

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.; MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS,
INC.; and MICRON TECHNOLOGY TEXAS LLC,
Petitioners,

v.

NETLIST, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00418
U.S. Patent No. 8,301,833

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,301,833**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction	1
II. Requirements for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	1
A. Certification	2
B. Identification of Challenge	2
III. The '833 Patent	2
A. Effective Filing Date.....	2
B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	4
C. Overview	5
D. Relevant Prosecution History	7
E. Related Patents.....	8
IV. Claim Construction	9
V. Detailed Discussion of the Grounds for Unpatentability	9
A. Overview of the Principal Prior Art.....	10
1. Best (Ex. 1006).....	10
a. Prior Art Status.....	10
b. Overview of Best	12
2. Bonella (Ex. 1008).....	14
3. Mills (Ex. 1009).....	17
B. Ground 1: Under the Doctrine of Collateral Estoppel, Claims 1 and 15 are Unpatentable and Netlistis Estopped From Relitigating those Adjudicated Issues 18	
C. Ground 2: Claims 1, 3-17, and 19-30 Are Obvious Over Best in View of Bonella and Mills	24
1. Claims 1 and 15	24
a. Preambles	25
b. Operating a “Volatile Memory Subsystem” at a “First Clock Frequency”	26
c. Operating a “Non-Volatile Memory Subsystem” at a “Second Clock Frequency”	29

d. Operating the “Volatile Memory Subsystem” at a “Third Clock Frequency”	34
2. Claim 16	38
3. Claim 17	42
4. Claims 3 and 19	44
5. Claims 4 and 20	46
6. Claims 5 and 21	47
7. Claims 6 and 22	47
8. Claims 7 and 23	48
9. Claims 8 and 24	51
10. Claims 9 and 25	52
11. Claims 10 and 26	53
12. Claims 11 and 27	53
13. Claims 12 and 28	54
14. Claims 13 and 29	55
15. Claims 14 and 30	58
D. No Secondary Considerations Exist	60
VI. The Parallel Litigation Does Not Warrant Denying Institution.....	60
VII. Past IPRs Do Not Warrant Denying Institution.....	63
VIII. Mandatory Notices.....	64
A. Real Parties-in-Interest.....	64
B. Related Proceedings.....	64
C. Lead and Backup Counsel	65
D. Electronic Service	65
IX. Fees.....	65
X. Conclusion.....	66

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Amazon.com, Inc. v. M2M Solutions LLC</i> , IPR2019-01204, Paper 43 (PTAB January 20, 2021)	22
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020)	60, 62
<i>Apple, Inc. v. SEVEN Networks, LLC</i> , IPR2020-00156, Paper 10 (PTAB June 15, 2020)	61, 62
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	3, 10
<i>General Plastic Industrial Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha.</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017).....	63
<i>Hyatt v. Boone</i> , 146 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	3
<i>Micron Tech., Inc. v. Godo Kaisha IP Bridge I</i> , IPR2020-01007, Paper 15 (PTAB December 7, 2020)	62
<i>Mobile Tech, Inc. v. InVue Security Products Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01138, Paper 28 (PTAB Dec. 5, 2019)	22, 23
<i>Nevro Corp. v. Boston Scientific Neuromodulation Corp.</i> , IPR2019-01313, Paper 74 (PTAB January 19, 2021)	19
<i>Ohio Willow Wood Co. v. Alps South, LLC</i> , 735 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	18, 21, 22
<i>RimFrost AS v. Aker Biomarine Antarctic AS</i> , IPR2018-01178, Paper 34 (PTAB Jan. 13, 2020)	19
<i>Rimfrost AS v. Aker Biomarine Antarctic AS</i> , IPR2018-01730, Paper 35 (PTAB March 6, 2020)	19
<i>Sand Revolution II, LLC v. Cont’l Intermodal Grp–Trucking LLC</i> , IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (June 16, 2020).....	62

Petition for IPR re U.S. Patent No. 8,301,833

Shure Inc. v. Clearone, Inc.,
PGR2020-00079, Paper 14 (February 16, 2021).....62

Thorne Research, Inc. v. Trustees of Dartmouth College,
IPR2021-00268, Paper 21 (PTAB June 10, 2021)19, 23, 24

VirnetX Inc. v. Apple, Inc.,
909 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2018)19

VMware, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC,
IPR2020-00470, Paper 13 (PTAB August 18, 2020)60

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 10210, 12, 14, 17

35 U.S.C. § 1032, 18

35 U.S.C. § 1193

35 U.S.C. § 1123

35 U.S.C § 314(a)63

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 421

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.