

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

NETLIST, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00996
Patent No. 11,016,918

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. PETITIONER IMPROPERLY INCORPORATES BY REFERENCE	1
II. SKILL LEVEL OF A POSITA	1
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	1
IV. THE CLAIMS ARE NOT OBVIOUS UNDER GROUNDS 1-3.....	3
A. Harris Does Not Disclose or Suggest a Memory Module Having a PCB Interface that Receives Voltage/Power from a Host System.....	3
B. Grounds 1-3 Do Not Disclose Elements 1(b), 16(b), 23(b) and 1(i)(2), claims 8, 14 and 21	11
C. A POSITA Would Not Have Modified Harris’ Memory Module to Have Four Converters as Petitioner Asserts.....	15
1. A POSITA Would not have Used Four Converters.....	17
2. A POSITA Would Not Have Used Separate Converters to Generate VDD/VDDQ/VDDL or VCC/VCCFBD	21
3. Petitioner Fails to Establish a Motivation for Using a Third Buck Converter to Provide V_{TT}	27
4. POSITAs Would Not Use a Buck Converter to Provide V_{DDSPD}	30
D. A POSITA Would Not Have Substituted Amidi’s Battery Backup Power in Place of Harris’ Redundant Power Supplies (Grounds 2 and 3).....	31
E. Grounds 1-3 Do Not Disclose or Suggest Recited “Pre-Regulated Input Voltage” (Claims 16-22, 30)	38
F. Petitioner Failed To Establish That Claims 5-7, 9-13, 16-22, and 24-27 are Obvious	38

	<u>Page</u>
1. Claims 5, 16, 24 and dependent claims	38
2. Claims 10-11, 15, 22.....	43
3. Claims 11-12, 18-19, 25-26	43
V. THE CLAIMS ARE NOT OBVIOUS UNDER GROUNDS 4-5.....	44
A. Spiers' PCI card is not a memory module	44
B. Petitioner Failed to Establish that Spiers, Alone or in Combination, Renders Obvious the Requirement for Four Regulated Voltages.....	48
1. Spiers' Volatile Memory Devices in the Backup Device are SDR SDRAMs.....	48
2. The Petition Does Not Explain Why a POSITA Would Have Replaced Spiers' SDRAMs with DDR2 or DDR3	53
C. A POSITA Would Not Have Modified Spiers Using Four Buck Converters on the Memory Module.....	60
1. No reasons were given for using a buck converter for V_{TT} (Mappings A-B)	60
2. No reason is provided for equipping Spiers with multiple 1.8V regulators (Mappings B-C).....	63
3. No reason is provided for equipping Spiers with two buck converters for generating 1.5V and 1.8V output (mapping A)	64
4. No reasons were provided for why Spiers' 5V-to-3.3V regulator 184 is a buck converter (all mappings)	65
D. Grounds 4-5 Do Not Disclose or Suggest Recited "Pre- Regulated Input Voltage" (Claims 16-22, 30)	69

Page

E. Petitioner Has Presented No Competent Evidence for Claim
23 71

F. Grounds 4-5 Do Not Disclose Claim 13 Limitation 72

G. Petitioner Failed To Establish That Claims 5-7, 9-13, 16-22,
and 24-27 are Obvious 73

H. Grounds 4 and 5 Do Not Disclose Registered First Plurality
of C/A Signals (Claims 8, 14) 75

VI. CONCLUSION..... 76

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Cases	
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014).....	1, 2
<i>In re Enhanced Security Research, LLC</i> , 739 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	20, 21
<i>Raytheon Techs. Corp. v. General Electric. Co.</i> , 993 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	9, 10
<i>South-Tek Sys., LLC v. Engineered Corrosion Sols., LLC</i> , 748 F. App'x. 1003 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	33
<i>TQ Delta, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc.</i> , 942 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	53, 69
<i>In re Van Os</i> , 844 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	28
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).....	1, 2

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.