

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ASSA ABLOY AB, ASSA ABLOY INC., ASSA ABLOY  
RESIDENTIAL GROUP, INC., AUGUST HOME, INC., HID GLOBAL  
CORPORATION, and ASSA ABLOY GLOBAL SOLUTIONS, INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

CPC PATENT TECHNOLOGIES PTY LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-01006 (Patent 9,665,705 B2)  
IPR2022-01045 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)  
IPR2022-01089 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before SCOTT A. DANIELS, BARRY L. GROSSMAN, and  
AMBER L. HAGY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GROSSMAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting the Joint Request for a Revised Scheduling Order  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.5*

---

<sup>1</sup> A copy of this Order will be entered in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this combined caption.

Cases IPR2022-01006 (Patent 9,665,705 B2)  
IPR2022-01045 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)  
IPR2022-01089 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)

This is in response to the March 30, 2023, email from counsel for Petitioner concerning a Joint Notice of Stipulation to Adjust and Consolidate Schedules of Related Proceedings, filed in each of IPR2022-01006; 01045; and 01089. *See, e.g.*, Paper 29 in IPR2022-01006; *see also* Ex. 3008 in IPR2022-01006 (copy of March 30, 2023 email). The Board appreciates each party's cooperation and efforts to coordinate the schedules in the three related IPR proceedings.

As stated in the Scheduling Orders in these cases,

The parties may stipulate different dates for DUE DATES 1, 5, and 6, as well as the portion of DUE DATE 2 related to Petitioner's reply (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 3 for Patent Owner's sur-reply) and the portion of DUE DATE 3 related to Patent Owner's sur-reply (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 7). The parties may not stipulate to a different date for the portion of DUE DATE 2 related to Petitioner's opposition to a motion to amend, or for the portion of DUE DATE 3 related to Patent Owner's reply to an opposition to a motion to amend (or Patent Owner's revised motion to amend) without prior authorization from the Board.

*See, e.g.*, IPR2022-01006, Paper 24 at 8–9.

The proposed revised schedule appears to comply with all requirements for the Schedule changes the parties are authorized to make *without* Board approval. Nonetheless, by way of this Order, and to avoid any confusion, the Board approves the revised coordinated schedule for IPR2022-01006; 01045; and 01089, as proposed in exemplary Paper 29 in IPR2022-01006.

It is so ORDERED.

Cases IPR2022-01006 (Patent 9,665,705 B2)  
IPR2022-01045 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)  
IPR2022-01089 (Patent 9,269,208 B2)

PETITIONER:

Dion Bregman  
Andrew Devkar  
James Kritsas  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
dion.bregman@morganlewis.com  
andrew.devkar@morganlewis.com  
james.kritsas@morganlewis.com

PATENT OWNER:

Andrew Ryan  
CANTOR COLBURN LLP  
ryan@cantorcolburn.com