

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ASSA ABLOY AB, ASSA ABLOY INC.,  
ASSA ABLOY RESIDENTIAL GROUP, INC.,  
AUGUST HOME, INC.,  
HID GLOBAL CORPORATION, and  
ASSA ABLOY GLOBAL SOLUTIONS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

CPC PATENT TECHNOLOGIES PTY, LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2022-01006 (Patent 9,665,705 B2)  
IPR2022-01045 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)  
IPR2022-01089 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before SCOTT A. DANIELS, BARRY L. GROSSMAN, and  
AMBER L. HAGY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GROSSMAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

SUPPLEMENT TO  
SCHEDULING ORDER FOLLOWING REMAND

---

<sup>1</sup> This Order applies to each of the above captioned proceedings. Unless specifically authorized by the Board, the parties are not authorized to use this style of filing.

IPR2022-01006 (Patent 9,665,705 B2)  
IPR2022-01045 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)  
IPR2022-01089 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)

On March 28, 2024, we issued a Scheduling Order pursuant to the Director’s Review Decision vacating the Board’s Final Written Decisions and remanding these three cases to the Board Panel for further proceedings consistent with the Director’s Review Decision. *See* Paper 52 (“Remand Scheduling Order”).<sup>2</sup> The Remand Scheduling Order did not provide a specific date “setting forth the date by which a decision on remand will issue.” *See Revised Interim Director Review Process* (available at [www.uspto.gov/patents/ptab/decisions/revised-interim-director-review-process](http://www.uspto.gov/patents/ptab/decisions/revised-interim-director-review-process)).<sup>3</sup> Because our Remand Scheduling Order did not provide the required “date by which a decision on remand will issue,” we now provide

---

<sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise noted, all citations are to papers and exhibits in IPR2022-01006. The same Order was filed in each of IPR2022-01045 and IPR2022-01089.

<sup>3</sup> Section 5.A.ii.(e) of the *Revised Interim Director Review Process* states: In cases where the Director remands a decision to the Board for further proceedings, absent a due date specified in the Director’s remand order or extension thereof, the Board has established a goal to issue decisions in cases remanded from the Director as soon as possible. Even for the most complex records, for example, those that may require additional discovery, briefing, and/or an oral hearing, the Board’s goal is to issue the decision within six months of the date of the remand unless a due date is specified in the remand order. *Accord* SOP 3 (rev 2, formerly SOP 9): *Procedure for Decisions Remanded from the Federal Circuit for Further Proceedings*. The procedure and pace of a remand will vary depending on the type of case, the legal and factual issues involved, the specific instructions from the Director, and any other particular circumstances of the case. *Upon remand, the Board will issue an order setting forth the date by which a decision on remand will issue* (emphasis added).

IPR2022-01006 (Patent 9,665,705 B2)

IPR2022-01045 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)

IPR2022-01089 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)

the required date. A decision on remand in these three proceedings will issue on or before September 15, 2024.

IPR2022-01006 (Patent 9,665,705 B2)  
IPR2022-01045 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)  
IPR2022-01089 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Dion Bregman  
Andrew Devkar  
James Kritsas  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
dion.bregman@morganlewis.com  
andrew.devkar@morganlewis.com  
james.kritsas@morganlewis.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Michael Rye  
CANTOR COLBURN LLP  
mrye@cantorcolburn.com