

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASSA ABLOY AB, ASSA ABLOY Inc.,
ASSA ABLOY Residential Group, Inc., August Home, Inc., HID Global
Corporation, and ASSA ABLOY Global Solutions, Inc.,
Petitioners,

v.

CPC Patent Technologies PTY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-01006

Patent No. 9,665,705

DECLARATION OF STUART LIPOFF

U.S. PATENT NO. 9,665,705 (CLAIMS 1-17)

Petitioners Ex. 1005

Contents

- I. ENGAGEMENT1
- II. PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.....2
- III. MATERIALS REVIEWED7
- IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE RELEVANT TIMEFRAME, THE RELEVANT FIELD, AND A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....7
- V. OVERVIEW OF THE '705 PATENT8
- VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION13
 - A. Terms to be Construed14
 - 1. “signal for directing input” / “feedback signal adapted to direct provision of... the biometric signal”14
 - B. Previously-Construed Terms.....15
 - 1. “accessibility attribute”16
 - 2. “biometric signature”16
 - 3. “[map|mapping] said series into an instruction”16
 - 4. “series”16
 - 5. “being characterized [according to|determining|determine]”16
 - 6. “at least one of the number of said entries and a duration of each said entry”16
 - 7. “collocated”17
- VII. ANTICIPATION17
- VIII. OBVIOUSNESS.....17
- IX. OPINIONS REGARDING PATENTABILITY18
- X. THE CLAIMS OF THE '705 PATENT ARE INVALID.....20
 - A. GROUND #1: Claims 1, 3-5, and 9-17 are rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen.....20
 - 1. Claim 1 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen.....20
 - 2. Claim 3 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen.....87

3.	Claim 4 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen.....	97
4.	Claim 5 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen.....	99
5.	Claim 9 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen.....	101
6.	Claims 10-11, 14-17 are rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen	102
7.	Claim 12 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen.....	106
8.	Claim 13 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen.....	111
B.	GROUND #2: Claims 2 and 6-7 are rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen in view of Houvener	113
1.	Claim 2 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen in view of Houvener.....	113
2.	Claim 6 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen in view of Houvener.....	120
3.	Claim 7 is rendered obvious by Bianco and Mathiassen in view of Houvener.....	126
C.	GROUND #3: Claim 8 is rendered obvious by Bianco, Mathiassen, Houvener, and Richmond	132
1.	Claim 8.....	132
XI.	CONCLUDING STATEMENTS.....	136

EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBITS FILED BY PETITIONERS	
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,665,705 (“Burke II”)
Ex. 1002	Patent Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 9,665,705
Ex. 1003	U.S. Patent No. 6,256,737 to Bianco <i>et al.</i> (“Bianco”)
Ex. 1004	World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Int. Pub. No. WO 2002028067A1 (02/28067) to Mathiassen (“Mathiassen”)
Ex. 1006	Curriculum Vitae of Stuart Lipoff
Ex. 1007	U.S. Patent No. 9,269,208 to Burke (“Burke I”)
Ex. 1008	Dawn Xiodong Song, David Wagner, and Xuqing Tian (University of California, Berkeley), “Timing Analysis of Keystrokes and Timing Attacks on SSH,” <i>USENIX Security Symposium</i> , vol. 2001 (2001), available at https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~daw/papers/ssh-use01.pdf .
Ex. 1009	Claim Construction Order in <i>CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd v. Apple Inc.</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00165-ADA, Dkt. No. 76 (“Apple CC Order”)

Ex. 1010	Claim Construction Order in <i>CPC Patent Technologies Pty Ltd v. HMD Global Oy</i> , WDTX-6-21-cv-00166-ADA, Dkt. No. 45 (“HMD CC Order”)
Ex. 1011	R. Stockton Gaines, William Lisowski, S. James Press, and Normal Shapiro (Rand), <i>Authentication by Keystroke Timing: Some Preliminary Results</i> , R-2526-NSF, May 1980. (“Gaines”)
Ex. 1012	Patent Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 9,269,208
Ex. 1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,790,674 to Robert C. Houvener and Ian P. Hoenisch (“Houvener”)
Ex. 1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,856,237 to Thomas R. Richmond, Suzanne Richmond, and Patrick S. Kochie (“Richmond”)
Ex. 1015	Fabian Monroe, Michael K. Reiter, and Susanne Wetzel. “Password Hardening Based on Keystroke Dynamics,” <i>Proceedings of the 6th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security</i> , November 1999. (“Monrose”)
Ex. 1017	Excerpts from Longman, <i>Dictionary of American English</i> , 3 rd Edition (2004)
Ex. 1018	Excerpts from Bloomsbury <i>English Dictionary</i> , 2 nd Edition (2004)
Ex. 1019	PCT Patent Publication WO 2008/113110 A1 to Christopher John Burke
Ex. 1020	CPC Infringement Allegations re U.S. Patent No. 9,269,208

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.