IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

| BRIGHT DATA LTD., | § |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | § § § |
| V. | § CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-00395-JRG |
| TESO LT, UAB, METACLUSTER LT, UAB, OXYSALES, UAB, | § § § |
| Defendants. | § § |

ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff Bright Data Ltd.'s ("Bright Data") Opposed Motion to Lift the Stay Order (Dkt. 543) (the "Motion") filed on December 28, 2021. (Dkt. No. 550). Defendants Teso LT, UAB, Metacluster LT, UAB, and Oxysales, UAB (together, "Oxylabs") filed their response in opposition to the Motion on January 3, 2022. (Dkt. No. 564).

In the Motion, Bright Data requests that the Court lift its previous Stay Order (Dkt. No. 543) to allow progress as to the briefing and resolution of its post-trial motions. Bright Data notes that it previously filed a motion for permanent injunction (Dkt. No. 529) and a motion for summary judgment of no inequitable conduct (Dkt. No. 530) on November 22nd and 23rd of 2021, respectively. Bright Data asserts that Oxylabs received a two-week extension to respond to such motions before the deadlines in this case were stayed on December 15, 2021 pending the results of the parties' January 6, 2022 mediation. (Dkt. No. 550 at 2). Accordingly, Bright Data argues that the Court should lift the stay because Oxylabs has had ample time to prepare its responses to the post-trial motions.



Oxylabs responds that lifting the stay before the mediation would be unhelpful as—at the

time of Oxylabs' response—mediation was only three days away. Oxylabs notes that "[e]ither the

case will settle at mediation, or the stay will be lifted following mediation and the case will

proceed." (Dkt. No. 564 at 2). Mediation has now been undertaken with no indication that a

settlement has been reached.

Having considered these facts and the Motion, the Court finds that the Motion should be

GRANTED-IN-PART. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the stay with respect to Bright

Data's Motion for a Preliminary and Permanent Injunction Against Infringement (the "Motion for

Injunctive Relief") (Dkt. No. 529) is LIFTED. Further, given that Oxylabs has had over three

weeks from November 22, 2021 to December 15, 2021—the date this case was stayed—to prepare

its response to the Motion for Injunctive Relief (see Dkt. Nos. 529, 536, 543), the Court **ORDERS**

that Oxylabs' response to (Dkt. No. 529) is due by 3:00 pm central time on Friday, January 14,

2022. No reply or sur-reply shall be filed without further Order of the Court. The Court also

ORDERS that the Motion for Injunctive Relief (Dkt. No. 529) is hereby set for an in-person

hearing before the Court on Friday, February 4, 2022 at 9:00 am central time in Marshall, Texas.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 7th day of January, 2022.

RODNEY GILSTRAP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE