EXHIBIT 2



PROTOCOL STANDARD FOR A NetBIOS SERVICE ON A TCP/UDP TRANSPORT: CONCEPTS AND METHODS

ABSTRACT

This RFC defines a proposed standard protocol to support NetBIOS services in a TCP/IP environment. Both local network and internet operation are supported. Various node types are defined to accommodate local and internet topologies and to allow operation with or without the use of IP broadcast.

This RFC describes the NetBIOS-over-TCP protocols in a general manner, emphasizing the underlying ideas and techniques. Detailed specifications are found in a companion RFC, "Protocol Standard For a NetBIOS Service on a TCP/UDP Transport: Detailed Specifications".

NetBIOS Working Group

[Page 1]



RFC 1001 March 1987

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

1.	STATUS OF THIS MEMO	6
2.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	6
3.	INTRODUCTION	7
4.	DESIGN PRINCIPLES	7
5.	OVERVIEW OF NetBIOS	10
6.	NetBIOS FACILITIES SUPPORTED BY THIS STANDARD	15
7.	REQUIRED SUPPORTING SERVICE INTERFACES AND DEFINITIONS	15
8.	RELATED PROTOCOLS AND SERVICES	16
9.	NetBIOS SCOPE	16
10.	NetBIOS END-NODES	16
11.	NetBIOS SUPPORT SERVERS	18
12.	TOPOLOGIES	20
13.	GENERAL METHODS	23
14.	REPRESENTATION OF NETBIOS NAMES	25
15.	NetBIOS NAME SERVICE	27
16.	NetBIOS SESSION SERVICE	48
17.	NETBIOS DATAGRAM SERVICE	55
18.	NODE CONFIGURATION PARAMETERS	58
19.	MINIMAL CONFORMANCE	59
REFERENCES		60
APPI	ENDIX A - INTEGRATION WITH INTERNET GROUP MULTICASTING	61
APPI	ENDIX B - IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS	62

NetBIOS Working Group

[Page 2]



RFC 1001 March 1987

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. STATUS	OF THIS MEMO	6
2. ACKNOW	ILEDGEMENTS	6
3. INTROD	OUCTION	7
4.1 PRE 4.2 USE 4.3 MIN 4.4 TOL 4.5 DO 4.6 ALL 4.7 MIN 4.8 PER 4.9 REQ 4.10 MA	I PRINCIPLES SERVE NetBIOS SERVICES EXISTING STANDARDS IMIZE OPTIONS ERATE ERRORS AND DISRUPTIONS NOT REQUIRE CENTRAL MANAGEMENT OW INTERNET OPERATION IMIZE BROADCAST ACTIVITY EMIT IMPLEMENTATION ON EXISTING SYSTEMS OUIRE ONLY THE MINIMUM NECESSARY TO OPERATE EXIMIZE EFFICIENCY ENIMIZE NEW INVENTIONS	8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 10 10
5.1 INT 5.2 NAM 5.3 SES 5.4 DAT 5.5 MIS	EW OF NetBIOS PERFACE TO APPLICATION PROGRAMS TE SERVICE SION SERVICE PAGRAM SERVICE SCELLANEOUS FUNCTIONS TISTANDARD EXTENSIONS	10 10 11 12 13 14 15
6. NetBIO	S FACILITIES SUPPORTED BY THIS STANDARD	15
7. REQUIR	ED SUPPORTING SERVICE INTERFACES AND DEFINITIONS	15
8. RELATE	D PROTOCOLS AND SERVICES	16
9. NetBIO	OS SCOPE	16
10.1 BR 10.2 PO	COS END-NODES COADCAST (B) NODES COINT-TO-POINT (P) NODES CXED MODE (M) NODES	16 16 16
11.1 Ne 11.1. 11.2 Ne 11.3 RE 11.4 RE	COS SUPPORT SERVERS ETBIOS NAME SERVER (NBNS) NODES 1 RELATIONSHIP OF THE NBNS TO THE DOMAIN NAME SYSTEM ETBIOS DATAGRAM DISTRIBUTION SERVER (NBDD) NODES ELATIONSHIP OF NBNS AND NBDD NODES ELATIONSHIP OF NetBIOS SUPPORT SERVERS AND B NODES COGIES COCAL	18 19 19 20 20 20

NetBIOS Working Group

[Page 3]



RFC 1001 March 1987

12.1.1 B NODES ONLY	21
12.1.2 P NODES ONLY	21
12.1.3 MIXED B AND P NODES	21
12.2 INTERNET	22
12.2.1 P NODES ONLY	22
12.2.2 MIXED M AND P NODES	23
13. GENERAL METHODS	23
13.1 REQUEST/RESPONSE INTERACTION STYLE	23
13.1.1 RETRANSMISSION OF REQUESTS	24
13.1.2 REQUESTS WITHOUT RESPONSES: DEMANDS	24
13.2 TRANSACTIONS	25
13.2.1 TRANSACTION ID	25
13.3 TCP AND UDP FOUNDATIONS	25
14. REPRESENTATION OF NETBIOS NAMES	25
14.1 FIRST LEVEL ENCODING	26
14.2 SECOND LEVEL ENCODING	27
15. NetBIOS NAME SERVICE	27
15.1 OVERVIEW OF NetBIOS NAME SERVICE	27
15.1.1 NAME REGISTRATION (CLAIM)	27
15.1.2 NAME QUERY (DISCOVERY) 15.1.3 NAME RELEASE	28 28
15.1.3.1 EXPLICIT RELEASE	28
15.1.3.1 EAFBICH REBEASE 15.1.3.2 NAME LIFETIME AND REFRESH	29
15.1.3.3 NAME CHALLENGE	29
15.1.3.4 GROUP NAME FADE-OUT	29
15.1.3.5 NAME CONFLICT	30
15.1.4 ADAPTER STATUS	31
15.1.5 END-NODE NBNS INTERACTION	31
15.1.5.1 UDP, TCP, AND TRUNCATION	31
15.1.5.2 NBNS WACK	32
15.1.5.3 NBNS REDIRECTION	32
15.1.6 SECURED VERSUS NON-SECURED NBNS	32
15.1.7 CONSISTENCY OF THE NBNS DATA BASE	32
15.1.8 NAME CACHING	34
15.2 NAME REGISTRATION TRANSACTIONS	34
15.2.1 NAME REGISTRATION BY B NODES	34
15.2.2 NAME REGISTRATION BY P NODES 15.2.2.1 NEW NAME, OR NEW GROUP MEMBER	35 35
15.2.2.1 NEW NAME, OR NEW GROUP MEMBER 15.2.2.2 EXISTING NAME AND OWNER IS STILL ACTIVE	36
15.2.2.3 EXISTING NAME AND OWNER IS SITTLE ACTIVE	37
15.2.3 NAME REGISTRATION BY M NODES	38
15.3 NAME QUERY TRANSACTIONS	39
15.3.1 QUERY BY B NODES	39
15.3.2 QUERY BY P NODES	40
15.3.3 QUERY BY M NODES	43
15.3.4 ACQUIRE GROUP MEMBERSHIP LIST	43
15.4 NAME RELEASE TRANSACTIONS	44
15.4.1 RELEASE BY B NODES	44

NetBIOS Working Group

[Page 4]



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

