UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., APPLE INC., AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., Petitioner,
v.
SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Patent Owner.
Case IPR2022-01004 Patent 9,614,943

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper 14), Petitioner submits this Request for Oral Argument on all of the instituted unpatentability grounds regarding U.S. Patent No. 9,614,943. Petitioner requests one hour per party of oral argument time. At the oral argument, Petitioner requests (without waiving consideration of any issue not listed below) to address the following issues:

- 1. Whether claims 1 and 5-9 are unpatentable over Byrne (EP 0 660 626 A2) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103;
- 2. Whether claims 3-4 are unpatentable over Byrne and WO748 (PCT Publication No. WO 98/27748) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103;
- 3. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable over Byrne, Johnston (US Patent No. 5,784,032), and Pillekamp (US Patent No. 5,594,737) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103;
- 4. Whether claims 13-14 are unpatentable over Byrne, Johnston, Pillekamp, and Billstrom (US Patent No. 5,590,133) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103;
- 5. Whether claims 1-2 and 5-9 are unpatentable over Raleigh (US Patent No. 6,144,711) and Byrne pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103;
- 6. Whether claims 3-4 are unpatentable over Raleigh, Byrne, and WO748 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103;



Proceeding No. IPR2022-01004 Attorney Docket No. 39843-0128IP1

7. Whether claims 12, 15, and 18-20 are unpatentable over Raleigh, Byrne,

and Pillekamp pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103;

8. Whether claims 13-14 are unpatentable over Raleigh, Byrne, Pillekamp,

and Billstrom pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103;

9. Whether claims 16-17 are unpatentable over Raleigh, Byrne, Pillekamp,

and WO748 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103;

10. Any claim construction issues;

11. Any procedural and/or evidentiary issues;

12. Rebuttal to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters; and

13. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks clarification.

If the hearing is held in person, Petitioner requests the ability to use audio

visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including the use of a projector

and screen that connects to a laptop computer.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 26, 2023 /Jeremy J. Monaldo/

Jeremy J. Monaldo, Reg. No. 58,680

Attorney for Petitioner



Proceeding No. IPR2022-01004 Attorney Docket No. 39843-0128IP1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(1) and 42.6(e)(4)(iii), the undersigned certifies that on July 26, 2023, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument was provided by email to the Patent Owner by serving the email correspondence addresses of record as follows:

Rex Hwang
Todd Martin
Steven J. Udick
SKIERMONT DERBY LLP
633 West 5th Street, Suite 5800
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Philip J. Graves Greer N. Shaw GRAVES & SHAW LLP 355 S. Grand Ave., Suite 2450 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Email: rhwang@skiermontderby.com
tmartin@skiermontderby.com
sudick@skiermontderby.com
pgraves@gravesshaw.com
gghaw@gravesshaw.com
gghaw@gravesshaw.com

/Diana Bradley/

Diana Bradley Fish & Richardson P.C. 60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 (858) 678-5667

