

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

BAUSCH HEALTH IRELAND LIMITED,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2022-01104  
Patent 9,919,024 B2

---

Before SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, CYNTHIA M. HARDMAN, and  
MICHAEL A. VALEK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

SNEDDEN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review

35 U.S.C. § 314

Granting Patent Owner's Motion to Seal and Enter Default Protective Order

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14, 42.54

## I. INTRODUCTION

### A. *Background and Summary*

Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–16 of U.S. Patent No. 9,919,024 B2 (“the ’024 patent,” Ex. 1001). Paper 2 (“Pet.”). Bausch Health Ireland Limited (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition. Paper 7 (“Prelim. Resp.”).

To institute an *inter partes* review, we must determine that the information presented in the Petition shows “a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.” 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) (2018). The Supreme Court has held that a decision to institute under 35 U.S.C. § 314 may not institute on less than all claims challenged in the petition. *SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu*, 138 S. Ct. 1348, 1359–60 (2018). After considering the evidence and arguments presented in the Petition, we determine that Petitioner has not demonstrated a reasonable likelihood of success in proving that claims 1–16 of the ’024 patent are unpatentable.

### B. *Real Parties-in-Interest*

Petitioner identifies itself, Mylan Laboratories Ltd., Mylan Inc., and Viatrix Inc. as real parties-in-interest. Pet. 3.

Patent Owner identifies itself and Salix Pharmaceuticals, Inc. as real parties-in-interest. Paper 3, 2.

### C. *Related Matters*

Petitioner has filed petitions for *inter partes* review involving patents related to the ’024 patent in IPR2022-00722 (Patent 7,041,786), IPR2022-

01102 (Patent 9,610,321), IPR2022-01103 (Patent 9,616,097), and IPR2022-01105 (Patent 9,925,231). Pet. 4; Paper 3, 2–3.

The parties state that the '024 patent is involved in *Bausch Health Ireland Ltd. v. Mylan Laboratories Ltd.*, 1-22-cv-00020 (N.D. W.Va.); 2-21-cv-00573 (W.D. Pa. (administratively closed)); and *Bausch Health Ireland Ltd. v. MSN Laboratories Pvt. Ltd.*, 2-21-cv-10057 (D.N.J.). Pet. 4; Paper 3, 2–3. Patent Owner additionally identifies *Bausch Health Ireland Ltd. v. Mylan Laboratories Ltd.*, 1-21-cv-00611 (D. Del.) (closed), and 2:21-cv-10403 (D.N.J.) (transferred to N.D. W.Va.). Paper 3, 2–3.

*D. The '024 patent (Ex. 1001)*

The '024 patent, titled “Formulations of Guanylate Cyclase C Agonists and Methods of Use,” relates to pharmaceutical formulations containing guanylate cyclase-C (“GCC”) agonist peptides, including those described in U.S. Patent No. 7,041,786.<sup>1</sup> Ex. 1001, code (54), 1:30–32, 3:19–20, 7:15–16. According to the Specification, formulating “peptides for pharmaceutical delivery presents a number of special problems,” including chemical and physical stability problems due to the peptides degrading by a variety of mechanisms. *Id.* at 3:24–29.

The Specification describes exemplary pharmaceutical formulations containing “GCC agonist peptide[s] formulated with one or more excipients such that the peptide is stabilized against chemical degradation.” *Id.* at 8:34–36. “The ideal excipient or combination of excipients will be non-hygroscopic, have few or no reducing sugars, and be substantially free of contaminants.” *Id.* at 8:39–42. Excipients may include carriers and lubricants. *Id.* at 9:33–65, 12:10–14, 13:3. One example formulation

---

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Patent No. 7,041,786 appears in this record as Shailubhai, Ex. 1005.

includes a GCC agonist peptide, an inert carrier, e.g., low-moisture microcrystalline cellulose (Avicel PH 112), and a lubricant, e.g., magnesium stearate. *Id.* at 18:13–30, 94:20–33 (Example 14).

The exemplary formulations include the GCC agonist peptide designated SP-304. *Id.* at 94:10. SP-304, also known as plecanatide, has the amino acid sequence shown in SEQ ID NO:1. *Id.* at 6:63–64, 7:21–25, 21:37, 23:20–23.

*E. Representative Claims*

Independent claims 1 and 3, reproduced below, are representative of the claims challenged in this proceeding.

1. A method for treating chronic constipation in a human subject comprising

orally administering to said human subject a composition consisting of SEQ ID NO:1

wherein the peptide is a [4,12; 7,15] bicycle, an inert low moisture carrier, and a lubricant, and

wherein the peptide has a chromatographic purity of no less than 91% after storage for at least three months.

3. A method of treating or alleviating a symptom associated with chronic idiopathic constipation or irritable bowel syndrome in a human subject comprising

orally administering to said human subject a composition consisting of SEQ ID NO:1

wherein the peptide is a [4,12; 7,15] bicycle, an inert low moisture carrier, and a lubricant, and

wherein the peptide has a chromatographic purity of no less than 91% after storage for at least three months.

Ex. 1001, 227:47–53, 227:58–65.

Challenged dependent claims 2, 5–7, and 11–13 depend directly or indirectly from claim 1. Challenged dependent claims 4, 8–10, and 14–16 depend directly or indirectly from claim 3.

*F. Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability*

Petitioner asserts that claims 1–16 are unpatentable on the following four grounds:

Ground	Claim(s) Challenged	35 U.S.C. §	Reference(s)/Basis
1	1–6, 8–9, 11–16	103(a)	Shailubhai, <sup>2</sup> Camilleri, <sup>3</sup> Remington, <sup>4</sup> Mihranyan <sup>5</sup>
2	7, 10	103(a)	Shailubhai, Camilleri, Remington, Mihranyan, Currie <sup>6</sup>
3	1–4, 11–16	103(a)	2009 Abstract, <sup>7</sup> Doelker <sup>8</sup>
4	5–10	103(a)	2009 Abstract, Doelker, Currie

<sup>2</sup> Shailubhai et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,041,786 B2, issued May 9, 2006 (“Shailubhai,” Ex. 1005).

<sup>3</sup> Camilleri et al., *Challenges to the Therapeutic Pipeline for Irritable Bowel Syndrome: End Points and Regulatory Hurdles*, GASTROENTEROL., 135, 1877–1891 (2008) (“Camilleri,” Ex. 1031).

<sup>4</sup> Rudnic, *Chapter 45: Oral Solid Dosage Forms*, in REMINGTON: THE SCIENCE AND PRACTICE OF PHARMACY 21<sup>st</sup> ed. (2005) (“Remington,” Ex. 1006).

<sup>5</sup> Mihranyan et al., *Moisture Sorption by Cellulose Powders of Varying Crystallinity*, 269(2) Int. J. Pharm. 433–442 (2004) (“Mihranyan,” Ex. 1007).

<sup>6</sup> Currie et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0020811, published Jan. 27, 2005 (“Currie,” Ex. 1032).

<sup>7</sup> Shailubhai et al., SP-304 to Treat GI Disorders – Effects of a Single, Oral-Dose of SP-304 on Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics in Healthy Volunteers, 136(5) Gastroenterol. A641, Abstract W1041 (2009) (“2009 Abstract,” Ex. 1009).

<sup>8</sup> Doelker et al., *Morphological, Packing, Flow and Tableting Properties of New Avicel Types*, 21(6) Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 643–661 (1995) (“Doelker,” Ex. 1010).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.