001), constituting all the claims of the Patent, on the grounds of obviousness.

4. I have been asked to consider whether the Challenged Claims of the ’692 Patent would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art (“POSITA”) as of the date of the invention. I was also asked to review and comment on several technical statements made by Petitioner in the Petition and by its expert, Dr. Henry Houh, in the “Declaration of Dr. Henry Houh, Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review,” dated June 16, 2022 (“Houh Declaration,” Ex. APPL-1003).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.