

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
Petitioner,

v.

NETLIST, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2022-00996  
Patent No. 11,016,918

---

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. THE '918 PATENT.....	2
III. SKILL LEVEL OF A POSITA .....	6
IV. THE PRIOR ART.....	6
A. Harris (EX1023).....	6
B. Spiers (EX1025).....	9
V. THE PETITION DOES NOT ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOD OF SUCCESS ON GROUNDS 1-3.....	14
A. Petitioner Has Failed to Present <i>Prima Facie</i> Evidence that Harris Discloses a Memory Module Having a PCB Interface that Receives Voltage/Power from a Host System .....	14
B. Petitioner Has Failed to Provide Competent Evidence that a POSITA Would Have Modified Harris' Memory Module to Have Four Converters .....	20
1. Harris Requires At Most Three Converters to Provide the Four Claimed Voltages .....	22
2. Petitioner Fails to Present a <i>Prima Facie</i> Case for Using Multiple Converters to Provide Voltages of the Same Level.....	25
3. Petitioner Fails to Present a <i>Prima Facie</i> Case for Using a Third Buck Converter to Provide $V_{TT}$ .....	29
4. Petitioner Fails to Present a <i>Prima Facie</i> Case for Using a Fourth Buck Converter to Provide $V_{DDSPD}$ .....	33
C. Petitioner has Failed to Provide Competent Evidence that a POSITA Would Have Modified Harris' Memory Module With Amidi's Power Management Block (Grounds 2 and 3).....	35

**Page**

D.	Petitioner Has Presented No Competent Evidence on “Pre-Regulated Input Voltage” (Claims 16-22, 30) .....	39
VI.	PETITIONER FAILED TO ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON GROUNDS 4-5.....	40
A.	Petitioner Has Not Presented Any Competent Evidence that Spiers, Alone or in Combination, Renders Obvious the Requirement for Four Regulated Voltages .....	42
1.	Spiers’ Volatile Memory Devices in the Backup Device are SDR SDRAMs.....	42
2.	Petitioner Has Presented No Reason Why a POSITA Would Have Replaced Spiers’ SDRAMs with DDR2 or DDR3 .....	47
B.	Petitioner Has Presented No Competent Evidence that a POSITA Would Have Modified Spiers Using Four Buck Converters .....	53
1.	No reasons were given for using a buck converter for $V_{TT}$ (Mappings A-B) .....	53
(a)	There is no evidence why Spiers’ PCI Board would need to provide a $V_{TT}$ to DDR2 or DDR3 memory .....	53
(b)	There is no competent evidence that a POSITA would have generated $V_{TT}$ using a buck converter .....	56
2.	No reason is provided for equipping Spiers with multiple 1.8V regulators (Mappings B-C).....	59
3.	No reason is provided for equipping Spiers with a buck converter for generating 1.5V output (mapping A).....	60

**Page**

4. No reasons were provided for why Spiers’ 5V-to-3.3V regulator 184 is a buck converter (all mappings) ..... 62

C. Petitioner Has Presented No Competent Evidence on “Pre-Regulated Input Voltage” (Claims 16-22, 30) ..... 70

D. Petitioner Has Presented No Competent Evidence for Claim 23 ..... 71

VII. PETITIONER IMPROPERLY INCORPORATES BY REFERENCE ..... 72

VIII. CONCLUSION..... 72

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014).....	72
<i>In re Enhanced Security Research, LLC</i> , 739 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	24, 25, 26, 27
<i>In re Lee</i> , 277 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	30
<i>Ex parte Pinon</i> , Appeal 2017/005566 (PTAB, March 9, 2018) .....	25
<i>South-Tek Sys., LLC v. Engineered Corrosion Sols., LLC</i> , 748 F. App'x. 1003 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	37
<i>TQ Delta, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 942 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	31, 32, 47, 69
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).....	72

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.