

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TWINSTRAND BIOSCIENCES, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

GUARDANT HEALTH
Patent Owner.

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2022-01115

U.S. Patent No. 10,801,063

DECLARATION OF PAUL T. SPELLMAN, Ph.D.

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MY BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	2
III.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	4
IV.	LIST OF DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED	10
V.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	16
VI.	STATE OF THE ART	17
A.	The protocols for DNA sequencing were well known in the art	17
1.	Genetic information comprises the building blocks of life	17
2.	DNA sequencing was commonly performed to determine the order of nucleotides in an individual’s DNA.....	17
3.	Next-generation sequencing involved well-known steps	19
a)	Template preparation and tagging	20
b)	Amplification.....	31
c)	Enrichment.....	32
d)	Sequencing and detection	33
e)	Sequence alignment and assembly	34
B.	Prior to December 28, 2013, cell-free DNA in blood had attracted interest for the diagnosis of cancer, fetal gender, and many other inherited disorders and was commonly sequenced using NGS platforms.....	35
1.	Cell-free tumor DNA was a well-known cancer biomarker	36
2.	Circulating cell-free fetal DNA was also a well-known biomarker for prenatal screening and diagnostics	41
3.	Commercially available kits were routinely used to extract and isolate cfDNA from blood samples.....	43
C.	Prior to December 28, 2013, Duplex Sequencing was developed to dramatically improve the accuracy of next-generation sequencing	44

Inter Partes Review of US Patent 10,801,063
Declaration of Paul T. Spellman, Ph.D.

1.	Tagging of DNA with adapters comprising molecular barcodes	46
2.	Amplification	51
3.	Target enrichment	52
4.	Sequencing	53
5.	Mapping the sequence reads to a reference sequence	53
6.	Grouping the sequenced strands into paired families	53
7.	Generating a single-strand consensus sequences.....	56
8.	Comparing the single strand consensus sequence to its complementary strand-mate (generating a Duplex Consensus)	56
D.	Prior to December 28, 2013, the art taught that Duplex Sequencing could be used to sequence cfDNA.....	58
VII.	THE '063 PATENT SPECIFICATION AND CLAIMS	60
VIII.	PROSECUTION HISTORY	69
IX.	THE MEANING OF CLAIM TERMS	74
X.	LEGAL BASIS FOR MY ANALYSIS.....	75
XI.	KEY PRIOR ART	76
A.	Narayan (EX1082)	77
B.	Schmitt (EX1009), Schmitt-623 (EX1083).....	77
C.	Meyer (EX1005).....	83
D.	Kivioja (EX1006).....	84
E.	Craig (EX1007)	84
XII.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-7, 9-11, 15-18, AND 22-28 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER NARAYAN, SCHMITT, AND MEYER	85
A.	Claim 1	85
1.	A method for classifying consensus sequences generated from sequencing reads derived from double-stranded cell-free deoxyribonucleic acid (cfDNA) molecules from a sample of a human subject, the method comprising	86

2. (a) non-uniquely tagging a population of double-stranded cfDNA molecules from the sample with more than a 10x molar excess of adapters comprising molecular barcodes, relative to the double-stranded cfDNA molecules in the population, to generate non-uniquely tagged parent polynucleotides 87
3. wherein the double-stranded cfDNA molecules that map to a mappable base position of a reference sequence are tagged with a number of different molecular barcodes ranging from at least 2 to fewer than a number of double-stranded cfDNA molecules that map to the mappable base position, and..... 91
4. wherein at least 20% of the double-stranded cfDNA molecules are non-uniquely tagged with the adapters comprising the molecular barcodes at both ends of a molecule of the double-stranded cfDNA molecules..... 93
5. (b) amplifying a plurality of the non-uniquely tagged parent polynucleotides to produce progeny polynucleotides; 94
6. (c) enriching a plurality of the progeny polynucleotides for target regions of interest to generate enriched progeny polynucleotides; 95
7. (d) sequencing a plurality of the enriched progeny polynucleotides to produce a set of sequencing reads;..... 96
8. (e) mapping a plurality of sequencing reads from the set of sequencing reads to the reference sequence; 97
9. (f) grouping a plurality of the mapped sequencing reads into families of mapped sequencing reads based at least on (i) sequence information from the molecular barcodes and (ii) a beginning base position and an ending base position of the mapped sequencing reads 100
10. (g) generating a consensus sequence for each family from among one or more of the families to produce a set of consensus sequences; and 101
11. (h) classifying one or more consensus sequences from among the set of consensus sequences as (1) paired

consensus sequences generated from sequencing reads representing a Watson strand and a Crick strand of a non-uniquely tagged parent polynucleotide or (2) unpaired consensus sequences generated from sequencing reads representing only one of either a Watson strand or a Crick strand of a non-uniquely tagged parent polynucleotide.....	102
B. Motivation to combine	103
1. A POSA would have been motivated to use Schmitt’s 3-mer hybrid tagging approach to “non-uniquely” tag a population of cfDNA molecules.	105
2. A POSA would have been motivated to use “more than a 10x molar excess.”	108
3. A POSA would also have been motivated to generate “at least 20%” adapter-ligated cfDNA molecules.....	111
4. A POSA would have been motivated to use a number of different molecular barcodes ranging from at least 2 to fewer than a number of double-stranded cfDNA molecules that map to the mappable base position.....	113
5. A POSA would have been motivated to amplify, enrich, sequence, map, group, generate a consensus sequence, and classify the consensus sequence as recited in claim 1. ...	113
C. Reasonable expectation of success.....	118
1. A POSA would have reasonably expected to successfully sequence cfDNA disclosed in Narayan with Schmitt’s Duplex Sequencing method because of knowledge in the art that a routine blood draw contains more than sufficient quantities of cfDNA.....	119
2. A POSA would have reasonably expected to successfully “non-uniquely” tag cfDNA molecules.....	121
3. A POSA would have reasonably expected success in using “more than a 10x molar excess” of adapters.....	122
4. A POSA would also have reasonably expected success in generating “at least 20%” adapter-ligated cfDNA molecules.	122

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.