## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

AIRE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 6:21-cv-01101-ADA
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
APPLE INC.,	
Defendant.	

PLAINTIFF AIRE TECHNOLOGY LTD.'S MOTION TO AMEND PRELIMINARY INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRO	DDUCTION	. 1
		L STANDARDS	
III.	ARGU	JMENT	.3
		Aire diligently sought amendment.	
	Λ.		
	B.	Importrance of adding claim 13 of the '249 Patent.	.4
	C.	There is no prejudice to Apple and any prejudice may be cured	.4
IV.	CONC	LUSION	.6

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### Cases

Garmin S & W Enters., L.L.C. v. Southtrust Bank of Alabama, 315 F.3d 533, 535 (5th Cir. 2003)	2
Georgetown Rail Equip. Co. v. Holland L, No. 6:13-CV-366-JDL, 2014 WL 12703781, at *2 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 7, 2014)	2
GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy, No. 2:19-CV-00311-JRG-RSP, 2020 WL 7698831, at *3 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 28, 2020)	4
Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. BlueSky Med. Corp., No. SA-08-CV-102-RF, 2009 WL 10664413, at *1 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 21, 2009)	2
Nidec Corp. v. LG Innotek Co., No. 6:07-CV-108-LED-JDL, 2009 WL 3673253, at *2 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 2, 2009)	5
<i>TiVo, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc</i> , No. 2:09-CV-257-JRG, 2012 WL 2036313, at *2 (E.D. Tex. June 6, 2012)	3,4,5



### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Aire Technology Ltd. ("Aire") respectfully moves the Court for leave to amend its preliminary infringement contentions ("PICs") to add claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,249 ("the '249 Patent"). The '249 Patent claims inventions concerning the ability for the exchange of information between a "portable data carrier" (such as a chip card or mobile device) and a payment "terminal" about the type of user authentication (*i.e.*, passcode or biometric) utilized by a customer to engage in a secure electronic transaction. Aire's original PICs allege that a variety of Apple iPhones that utilize near filed communication (NFC) technology and Apple Pay to engage in a secure electronic transaction at a payment terminal infringe the claims of the '249 Patent. The asserted claims (with the exception of claim 13) are directed to a "portable data carrier" (*i.e.*, the iPhone used to authenticate a customer and make a purchase). In contrast, the new claim 13 Aire seeks to add to its contentions is directed to a "terminal."

During Summer 2022, Apple rolled out its new "Tap to Pay" feature only to certain retailers and merchants, which allows a retailer to now use their Apple iPhone as a payment **terminal** in the same way as a traditional credit card payment terminal. Hollander Decl. ¶ 2. After learning that Apple's Tap to Pay feature was now being employed by retailers and merchants, Aire promptly investigated the operation of the feature and its use in real-world transactions to ensure that the feature worked in the manner that Apple advertised. *Id.* ¶ 3. Immediately thereafter, Aire drafted a claim chart mapping claim 13 of the '249 Patent and shared that chart with Apple. *Id.* ¶ 4; Ex. 1 ('249 Patent claim 13 claim chart). Once Apple indicated that it opposed adding claim 13 to the case, Aire promptly filed the instant motion. *Id.* ¶ 5; Ex. 2 (emails between D. Hollander and A. Radsch). As such, Aire was diligent in seeking amendment.



Further, there is no prejudice to Apple by adding claim 13 of the '249 Patent to the case at this juncture. First, the scope of the accused products is not changed by the addition of claim 13—the accused products are still Apple iPhones, which were already accused of infringement. Ex. 2 (D. Hollander Sept. 27, 2022 email). Second, per the Court's amended Scheduling Order, the close of fact discovery is on March 7, 2023, and the Markman hearing is set for May 16, 2023. Dkt. No. 61. To that end, there is more than sufficient time to address any new discovery that may be necessary. Additionally, while Aire does not believe the addition of claim 13 introduces any new claim construction issues, Apple has ample time to brief any additional terms for construction well in advance of the May 16, 2023 Markman date. Accordingly, because amending Aire's PICs will not prejudice Apple, the Court should grant Aire's Motion to assert this single additional claim.

#### II. LEGAL STANDARD

A party must demonstrate good cause for a Court to grant leave to amend infringement or invalidity contentions. See Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. BlueSky Med. Corp., No. SA-08-CV-102-RF, 2009 WL 10664413, at \*1 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 21, 2009). "The good cause standard requires the movant to show that, 'despite its exercise of diligence, it cannot reasonably meet the scheduling deadlines." Georgetown Rail Equip. Co. v. Holland L.P., No. 6:13-CV-366-JDL, 2014 WL 12703781, at \*2 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 7, 2014) (quoting Garmin S & W Enters., L.L.C. v. Southtrust Bank of Alabama, 315 F.3d 533, 535 (5th Cir. 2003)). "The following factors are used to determine whether to allow a party to supplement infringement contentions: (1) the reason for the delay and whether the party has been diligent; (2) the importance of what the court is excluding and the availability of lesser sanctions; (3) potential prejudice in allowing the amendment; and (4) the availability of a continuance to cure such prejudice." Id.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

