### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

Bright Data Ltd.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG

Teso LT, UAB, Oxysales, UAB, and Metacluster LT, UAB,

Defendants.

## **DEFENDANTS' DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 282**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282, Defendants Teso LT, UAB, Oxysales, UAB, and Metacluster

LT, UAB (collectively, "Oxylabs" or "Defendants") provide notice to Plaintiff Bright Data Ltd. ("Bright Data") of the following: (i) patents and publications relied upon for anticipation of the patents-in-suit or as showing the state of the art; and (ii) persons who may be relied upon as the prior inventor, as having prior knowledge of, or as having previously used or offered for sale the invention of the patents-in-suit.

Filing Date	Country	Issue Date or Publication Date	Patent or Publication No.	Patentee
November 8, 2000	US	September 21, 2004	6,795,848	Border et al.
June 15, 2012	US	March 3, 2015	8,972,602	Mithyantha
April 9, 2008	US	December 29, 2010	7,860,988	Aoki et al.
July 14, 2010	US	April 14, 2011	2011/0087733	Shribman et al.

#### I. PATENTS AND PUBLISHED PATENT APPLICATIONS

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

August 30, 2001	US	August 29, 2006	7,099,927	Cudd et al.
February 8, 2013	US	September 1, 2015	9,122,554	Callaghan et al.
September 6, 2009	US	March 17, 2011	2011/0066924	Dorso et al.
August 12, 2005	US	June 5, 2008	2008/0134258	Goose et al.
August 28, 2013	US	November 5, 2019	10,469,614	Shribman et al.
October 8, 2009	US	April 9, 2019	10,257,319	Shribman et al.
October 8, 2009	US	November 19, 2019	10,484,510	Shribman et al.

## **II. PRINTED PUBLICATIONS**

DOCKET

Date	Title	Page Numbers
November 1998	Crowds: Anonymity for Web Transactions	Passim
January 23, 2004	MorphMix - A Peer-to-Peer-based System for Anonymous Internet Access	Passim
March 2004	Democratizing content publication with Coral. In Proc. 1st Symposium on Net- worked Systems Design and Implementa- tion (NSDI 04), San Francisco, CA.	Passim
March 13, 2009	Experiences with Coral CDN: A five-year operational view. Microsoft Research.	Passim
2001	Peer-to-Peer: Harnessing the Power of Dis- ruptive Technology, chapters Accountabil- ity and Free Haven. O'Reilly.	Passim
June 1999	Request for Comments (RFC) 2616 (HTTP), published by HTTP Working Group of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)	Passim
January 1980	RFC 760 (Internet Protocol)	Passim

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

December 1998	RFC 2460 (Internet Protocol Version 6 Passim (IPv6))	
September 1981	RFC 793 (Transmission Control Protocol (TCP))	Passim
July 1992	RFC 1349 (Internet Protocol Suite)	Passim
September 2000	RFC 2914 (Congestion Control Principles)	Passim
March 2005	RFC 4026 (Provider Provisioned Virtual <i>Passim</i> Private Network (VPN))	
March 1999	RFC 2547 (BGP/MPLS VPNs)	Passim
January 1991	RFC 1180 (TCP/IP Tutorial)	Passim
October 1989	RFC 1122 (Requirements for Internet Hosts – Communication Layers) Passim	
October 2013	W3 Geolocation API Specification	Passim
December 2008	W3 Geolocation API Specification	Passim
1998	Web Proxy Servers, Ari Luotonen	Passim
2006	William R. Stanek, Introducing Microsoft Windows Vista 81 (2006)	Passim
2011	Yong Wang, et. al., <i>Towards Street-Level</i> <i>Client-Independent IP Geolocation</i> (2011) <sup>1</sup>	Passim
1987	RFC 1034 (Domain Names – Concepts and Passim Facilities)	
1987	RFC 1035 (Domain Names – Implementa- tion and Specification) Passim	
September 1981	RFC 791 (Internet Protocol Version 4 Passim (IPv4))	
October 1985	RFC 959 (File Transfer Protocol (FTP))Passim	
August 1982	RFC 821 (Simple Mail Transfer Protocol)     Passim	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.usenix.org/legacy/events/nsdi11/tech/full\_papers/Wang\_Yong.pdf

DOCKE

Δ

R

Δ

October 1984	RFC 918 (Post Office Protocol version 1 (POP1))	Passim
February 1985	RFC 937 (Post Office Protocol version 2 (POP2))	Passim
May 1996	RFC 1939 (Post Office Protocol version 3 (POP3))	Passim
May 1996	RFC 1945 (Hypertext Transfer Protocol version 1.0 (HTTP/1.0))	Passim
February 1997	RFC 2109 (HTTP State Management Mechanism)	Passim

## III. PERSONS RELIED UPON

Name	Address	
Michael Freedman	Department of Computer Science at Princeton University	
Whender I recultain	35 Olden Street	
	Princeton, NJ 08540-5233	
Kevin Almeroth	University of California	
Kevin Ameroti	Santa Barbara, CA 93106-5110	
Scott Delman	1601 Broadway, 10th Floor	
Scott Dennan	New York, NY 10019-7434	
Andreas Berz	Hallwylstrasse 15, CH-3003	
Andreas Derz	Bern, Switzerland	
Marc Rennhard	Technikumstrasse 9, 8401	
Marc Reminard	Winterthur, Switzerland	
Bernhard Plattner	Gloriastrasse 35, 8092	
Definitato Flattifel	Zürich, Switzerland	
Inventors/Authors of Prior Art References Cited Herein	As listed in references, or unknown	

The foregoing disclosure is made in an abundance of caution in view of 35 U.S.C. § 282(c). Bright Data has been previously put on notice of the patents, patent publications, publications, and individuals mentioned above, at least through Oxylabs' Initial Invalidity Contentions and Accompanying Document Production and Amended Invalidity Contentions, as well as through the expert reports of Dr. Michael Freedman and Dr. Kevin Almeroth, including all appendices and exhibits thereto, each of which were disclosed on or before the deadlines set forth by the Docket Control Order for this case. The disclosures set forth in Oxylabs' Initial Invalidity Contentions and Accompanying Document Production and Amended Invalidity Contentions, as well as through the expert reports of Dr. Michael Freedman and Dr. Kevin Almeroth are hereby incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.