UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ECOBEE TECHNOLOGIES ULC Petitioner

v.

ECOFACTOR, INC. Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2022-00969 Patent No. 8,596,550

REPLY DECLARATION OF DAVID M. AUSLANDER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	QUALIFICATIONS
III.	MATERIALS REVIEWED1
IV.	LEGAL STANDARDS1
V.	THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART2
VI.	THERMAL GAIN AND THERMAL MASS4
VII.	EHLERS
A.	Ehlers' Fig. 3D5
B.	Ehlers' Figs. 3E and 3G11
C.	Ehlers Describes an Automated Setpoint15
VIII.	WRUCK18
IX.	THE COMBINATION OF OLS AND BOAIT
A.	Motivation to Combine
В.	Predicting Rate of Change
X.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS
XI.	CONCLUSION

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is David M. Auslander. I have been retained for the purpose of providing opinions with respect to the subject matter recited in the claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,596,550 ("'550 patent"). I have previously provided a declaration in this matter (Ex. 1002; "Original Declaration"), which addresses claims 17-23. This Reply Declaration responds to opinions in the declaration of John A. Palmer (Ex. 2006).

2. I have no financial interest in either party or in the outcome of this proceeding. I am being compensated for my work as an expert on an hourly basis, for all tasks involved. My compensation is not dependent on the outcome of these proceedings or on the content of my opinions.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

3. My qualifications are set forth in my Original Declaration.

III. MATERIALS REVIEWED

4. In addition to the materials listed in my Original Declaration, I have also considered the following materials:

- Ex. 2006 (Declaration of Dr. Palmer); and
- Ex. 1022 (the deposition of Dr. Palmer).

IV. LEGAL STANDARDS

5. In forming my opinions and considering the subject matter of the '550

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

patent and its claims in light of the prior art, I am relying on certain legal principles that counsel explained to me. My understanding of these concepts is set forth in my Original Declaration. Ex. 1002, ¶¶10-27.

V. THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART

6. Dr. Palmer asserts that "a POSITA would have a bachelor's degree in engineering, computer science, or a comparable field, with 2-3 years' experience in temperature controls, embedded control systems, electronic thermostats, or HVAC controls, or similarly relevant industry experience, with relevant experience substituting for education and vice versa." Ex. 2006, ¶26. Regarding my description of the POSITA, Dr. Palmer disagrees with the reliance on experience in building energy management and controls. Ex. 1002, ¶24. Specifically, Dr. Palmer also asserts that:

"a building energy management system, as the phrase is generally applied, describes a complex implementation of multiple sensors, processors, actuators, and other components and devices integrated into a large commercial building or multiplicity of buildings such as on a campus. The building energy management system will generally control not only the HVAC system but also other power consumers such as elevators, escalators, lighting, and other equipment. By contrast, the subject matter of the '550 patent is focused on residential and similar smaller-scale structures that do not require

the sophistication of controls that are integral to typical building energy management systems."

Ex. 2006, ¶28 (emphasis added). Thus, Dr. Palmer appears to argue that the field I used in connection with defining the POSITA is more complex than the field of the '550 patent. Ex. 1022, 14:14-16:7 (explaining that the field he assumes for the '550 patent is "less complicated to understand, for sure, and arguably, less complicated to design as well").

7. The opinions offered in my Original Declaration would not change if Dr. Palmer's definition of the POSITA was accepted. In particular, while my definition calls for 5 years of experience, that is for a definition of the field that Dr. Palmer deems more complicated than necessary. Thus, having less experience (2-3 years instead of 5 years) pertaining to technology that involves less "sophistication" would balance out. Put another way, where Dr. Palmer admits that the field of the '550 patent is not complicated, it follows that it would take little experience to realize that the subject matter recited in the claims is obvious.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.