

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASSA ABLOY AB, ASSA ABLOY INC., ASSA ABLOY RESIDENTIAL
GROUP, INC., AUGUST HOME, INC., HID GLOBAL CORPORATION,
ASSA ABLOY GLOBAL SOLUTIONS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CPC PATENT TECHNOLOGIES PTY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-01006
Patent 9,665,705

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. '705 PATENT OVERVIEW	5
III. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL	8
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	8
A. Previously Adopted Claim Constructions.....	8
B. The Intrinsic Evidence Supports The Board’s Adopted Construction Of “Biometric Signal”	10
C. The '705 Patent’s Inclusion Of “Voice” Is Consistent With The Board’s Adopted Construction Of “Biometric Signal”	11
D. Mr. Lipoff’s Reliance On <i>Behavioral</i> Biometric Attributes Is Misplaced	15
1. “Voice” Biometrics	15
2. “Typing Stroke” Biometrics	17
V. THE PRIOR ART FAILS TO RENDER THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OBVIOUS	19
A. The Asserted Prior Art Does Not Teach Or Suggest A Series of Entries Of The Biometric Signal, Said Series Being Characterised According To At Least One Of The Number Of Said Entries And A Duration Of Each Said Entry [Limitation D(1)]	21
1. Bianco Does Not Teach Or Suggest The Biometric Signal Duration Limitation	22
2. Mathiassen Does Not Teach Or Suggest The Biometric Signal Duration Limitation	25
a. Mathiassen Does Not A Number/Duration Of Biometric Entries To Issue An Instruction.....	25
b. Mr. Lipoff Admitted That Mathiassen Is Silent As To Whether The Single Touch-Sensitive Switch	

	Scans The Fingerprint While In A Navigation Mode	30
c.	Mathiassen Teaches That The Single Touch-Sensitive Switch Does Not Biometrically Scan The Fingerprint While In A Navigation Mode	31
d.	A POSITA Familiar With The State Of The Art Would Have Understood That The Single Touch-Sensitive Switch Does Not Scan The Fingerprint While In A Navigation Mode	33
B.	Mapping Said Series Of The Biometric Signal Into An Instruction.....	38
C.	Populate The Database Of Biometric Signatures According To The Instruction	39
D.	Petitioners Have Not Established That A POSITA Would Have Been Motivated To Combine Bianco And Mathiassen	41
E.	Independent Claims 10, 11 And 14-17	46
F.	Dependent Claims	46
VI.	THE PETITION IS TIME-BARRED UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(b).....	47
A.	Applicable Legal Standards	47
1.	Petitioners Bear The Burden Of Persuasion	47
2.	RPI And Privity Standards.....	47
a.	Scope Of Real Parties In Interest.....	48
b.	Scope Of Privity	49
3.	Institution Is Barred Under Section 315(b) Because Apple Is An RPI And Privy Of Petitioners.....	50
B.	Apple Is An Unnamed RPI To This Proceeding.....	50
1.	Control Is Not A Requirement For A Non-Party To Be A Real-Party-In-Interest	50
2.	Apple Has A Preexisting, Established Business Relationship With Petitioners	51
a.	Petitioners Admit Their Preexisting, Established Business Relationship With Apple	51

b.	The Apple Agreement Also Establishes That Apple Is An RPI.....	53
i.	Representations And Warranties Of Noninfringement	56
ii.	Indemnification Clauses.....	58
iii.	Product Inspection Clause.....	59
iv.	Insurance Coverage Clause	60
v.	Apple Appointed As Petitioners' Agent	61
3.	Apple Is A Clear Beneficiary Of The Petition.....	62
4.	The Petitioners Filed An IPR Petition Against The '039 Patent For Apple's Benefit.....	63
C.	Apple Is A Privy To This Proceeding	64
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	64

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Applications in Internet Time, LLC v. RPX Corp.</i> , 897 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	passim
<i>Bungie v. Worlds Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01264 (PTAB Jan. 14, 2020)	passim
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Hewlett Packard Enter. Co.</i> , IPR2017-01933 (PTAB Mar. 16, 2018)	48, 50
<i>CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00165-ADA	8
<i>CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd v. HMD Global Oy</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00166-ADA	8
<i>Ecolochem, Inc. v. Southern California Edison Co.</i> , 227 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	45
<i>Google LLC et al v. Cywee Grp. Ltd.</i> , IPR2018-01257 (PTAB Jan. 9, 2020)	48
<i>Honeywell Int’l, Inc. v. Universal Avionics Sys. Corp.</i> , 493 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	10
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	8
<i>RPX Corp. v. Applications in Internet Time, LLC</i> , IPR2015-01750 (PTAB Oct. 2, 2020)	63
<i>SciMed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc.</i> , 242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	10
<i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i> , 553 U.S. 880 (2008)	49, 63
<i>Trs. of Columbia Univ. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 811 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.