Paper 23 Date: December 1, 2022

UNITED STAT	ΓES PATENT	AND TRAI	DEMARK (OFFICE
BEFORE THI	E PATENT TR	IAL AND A	APPEAL B	OARD

ASSA ABLOY AB, ASSA ABLOY INC.,
ASSA ABLOY RESIDENTIAL GROUP, INC., AUGUST HOME, INC.,
HID GLOBAL CORPORATION, and
ASSA ABLOY GLOBAL SOLUTIONS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CPC PATENT TECHNOLOGIES PTY, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-01006
Patent 9,665,705 B2

Before SCOTT A. DANIELS, BARRY L. GROSSMAN, and AMBER L. HAGY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GROSSMAN, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION
Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review
35 U.S.C. § 314



I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background and Summary

ASSA ABLOY AB, ASSA ABLOY Inc., ASSA ABLOY Residential Group, Inc., August Home, Inc., HID Global Corporation, and ASSA ABLOY Global Solutions, Inc. (collectively "Petitioner") filed a Petition requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1–17 (the "challenged claims") of U.S. Patent No. 9,665,705 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the '705 patent"). Paper 2 ("Pet."), 1, 4. CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd. ("Patent Owner") filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition. Paper 9 (Prelim. Resp."). With our authorization to address Patent Owner's arguments that the Petition is time-barred under 35 USC § 315(b) (*see* Paper 16), Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 18 ("Prelim. Reply")); and Patent Owner filed a Sur-Reply (Paper 20 ("Sur-Reply")).

We have authority to determine whether to institute an *inter partes* review. 35 U.S.C. § 314 (2018); 37 C.F.R. § 42.4(a) (2022) (permitting the Board to institute trial on behalf of the Director). To institute an *inter partes* review, we must determine that the information presented in the petition, any preliminary response, or other pre-institution briefing shows "a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition." 35 U.S.C. § 314(a). "The

¹ See Cradlepoint, Inc. et al v. 3G Licensing S.A., IPR2021-00639, Paper 12, 2 (PTAB May 13, 2021) ("[F]or each 'petition' there is but a single party filing the petition, no matter how many companies are listed as petitioner or petitioners and how many companies are identified as real parties-in-interest. . . . Even though the separate sub-entities regard and identify themselves as 'Petitioners,' before the Board they constitute and stand in the shoes of a single 'Petitioner. . . . they must speak with a single voice, in both written and oral representation.").



IPR2022-01006 Patent 9,665,705 B2

'reasonable likelihood' standard is a somewhat flexible standard that allows the Board room to exercise judgment." Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, 53 (Nov. 2019) ("TPG").²

Petitioner has the burden of proof. Petitioner's burden does not change even if Patent Owner does not file a preliminary response, or files a preliminary response without addressing the substantive unpatentability assertions. *Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.*, 815 F.3d 1356, 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2016) ("In an [inter partes review], the petitioner has the burden from the onset to show with particularity why the patent it challenges is unpatentable."). This burden of persuasion never shifts to Patent Owner. *Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat'l Graphics, Inc.*, 800 F.3d 1375, 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2015).

A decision to institute is "a simple yes-or-no institution choice respecting a petition, embracing all challenges included in the petition." *PGS Geophysical AS v. Iancu*, 891 F.3d 1354, 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018). For the reasons set forth below, we determine that Petitioner has demonstrated that there is a reasonable likelihood that at least one of the challenged claims is unpatentable. Accordingly, we institute an *inter partes* review of all challenged claims and on all grounds asserted in the Petition.

B. Real Parties-in-Interest

Petitioner identifies "ASSA ABLOY AB, ASSA ABLOY Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries ASSA ABLOY Residential Group, Inc., August Home, Inc., HID Global Corporation, and ASSA ABLOY Global Solutions,

² The TPG is available at https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/tpgnov.pdf.



IPR2022-01006 Patent 9,665,705 B2

Inc." as the real parties-in-interest. Pet. 1. Petitioner also states "ASSA ABLOY AB is the ultimate parent of all parties-in-interest." *Id*.

Patent Owner identifies itself as the sole real party-in-interest. Paper 5, 2.

The entirety of Patent Owner's Preliminary Response is devoted to the issue of whether "the Petition is time-barred under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) because Apple, Inc. ('Apple') is a real party in interest ('RPI') or privy, and Patent Owner served a complaint on Apple alleging infringement of the '705 Patent more than 1 year before this Petition was filed." *See, e.g.*, Prelim. Resp. 1. We address this issue in Section II of this Decision.

C. Related Matters

Petitioner identifies the following matters as being related to this proceeding:

- 1) ASSA ABLOY AB, et al. v. CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd., et al., No. 3-22-cv-00694 (D. Conn.);
- 2) CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd v. HMD Global Oy,³ WDTX-6-21-cv-00166-ADA (W.D. Tex.);
- 3) CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd v. Apple Inc., No. 5:22-cv-02553-NC (N.D. Cal); and
- 4) IPR2022-00602 and IPR2022-00601, identified as pending IPR challenges filed by Apple against, respectively, the '705 patent and related U.S. Patent No. 9,269,208 (the "'208 patent).⁴

⁴ The '705 patent is a "[c]ontinuation of application No. 13/572,166, filed on Aug. 10, 2012, now Pat. No. 9,269,208." Ex. 1001 code (63).



³ Petitioner states HID Global, one of the named Petitioners in this IPR proceeding, and HMD Global, the named defendant in the cited litigation, "have no relation to one another." Pet. 2, fn 2.

IPR2022-01006 Patent 9,665,705 B2

Pet. 1–2.

Petitioner also informs us that it has filed "two petitions (IPR2022-01045 and -01089) challenging the claims of" the related '208 patent.

Pet. 1.

Patent Owner identifies the above matters as related to the present IPR proceeding. Paper 5, 2. Patent Owner further identifies the following IPR proceedings: IPR2022-00600; IPR2022-01093; and IPR2022-01094. *Id.* at 2–3.

D. The '705 Patent

The '705 patent discloses a system "for providing secure access to a controlled item." Ex. 1001, Abstr. The "controlled item" can be, for example, the locking mechanism of a door or an electronic lock on a personal computer. *Id.* at 1:43–46.⁵ The system uses a database of "biometric signatures" (*id.* at 2:32), such as a fingerprint (*id.* at 7:36) for determining authorized access.

Figure 2 from the '705 patent is reproduced below.

⁵ Citations are to column:line[s] of the '705 patent.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

