

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Sunil K. Rao, et al.  
U.S. Patent No.: 9,614,943 Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0128IP1  
Issue Date: April 4, 2017  
Appl. Serial No.: 13/621,294  
Filing Date: September 17, 2012  
Title: SYSTEM TO INTERFACE INTERNET PROTOCOL (IP) BASED  
WIRELESS DEVICES WITH SUBTASKS AND CHANNELS

**DECLARATION OF DR. MICHAEL ALLEN JENSEN**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	ASSIGNMENT.....	5
II.	QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	6
III.	OVERVIEW OF CONCLUSIONS FORMED.....	11
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	18
V.	LEGAL STANDARDS.....	19
	A. Claim Construction.....	19
	B. Legal Standards for Obviousness.....	20
VI.	The '943 Patent.....	25
	A. Overview of the '943 Patent.....	25
	B. File History of the '943 Patent.....	26
VII.	SUMMARY OF THE CITED PRIOR ART.....	28
	A. Overview of Byrne.....	28
	B. Overview of WO748.....	29
	C. Overview of Johnston.....	32
	D. Overview of Pillekamp.....	33
	E. Overview of Raleigh.....	34
	F. Overview of Billström.....	37
VIII.	ANALYSIS OF BYRNE (GROUND 1A).....	39
	A. Claim 1.....	39
	B. Claim 5.....	53
	C. Claim 6.....	56
	D. Claim 7.....	58
	E. Claim 8.....	59
	F. Claim 9.....	61
IX.	ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF BYRNE AND WO748 (GROUND 1B).....	62

A.	Combination of Byrne and WO748 .....	62
B.	Claim 3 .....	65
C.	Claim 4 .....	69
X.	ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF BYRNE, JOHNSTON, AND PILLEKAMP (GROUND 1C) .....	70
A.	Combination of Byrne, Johnston, and Pillekamp .....	70
B.	Claim 12 .....	77
XI.	ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF BYRNE, JOHNSTON, PILLEKAMP, AND BILLSTRÖM (GROUND 1D) .....	80
A.	Combination of Byrne, Johnston, Pillekamp, and Billström.....	80
B.	Claim 13 .....	84
C.	Claim 14 .....	85
XII.	ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF RALEIGH and BYRNE (GROUND 2A).....	85
A.	Combination of Raleigh and Byrne.....	85
B.	Claim 1 .....	92
C.	Claim 2 .....	106
D.	Claim 5 .....	109
E.	Claim 6 .....	113
F.	Claim 7 .....	114
G.	Claim 8 .....	115
H.	Claim 9 .....	117
XIII.	ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF RALEIGH, BYRNE, AND WO748 (GROUND 2B) .....	119
A.	Combination of Raleigh, Byrne, and WO748.....	119
B.	Claim 3 .....	120

C.	Claim 4 .....	120
XIV.	ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF RALEIGH, BYRNE, AND PILLEKAMP (GROUND 2C).....	120
A.	Combination of Raleigh, Byrne, and Pillekamp .....	120
B.	Claim 12 .....	123
C.	Claim 15 .....	126
D.	Claim 18 .....	129
E.	Claim 19 .....	132
F.	Claim 20 .....	133
XV.	ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF RALEIGH, BYRNE, PILLEKAMP, AND BILLSTRÖM (GROUND 2D) .....	134
A.	Combination of Raleigh, Byrne, Pillekamp, and Billström .....	134
B.	Claim 13 .....	138
C.	Claim 14 .....	139
XVI.	ANALYSIS OF COMBINATION OF RALEIGH, BYRNE, PILLEKAMP, and WO748 (GROUND 2E).....	139
A.	Combination of Raleigh, Byrne, Pillekamp, and WO748.....	139
B.	Claim 16 .....	141
C.	Claim 17 .....	145
XVII.	CONCLUSION.....	147

I, Michael A. Jensen, declare as follows:

## **I. ASSIGNMENT**

1. I have been retained as a technical expert by counsel on behalf of Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Petitioner”). I understand that Petitioner is requesting that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board institute an inter partes review (“IPR”) proceeding with respect to U.S. Patent No. 9,614,943 (“the ’943 patent”).

2. I have been asked to provide my independent analysis of the ’943 patent in light of the prior art publications cited in Section VII below.

3. I am not, and never have been, an employee of Petitioner. I received no compensation for this Declaration beyond my normal hourly compensation based on my time actually spent analyzing the ’943 patent, the prior art publications cited in Section VII below, and issues related thereto, and I will not receive any added compensation based on the outcome of any IPR or other proceeding involving the ’943 patent.

4. My analysis here is based on my years of education, research and experience, as well as my investigation and study of relevant materials, including those cited here. I may rely upon these materials, my knowledge and experience, and/or additional materials to rebut arguments raised by the Patent Owner. Further, I may also consider additional documents and information in forming any

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.