

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner

v.

ECOFACITOR, INC.,
Patent Owner

IPR2022-00538
Patent No. 9,194,597

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

ECOBEE Exhibit 1028
ECOBEE - ECOFACTOR

Table of Contents

- I. INTRODUCTION 1
- II. BACKGROUND OF THE ‘597 PATENT 2
- III. LEVEL OF A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (POSITA) 4
- IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 7
- V. OVERVIEW OF THE ASSERTED PRIOR ART 8
 - A. Introduction to Ehlers ‘330 Prior Art Reference 8
 - B. Introduction to Wruck Prior Art Reference 9
- VI. PATENTABILITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE ‘597 PATENT .. 10
 - A. Petitioner’ Expert Misunderstands the Teachings of Ehlers ‘330 10
 - B. Claim Element [1d] - “using the stored data to predict changes in temperature inside the structure in response to at least changes in outside temperatures” 19
 - C. Claim Element [1e] - “calculating with at least one computer, scheduled programming of the thermostatic controller for one or more times to control the heating ventilation and air conditioning system, the scheduled programming comprising at least a first automated setpoint at a first time” 25
 - D. Claim Element [1h]: generating with the at least one computer, a difference value based on comparing at least one of the actual setpoints at the first time for the thermostatic controller to the first automated setpoint for the thermostatic controller: detecting a manual change to the first automated setpoint by determining whether the at least one of the actual setpoint and first automated setpoint are the same or different based on the difference value. 28
 - E. Dependent Claims 2-8 30
 - F. Independent Claim 9 30
 - 1. Claim [9e] – “calculating scheduled programming of setpoints in the thermostatic controller based on the predicted rate of change, the scheduled programming comprising at least a first automated setpoint at a first time and a second automated setpoint at a second time to control the heating ventilation and air conditioning system” 31
 - G. Dependent Claims 10-16 33

H. Independent Claim 17	33
I. Dependent Claims 18-24	34
J. Factor 4 weighs against institution, as there is overlap between this IPR and the district court case.	34
K. Factor 5 weighs against institution, as Petitioner is a Respondent in the parallel district court case.	36
L. Factor 6 weighs against institution.	36
M. Summary Regarding <i>Fintiv</i> Factors	37
VII. CONCLUSION.....	38

Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	<i>Google, LLC f/k/a Google Inc. v. EcoFactor, Inc.</i> , 4-21-cv-03220 (N.D. Cal. April 30, 2021), Dkt. 1 (Complaint)
2002	<i>Google, LLC f/k/a Google Inc. v. EcoFactor, Inc.</i> , 4-21-cv-03220 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 3, 2021), Dkt. 30 (Joint Case Management Statement)
2003	<i>Google, LLC f/k/a Google Inc. v. EcoFactor, Inc.</i> , 4-21-cv-03220 (N.D. Cal. April 7, 2022), Dkt. 72 (Amended Scheduling Order)
2004	<i>Google, LLC f/k/a Google Inc. v. EcoFactor, Inc.</i> , 4-21-cv-03220 (N.D. Cal. April 13, 2022), Dkt. 73 (Amended Scheduling Order)
2005	Google's Oct. 19, 2021, Invalidity Contentions in <i>Google, LLC f/k/a Google Inc. v. EcoFactor, Inc.</i> , 4-21-cv-03220 (N.D. Cal.)
2006	"Silicon Valley's Home Court: Patent Trends in the Northern District of California." White & Case Newsflash (Mar. 18, 2020).
2007	U.S. Patent No. 10,018,371
2008	Expert Declaration of John A. Palmer
2009	Curriculum Vitae of John A. Palmer
2010	April 6, 2021, Deposition Transcript of Mr. Rajenda Shah, IPR2021-01218.
2011	337-TA-1258 International Trade Commission Investigation, Order No. 18 - Construing the Terms of the Asserted Claims
2012	October 10, 2022, Deposition Transcript of Mr. Rajenda Shah, IPR2022-00538.
2013	October 13, 2022, Deposition Transcript of Mr. Rajenda Shah, IPR2022-00473.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Petition challenges claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 9,194,597 (the ‘597 patent) (Ex. 1001) under one ground of unpatentability.

However, this challenge demonstrates a fundamental misunderstanding of the Ehlers ‘330 reference and its teachings regarding thermal gain. Thermal gain is the addition of thermal heat, not the increase of an inside temperature. Thus, the Ehlers ‘330 reference and its system teach away from the claimed invention of the ‘597 patent. Petitioner and its expert ignore this, and instead use improper hindsight to create the claims of the ‘597 patent out of the prior art.

Petitioner and its expert further fail to show that the combination of Ehlers ‘330, the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art (“POSITA”), and Wruck teaches calculating automated setpoints. Ehlers ‘330 shows ramping and recovery time, but not calculating automated setpoints. Petitioner and its expert fail to map what in Ehlers ‘330 they consider the “automated setpoint at a first time” as claimed by the ‘597 patent.

Finally, Petitioner’s mapping of various claim limitations is inconsistent. Petitioner points to certain features of Ehlers ‘330 as being the “automated setpoint at a first time” for claim element [1e], but points to entirely different features of Ehlers ‘330 as being the “setpoint at the first time” for claim element [1h].

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.