

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

AIRE TECHNOLOGY LIMITED,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-01137
U.S. Patent No. 8,581,706

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PETITIONER’S EXHIBIT LIST	5
I. INTRODUCTION	7
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	8
III. NOTE.....	8
IV. SUMMARY OF THE ’706 PATENT	8
V. PROSECUTION HISTORY	11
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	13
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	13
VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF	14
IX. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE.....	14
A. Discretionary denial under the <i>Fintiv</i> factors is not appropriate.....	14
1. No evidence regarding a stay	15
2. Parallel proceeding trial date	15
3. Investment in the parallel proceeding.....	16
4. Overlapping issues with the parallel proceeding	17
5. Petitioner is a defendant.....	17
6. Other circumstances.....	17
B. The <i>Fintiv</i> Framework Should Be Overturned.....	18
C. Discretionary denial under <i>General Plastic</i> is not appropriate.....	18

1.	Apple is a different, unrelated petitioner.	19
2.	Factor 2 is of little probative value.	20
3.	No previous patent owner preliminary response.	21
4.	Fourth and fifth factors are inapplicable.	21
5.	The resources of the Board and the requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11).	21
D.	Discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) is not appropriate	21
X.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	22
A.	Challenged Claims	22
B.	Statutory Grounds for Challenges	22
C.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3 and 11-12 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Guthery and Nozawa.	24
1.	Summary of Guthery.	24
2.	Summary of Nozawa.	29
3.	Reasons to Combine Guthery and Nozawa	30
4.	Claim 1	35
5.	Claim 2	50
6.	Claim 3	52
7.	Claim 11	54
8.	Claim 12	63
D.	Ground 2: Claim 16 is obvious over Guthery, Nozawa, and the RFID Handbook.	64

1.	Summary of the RFID Handbook.....	64
2.	Reasons to Combine the RFID Handbook with Guthery and Nozawa.....	66
3.	Claim 16.....	70
E.	Ground 3: Claim 18 is obvious over Guthery and the Smart Card Handbook.	73
1.	Summary of the Smart Card Handbook.....	73
2.	Reasons to Combine the Smart Card Handbook with Guthery.....	75
3.	Claim 18.....	78
F.	Ground 4: Claim 20 is obvious over Guthery and the RFID Handbook.	84
4.	Claim 20.....	84
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	89
XII.	MANDATORY NOTICES	90
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	90
B.	Related Matters.....	90
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	90
	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT.....	92
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	93

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Ex.1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,581,706
Ex.1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,581,706
Ex.1003	Declaration of Dr. Joshua Phinney under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
Ex.1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Joshua Phinney
Ex.1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,824,064 to Guthery et al. (“Guthery”)
Ex.1006	JP2000163539 to Nozawa et al. (“Nozawa”) – Certified English Translation
Ex.1007	<i>RFID Handbook: Radio-Frequency Identification Fundamentals and Applications</i> , Klaus Finkenzeller (1999)
Ex.1008	<i>Smart Card Handbook: Third Edition</i> , Wolfgang Rankl (3 rd ed. 2003)
Ex.1009	Reserved.
Ex.1010	Reserved.
Ex.1011	Complaint, <i>Aire Technology Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> 6-21-cv-01101 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 22, 2021)
Ex.1012	Infringement Contentions, <i>Aire Technology Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> 6-21-cv-01101 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 22, 2021)
Ex.1013	Scheduling Order, <i>Aire Technology Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> 6-21-cv-01101 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 22, 2021)
Ex.1014	Standing Order Governing Proceedings (OGP) 4.1
Ex.1015	Complaint, <i>Aire Technology Ltd v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.</i> , 6:21-cv-00955 (W.D. Tex. Sep. 15, 2021)
Ex.1016	JP2000163539 to Nozawa et al. (original)
Ex.1017	Internet Archive capture of “Wiley:Smart Card Handbook, 3 rd

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.