

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE DATA COMPANY TECHNOLOGIES INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BRIGHT DATA LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. TBD
Patent No. 10,257,319

DECLARATION OF ADAM R. WICHMAN IN SUPPORT OF
THE DATA COMPANY TECHNOLOGIES INC. PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,257,319

I, Adam R. Wichman, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney with Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. (“WGS”), admitted to practice before all courts in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and registered to practice before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. I am more than 21 years old.

2. I make these statements in support of The Data Company Technologies Inc. petition for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 10,257,319. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called upon I would testify as follows.

3. The following exhibits, filed with this declaration and the above-mentioned petition, are true and accurate copies of the following documents:

- a. Exhibit 1026: Pages from W. Richard Stevens, *TCP/IP Illustrated, Volume 1: The Protocols*. Canada: Addison-Wesley, 1994, chs. 1 & 18, bibliography (“Stevens”), made from a physical volume of Stevens at WGS.
- b. Exhibit 1033: Pages from L.L. Peterson, B.S. Davie, *Computer Networks: A Systems Approach*, 4th ed. San Francisco, CA: Elsevier, 2007, chs. 1-2 (“Peterson”), made from a physical volume of Peterson at WGS.

4. Upon information and belief the following exhibits, filed with this declaration and the above-mentioned petition, are true and accurate copies of the following documents as retrieved from the indicated websites:

- a. Exhibit 1044: A. Rowstron and P. Druschel, “Pastry: Scalable, Decentralized Object Location, and Routing for Large-Scale Peer-to-Peer Systems.” *IFIP/ACM International Conference on Distributed Systems Platforms and Open Distributed Processing: Middleware 2001*, pp. 329-350 (2001), available at https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/3-540-45518-3_18.
- b. Exhibit 1045: S. Ratnasamy, M. Handley, R. Karp and S. Shenker, "Topologically-aware overlay construction and server selection." *Proceedings Twenty-First Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies*, vol. 3, pp. 1190-1199 (2002), available at <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1019369>.
- c. Exhibit 1046: V. N. Padmanabhan and L. Subramanian, “An Investigation of Geographic Mapping Techniques for Internet Hosts.” *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 173–185 (2001), available at <https://doi.org/10.1145/964723.383073>.

- d. Exhibit 1047: M.J. Freedman, K. Lakshminarayanan, and D. Mazières, “OASIS: Anycast for Any Service.” *Proceedings of the 3rd Conference on Networked Systems Design & Implementation*, vol. 3, pp. 129-142 (2006), available at https://www.usenix.org/legacy/events/nsdi06/tech/full_papers/freedman/freedman.pdf.
- e. Exhibit 1048: S. Agarwal and J.R. Lorch, “Matchmaking for Online Games and Other Latency-Sensitive P2P Systems.” *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 315-326 (2009), available at <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/1594977.1592605> (published August 16, 2009).
- f. Exhibit 1050: H. Casanova, “Benefits and Drawbacks of Redundant Batch Requests.” *Journal of Grid Computing*, vol. 5, pp. 235–250 (2007), available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10723-007-9068-6>.
- g. Exhibit 1055: S. J. Murdoch, “New Tor distribution for testing: Tor Browser Bundle,” January 30, 2008 post to *tor-talk mailing list*, available at <https://lists.torproject.org/pipermail/tor-talk/2008-January/007837.html>.
5. Exhibit 1011 filed with this declaration and the above-mentioned petition is a declaration from Sandy Ginoza that includes nine exhibits. The

following exhibits filed herewith are true and accurate copies of the Ginoza Declaration exhibits. These were each saved from Exhibit 1011 and are presented separately as indicated for convenience and ease of reference.

- a. Ex. 1012, Ginoza Decl. Exh. 1, RFC 793: Transmission Control Protocol - DARPA Internet Program Protocol Specification, Information Sciences Institute (September 1981) (“RFC 793”).
- b. Ex. 1013, Ginoza Decl. Exh. 2, RFC 1001: Protocol Standard for a NetBIOS Service on a TCP/UDP Transport: Concepts and Methods, NetBIOS Working Group (March 1987) (“RFC 1001”).
- c. Ex. 1014, Ginoza Decl. Exh. 3, RFC 1122: Requirements for Internet Hosts -- Communication Layers, Internet Engineering Task Force (October 1989) (“RFC 1122”).
- d. Ex. 1015, Ginoza Decl. Exh. 4, RFC 1630: Universal Resource Identifiers in WWW - A Unifying Syntax for the Expression of Names and Addresses of Objects on the Network as used in the World-Wide Web, Network Working Group (June 1994) (“RFC 1630”).
- e. Ex. 1016, Ginoza Decl. Exh. 5, RFC 1738: Uniform Resource Locators (URL), Network Working Group (December 1994) (“RFC 1738”).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.