From: <u>Trials</u>

To: <u>Jarratt, Scott</u>; <u>Trials</u>

Cc: Andy Ehmke IPR; Calmann Clements IPR; Scott Jarratt IPR; Brett Cooper; Aire Counsel

 Subject:
 RE: IPR2022-01135, -01136, -01137

 Date:
 Thursday, October 13, 2022 1:43:21 PM

**EXTERNAL:** Sent from outside Haynes and

Boone, LLP

## Counsel:

The Board grants Petitioner's unopposed request to file a preliminary reply brief in each case addressing the Fintiv-related issues presented in Petitioner's email below. The brief should not to exceed 5 pages and shall be filed on or before October 19, 2022. The Board also authorizes Patent Owner to file a preliminary sur-reply brief in each case responding to Petitioner's corresponding brief not to exceed 5 pages on or before October 26, 2022. Petitioner also shall file a copy of this email chain as an exhibit in each case and reference same in its briefing.

Best regards, Esther

## **Esther Goldschlager**

Supervisory Paralegal Specialist Patent Trial & Appeal Board (571) 272-7822

**From:** Jarratt, Scott <Scott.Jarratt@haynesboone.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2022 2:27 PM

To: Trials < Trials@USPTO.GOV>

**Cc:** Andy Ehmke IPR <andy.ehmke.ipr@haynesboone.com>; Calmann Clements IPR

<Calmann.Clements.ipr@haynesboone.com>; Scott Jarratt IPR

<Scott.Jarratt.IPR@haynesboone.com>; Brett Cooper <bcooper@bc-lawgroup.com>; Aire Counsel

<Aire\_Counsel@b-clg.com>

**Subject:** IPR2022-01135, -01136, -01137

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Honorable Board,

Pursuant to 37 CFR 42.108(c), Petitioner Apple Inc. requests leave to file a Preliminary Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response in each of IPR2022-01135, -01136, -01137 to address *Fintiv*-related issues. For all three IPRs, developments impacting the *Fintiv* factors have occurred since the Petitions were filed—for example, the district court issued a revised scheduling order that moves the trial date and Director Vidal issued her *Fintiv* memorandum. Good cause therefore exists to grant a reply under 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c) so that Petitioner can address the impact of these new



developments.

Petitioner requests authorization for a five-page reply to the POPR in each of the proceedings. Petitioner does not oppose granting Patent Owner sur-replies of the same length.

Petitioner and Patent Owner have conferred. Patent Owner does not oppose Petitioner's request for replies.

While the parties do not believe that a conference call with the Board is necessary, the parties are available at these times if the Board should choose to schedule a conference call: Monday, 10/17 through Wednesday 10/19: 10am ET to 5pm ET

Thank you,
Scott Jarratt
Counsel for Petitioner

## HAYNES BOONE

## **Scott Jarratt**

Partner <a href="mailto:scott.jarratt@haynesboone.com">scott.jarratt@haynesboone.com</a>

Haynes and Boone, LLP 6000 Headquarters Dr. Suite 200 Plano, TX 75024

(t) +1 972.739.8663 (f) +1 972.692.9164

vCard | Bio | Website

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission is confidential, may be privileged and should be read or retained only by the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete it from your system.

