U.S. Pat. No. 10,484,510

Inter Partes Review
Petitioner's Motion for Joinder

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CODE200, UAB; TESO LT, UAB; METACLUSTER LT, UAB; OXYSALES, UAB; AND CORETECH LT, UAB, Petitioners,

V.

BRIGHT DATA LTD., Patent Owner.

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2022-00862 Patent No. 10,484,510

MOTION FOR JOINDER TO *INTER PARTES* REVIEW IPR2021-01493



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	STATEM	ENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II.	STATE	MENT OF MATERIAL FACTS	2
III.	STATE	MENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	6
	A. Jo	oinder is Timely	6
	B. A	ll Applicable Factors Support Joinder	6
	1.	Joinder is appropriate (factor 1).	6
		a. The Action concerned different claims, different prior art, a different burden of proof, and, in any event, remains open and stayed.	6
		b. The previously filed '510 EPR is stayed pending the outcome of the NetNut IPR	.10
		c. The previously filed Code200 Petition challenging the '510 patent was timely filed and not considered on the merits	.10
		d. The PTAB's discretionary denials of Petitioners' IPR2021-01502 and IPR2021-01503 do not render joinder inappropriate here.	.12
	2.	Petitioners propose no new grounds of unpatentability (factor 2)	.13
	3.	Joinder will not impact the trial schedule or cost of the joined proceeding (factor 3).	.14
	4.	Joinder will not add to the complexity of briefing and discovery (factor 4).	.15
W	CONO	THISION	15



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

P CASES	Page(s)
Bright Data Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB et al., 2:19-cv-00395-JRG (E.D. Tex.) filed	2
Microsoft Corp. v. i4i Ltd. Partnership, 564 U.S. 91 (2011)	8
Novartis AG v. Noven Pharm. Inc., 853 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	8, 9
Personal Audio LLC v. CBS Corp., 946 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	8
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 314(b)	5
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	6
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	8
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b)	.9, 10
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)	6
Suspects	
NetNut Ltd. v. Bright Data Ltd., IPR2021-01493 (the "NetNut IPR")	1
Code200, UAB, et al. v. Luminati Networks LTD, IPR2020-01358 (the "Code200 IPR")	3
The Data Company Technologies Inc. v. Bright Data LTD., IPR2022-00138 (the "Data Co. IPR")	5
Biotronik, Inc. v. Atlas IP LLC, IPR2015-00534	6
HTC v. Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC IPR2017-00512	9 13



Kyocera Corp. v. Softview LLC, IPR2013-00004	6
Apple Inc. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC, IPR2020-00224	9
Apple Inc. v. INVT SPE LLC, IPR2019-00958	10
Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2018-01019	10
Huawei Device Co., Ltd. v. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A., IPR2017-02090	10
Pfizer, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., IPR2017-02063	10
Sawai USA, Sawai USA, Inc. et al. v. Biogen MA Inc., IPR2019-00789	11
Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Raytheon Co., IPR2016-00962	13
STMicroelectronics, Inc. v. Lone Star Silicon Innovations, LLC, IPR2018-00436	13, 14
Garmin Int'l, Inc. v. Philips North Am. LLC, IPR2020-00910	14
Mylan Pharms. Inc. v. Novartis AG, IPR2014-00550	14
NetNut Ltd. v. Bright Data Ltd., IPR2021-01493	.1, 14, 15



I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Petitioners Code200, UAB; Teso LT, UAB; Metacluster LT, UAB; Oxysales, UAB; and coretech LT UAB (collectively, "Petitioners") move for joinder of their contemporaneously filed Petition for *Inter Partes* Review IPR2022-00861 (the "Petition") of claims 1, 2, 6-11, 13, and 15-24 of U.S. Patent No. 10,484,510 (the "510 patent") with a pending IPR styled *NetNut Ltd. v. Bright Data Ltd.*, IPR2021-01493 (the "NetNut IPR"), which the Board instituted on March 21, 2022.

In addition to the present Petition, third parties have previously sought review of the validity of certain claims of the '510 patent in federal court, the Central Reexam Unit, and the PTAB. As discussed below, only the NetNut IPR has been instituted and is not currently stayed.

The NetNut IPR concerns the same patent and the same claims as the present Petition. The present Petition and supporting expert declaration are substantively identical to the petition and expert declaration submitted in the NetNut IPR. In sum, Petitioners here assert that the same claims are anticipated and/or obvious over the same prior art based on the same substantive arguments that are supported by the same expert as in the NetNut IPR.

Petitioners agree to take an "understudy" role if joined. Joinder will not cause any delay in the resolution of the NetNut IPR. Joinder, therefore, is appropriate because it will promote the efficient and consistent resolution of the same patentability issues of the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

