

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CODE200, UAB; TESO LT, UAB; METACLUSTER LT, UAB; AND  
OXYSALES, UAB,

Petitioners

v.

LUMINATI NETWORKS LTD.,

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2020-01266

Patent 10,257,319

---

**CORRECTED PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
UNDER 37 CFR §42.107**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>I. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE THE PARALLEL DISTRICT COURT LITIGATION, WHICH ASSERTS THE SAME PRIOR ART AS THIS PETITION, BEGINS JURY SELECTION ON MAY 3, 2021, OVER SEVEN MONTHS BEFORE A FINAL DETERMINATION WOULD BE EXPECTED IN THIS IPR .....</b>	<b>4</b>
A. FACTOR 1.....	5
B. FACTOR 2.....	6
C. FACTOR 3.....	8
D. FACTOR 4.....	10
E. FACTOR 5.....	12
F. FACTOR 6.....	13
<b>III. OVERVIEW - THE '319 PATENT DISCLOSES AND CLAIMS METHODS USING A NOVEL NETWORK ARCHITECTURE THAT IS NOT DISCLOSED IN ANY OF THE PRIOR ART ADVANCED BY PETITIONERS .....</b>	<b>14</b>
A. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS.....	17
B. PRIORITY DATE.....	17
<b>IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>VI. THE ART CITED IN THE ALLEGED GROUNDS .....</b>	<b>25</b>
A. CROWDS.....	25
B. BORDER.....	28
C. MORPHMIX.....	31
<b>VII. THE FAILED GROUNDS OF ALLEGED INVALIDITY .....</b>	<b>34</b>
A. GROUND 1: FAILURE OF CROWDS TO ANTICIPATE CLAIM 1 .....	35
B. GROUND 2: FAILURE OF CROWDS + RFC 2616 + GENERAL KNOWLEDGE TO RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIM 1 .....	37
C. GROUND 3: FAILURE OF BORDER TO ANTICIPATE CLAIM 1 .....	38
D. GROUND 4: FAILURE OF CROWDS + RFC 2616 + GENERAL KNOWLEDGE TO RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIM 1 .....	41

E. GROUND 5 FAILURE OF MORPHMIX TO ANTICIPATE CLAIM 1  
42

F. GROUND 6 FAILURE OF MORPHMIX + RFC 2616 + GENERAL  
KNOWLEDGE TO RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIM 1 .....45

**VIII. CONCLUSION .....46**

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### AGENCY DECISIONS

*Apple Inc. v. Fintiv Inc.*,  
IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB March 20, 2020)  
(precedential, designated May 5, 2020).....1

*Google LLC f/k/a Google Inc. v. Personalized Media Communications, LLC*,  
IPR2020-00719, Paper 16 (PTAB August 31, 2020) .....8

### CASES

*KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.*  
550 U.S. 398, 127 S. Ct. 1727, 167 L. Ed. 2d 705 (2007)..... 38, 42, 45

### RULES AND RULEMAKING

PTAB Office Trial Practice Guide, July 2019 Update ..... 1, 25

<b>EXHIBIT LIST</b>	
2001	Oxylabs' Motion To Stay Pending <i>Inter Partes</i> Reviews (public-redacted) in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 131
2002	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement support in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 105-1
2003	Plaintiff's Markman opening brief in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG No. 126
2004	Defendants' Markman response brief in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 138
2005	Plaintiff's Markman reply brief in the <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 145
2006	Intentionally left blank
2007	Oxysales' Answer (public-redacted) in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG, ECF. No. 95
2008	October 18, 2018 response during '319 prosecution history LUM- at LUM-00149133-34
2009	Dr. Thomas Rhyne Markman Declaration in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG, ECF No. 126-5
2010	Sur-reply on Motion to Dismiss in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 47
2011	Order on Motion to Dismiss in <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 85
2012	Declaration of Dr. Thomas Rhyne
2013	Amended Rule 7.1 disclosure statement from <i>Luminati v. Teso Lt UAB et al.</i> , E.D. Texas, Case No. 2:19-cv-00395-JRG ECF No. 55

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.