UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASSA ABLOY AB, ASSA ABLOY Inc., ASSA ABLOY Residential Group, Inc., August Home, Inc., HID Global Corporation, and ASSA ABLOY Global Solutions, Inc., Petitioners,

v.

CPC Patent Technologies PTY LTD., Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-01094

Patent No. 8,620,039

SECOND DECLARATION OF STUART LIPOFF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,620,039 (CLAIMS 3-12 AND 15-18)



Contents

I.	EN	GAGE	EMENT1				
II.		ACKGROUND QUALIFICATIONS, PATENT AND PRIOR RT SUMMARY1					
III.	CL	AIM C	CONS	TRUCTION	1		
	A.			osed construction of "memory location defined by the ard information" is incorrect	2		
IV.			DERSTANDINGS OF THE LEGAL STANDARDS OF PATION AND OBVIOUSNESS10				
V.				NGED CLAIMS OF THE '039 PATENT ARE	10		
	A.	GROUND #1: The Hsu-Sanford combination renders claims 3, 4, 6-11, 15, 16, and 18 obvious			11		
		1.	by	ford-Hsu teaches Limitation 3[D(1)]'s "defined ." under Petitioners' First Construction and the rd's preliminary construction	11		
		2.		ford-Hsu teaches Limitation 3[D(1)]'s "defined ." under PO's construction	13		
	B.	GROUND #2: The Hsu-Sanford-Tsukamura combination renders claims 3, 4, 6-11, 15, 16, and 18 obvious					
		1.	Alle	ged deficiencies of Tsukamura are irrelevant	15		
			a.	My first declaration does not rely on Tsukamura's IC card 21 for disclosing "card information"	15		
			b.	Tsukamura's index-based system is materially the same as the '039 Patent's pointer system	16		
			c.	The differences between Tsukamura's index-based system and the '039 Patent's pointer system are immaterial to unpatentability of the Challenged Claims	17		
		2.		OSITA would have been motivated to combine Hsuford with Tsukamura	18		
			a.	The Challenged Claims do not require a particular type of data storage	18		



Case No. IPR2022-01094 Patent No. 8,620,039

	b.	Replacing Hsu's database with Tsukamura's	
		memory configuration is a suitable option	20
	c.	Tsukamura's array is not undesirable	21
VI	CONCLUDING	STATEMENTS	28



EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBITS FILED BY PETITIONERS (New Exhibits in <i>Italics</i>)				
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,620,039 ("'039 Patent")			
Ex. 1002	Patent Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,620,039			
Ex. 1003	European Patent Pub. No. EP 0924655A2 to Hsu et al. ("Hsu")			
Ex. 1004	World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Int. Pub. No. WO 2003077077A2 (03/077077) to Kirk Sanford ("Sanford")			
Ex. 1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,963,660 to Yoshihiro Tsukamura and Takeshi Funahashi ("Tsukamura")			
Ex. 1007	Declaration of Stuart Lipoff Regarding Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 8,620,039			
Ex. 1008	Curriculum Vitae of Stuart Lipoff			
Ex. 1009	European Patent Pub. No. EP 0881608A1 to Walter Leu ("Leu Original")			
Ex. 1010	Certified English Translation of European Patent Pub. No. EP 0881608A1 to Walter Leu ("Leu")			



Ex. 1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,790,674 to Robert C. Houvener and Ian P. Hoenisch ("Houvener")
Ex. 1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,956,415 to McCalley et al. ("McCalley")
Ex. 1013	Claim Construction Order in <i>CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00165-ADA, Dkt. No. 76 ("Apple CC Order")
Ex. 1014	Joint Claim Construction Statement in <i>CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00165-ADA, Dkt. No. 57 ("Apple Joint CC Statement")
Ex. 1015	Excerpts from Bloomsbury English Dictionary, 2 nd Edition (2004)
Ex. 1017	Excerpts from The Chambers Dictionary, 4th Edition (2003)
Ex. 1018	CPC Publicly Filed Infringement Allegations Against Apple regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,620,039
Ex. 1019	World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Int. Pub. No. WO 2001022351A1 (01/022351) to Gerald R. Black ("Black")
Ex. 1020	World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Int. Pub. No. WO 2004055738A1 (04/055738) to Svein Mathiassen and Ivar Mathiassen ("Mathiassen")
Ex. 1021	Excerpts from The Art Of Computer Programming, Volume 3 Sorting and Searching (1973) ("Knuth Vol. 3")



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

