

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
Petitioner,

v.

NETLIST, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2022-00996 (Patent 11,016,918 B2)  
IPR2022-00999 (Patent 11,232,054 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Record of Oral Hearing  
Held: September 11, 2023

---

Before: PATRICK M. BOUCHER, JON M. JURGOVAN, and  
DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, Administrative Patent Judges.

---

<sup>1</sup> We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each of the above-identified cases. The parties, are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers.

IPR2022-00996 (Patent 11,016,918 B2)

IPR2022-00999 (Patent 11,232,054 B2)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

THEODORE W. CHANDLER, ESQ.  
Baker Botts LLP  
101 California Street  
Suite 3200  
San Francisco, California 94111-5802  
415-291-6259  
ted.chandler@bakerbotts.com

JUAN C. YAQUIAN, ESQ.  
Winston & Strawn LLP  
800 Capitol Street  
Suite 2400  
Houston, Texas 77002-2925  
713-651-2645  
jyaquian@winston.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JASON SHEASBY, ESQ.  
HONG ANNITA ZHONG, ESQ.  
JONATHAN LINDSAY, ESQ.  
Irell & Manella LLP  
1800 Avenue of the Stars  
Suite 900  
Los Angeles, California 90067  
310-203-7096  
jsheasby@irell.com  
hzhong@irell.com  
jlindsay@irell.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing Monday,  
September 11, 2023, commencing at 1:03 p.m. EDT, via Video-conference.

PROCEEDINGS

1:03 p.m.

1  
2  
3 JUDGE JURGOVAN: This is the trial hearing for the following  
4 cases: IPR2022-00996 concerning U.S. Patent No. 11,016,918 B2 and  
5 IPR2022-00999 concerning U.S. Patent No. 11,232,054 B2.

6 The date is September 11, 2023. The time is 1:00 p.m. Eastern. On  
7 the panel today are APJ's Patrick Boucher, Daniel Galligan, and myself, Jon  
8 Jurgovan. Who will be speaking on behalf of the Petitioner in this case?

9 MR. CHANDLER: Morning, Your Honor. Ted Chandler from Baker  
10 Botts on behalf of the Petitioner, Samsung Electronics Co. Limited.

11 Also on the line is counsel from Micron. They're in an understudy  
12 position and won't be arguing.

13 But I believe they would like to introduce themselves this morning.

14 MR. YAQUIAN: Hi, Your Honors. This is Juan Yaquian here for  
15 Micron.

16 JUDGE JURGOVAN: Thank you. Who will be speaking on behalf  
17 of the Patent Owner today?

18 MS. ZHONG: My name is Anita Zhong from Irell & Manella. Also  
19 on the line, my colleagues, Mr. Jason Sheasby and Mr. Jonathan Lindsay.  
20 Mr. Sheasby will be doing the presentation today.

21 JUDGE JURGOVAN: Thank you. As stated in the hearing order,  
22 each party will have up to ninety minutes to present their arguments for both  
23 cases.

24 Since Petitioner bears the burden of proving its case by a  
25 preponderance of the evidence, Petitioner will begin followed by the Patent  
26 Owner.

1           Each party may reserve time for rebuttal limited to the opposing  
2 party's presentation.

3           As you address the demonstratives, papers, and exhibits in the record,  
4 please identify them clearly by page number and a paper or exhibit number  
5 so that the record will be clear what you're pointing out in your  
6 presentations.

7           Please identify yourselves as you begin speaking so that the court  
8 reporter will know who you are.

9           After the hearing, please remain on the line in case the court reporter  
10 has any questions to ask you of terms that may have been used in the hearing  
11 or other matters that may not have been understood.

12           As this hearing is public, third parties may be listening on the line.

13           None of the information in this hearing has been designated as  
14 confidential.

15           If for some reason you need to discuss confidential information,  
16 please let the judges know in advance so we can address the matter.

17           If at any time you experience technical difficulties that impair your  
18 ability to represent your client, please alert us and contact the number given  
19 you to resolve the issue.

20           Petitioner has filed motions to exclude in each case. The parties may  
21 devote some of their allotted time to address the motions to exclude.

22           However, it is unlikely we will rule on the motions to exclude today.  
23 Do the parties have any questions before we begin?

24           MR. SHEASBY: Yes, Your Honors. Jason Sheasby for the Patent  
25 Owner.

1 I did want to discuss the specific page of an exhibit that's in the  
2 record.

3 Do I have Your Honor's permission to use the share function to  
4 discuss that page?

5 (Simultaneous speaking.)

6 JUDGE JURGOVAN: Yes, you do.

7 MR. SHEASBY: Thank you.

8 JUDGE JURGOVAN: Share is fine. So we'll begin with the  
9 Petitioner's presentation. How much time would you like to reserve for  
10 rebuttal?

11 MR. CHANDLER: Thirty minutes, please.

12 JUDGE JURGOVAN: Thirty minutes. Okay. You may proceed  
13 when you are ready.

14 MR. CHANDLER: All right. I'm sharing on the screen our  
15 demonstratives marked as Exhibit 1079.

16 Are you able to see the demonstratives and are you able to hear me all  
17 right?

18 JUDGE JURGOVAN: Yes, we are.

19 MR. CHANDLER: Thank you. So starting on slide 5, this provides  
20 an overview of the '918 patent.

21 As highlighted in yellow in the upper left, the '918 patent claims  
22 priority back to an application filed on June 2nd, 2008.

23 Our contention is that the claims of the '918 and '054 patents are not  
24 entitled to the earlier provisional filing date of June 1st, 2007.

25 Patent Owner has not responded to this issue, and so we believe that  
26 June 2nd, 2008 is the relevant date for these IPRs.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.