

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CODE200, UAB; TESO LT, UAB; METACLUSTER LT, UAB;
OXYSALES, UAB; AND CORETECH LT, UAB,

Petitioners

v.

BRIGHT DATA LTD.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2022-00861

Patent No. 10,257,319

CORRECTED PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE¹

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

¹ As authorized by the Board via email on June 21, 2022.

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. BACKGROUND TO THE INSTANT PROCEEDINGS2

III. UPDATE TO IPRs FILED BY PETITIONERS2

IV. PETITIONERS HAVE PRESENTED FOUR CHALLENGES AGAINST THE ‘319 PATENT BASED ON THE EXACT SAME PRIOR ART REFERENCES.....4

A. THE *GENERAL PLASTIC* FACTORS4

1. PETITIONERS MISPLACE THEIR RELIANCE ON THE INTEL IPR (IPR2022-00366)5

a. In the Intel IPR, the Board granted institution and joinder to the OpenSky IPR (IPR2021-1064).....5

b. The OpenSky IPR is being reviewed by Director Vidal because of policy issues related to harassment of patent owners.....6

c. Comparison of the Intel/OpenSky IPRs to the instant proceedings.....7

i. Lack of stipulation regarding overlapping invalidity arguments.....7

ii. Invalidity arguments presented to the jury at trial9

iii. Role of an understudy12

iv. Lack of retention of testifying expert.....13

v. Suspicious motives of OpenSky and Major Data14

B. THE *FINTIV* FACTORS.....15

1. FINTIV FACTOR 1 IS NEUTRAL16

2. FINTIV FACTOR 2 FAVORS DENIAL17

3. FINTIV FACTOR 3 FAVORS DENIAL18

4. FINTIV FACTOR 4 FAVORS DENIAL18

5. FINTIV FACTOR 5 FAVORS DENIAL20

6. FINTIV FACTOR 6 FAVORS DENIAL20

7. BALANCING THE FINTIV FACTORS24

V. INTRODUCTION TO THE MERITS	25
VI. OVERVIEW OF THE SPECIFICATION	29
A. BACKGROUND	30
B. DETAILED DESCRIPTION	33
VII. INTRODUCTION TO CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	35
A. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (“POSA”)	35
B. THE BOARD’S PRELIMINARY CONSTRUCTIONS & PATENT OWNER’S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS	36
C. A CLIENT DEVICE IS A CONSUMER COMPUTER, OR ALTERNATIVELY, A CONSUMER COMMUNICATION DEVICE	37
D. A CLIENT DEVICE IS NOT A SERVER	43
1. Figure 1 (prior art) versus Figure 3 (exemplary embodiment)	46
2. Prosecution History of Parent Patent No. 10,069,936	50
3. Prosecution History of the ‘319 Patent	53
4. Prosecution History of the ‘510 Patent	55
E. ROLE-BASED CONSTRUCTIONS ARE NOT APPROPRIATE.....	55
F. A SERVER IS NOT A CLIENT DEVICE	61
VIII. CROWDS DOES NOT TEACH THE CLAIMED METHODS	6564
IX. BORDER DOES NOT TEACH THE CLAIMED METHODS	66
X. MORPHMIX DOES NOT TEACH THE CLAIMED METHODS.....	6968
XI. CONCLUSION	70

<u>PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS</u>	
EX. 2001 <u>203</u> <u>3</u>	Institution Decision regarding Patent No. 10,257,319, <i>NetNut Ltd. v. Bright Data Ltd.</i> , IPR2021-01492, Paper 12 (PTAB March 21, 2022)
EX. 2002 <u>203</u> <u>4</u>	Institution Decision regarding Patent No. 10,484,510, <i>NetNut Ltd. v. Bright Data Ltd.</i> , IPR2021-01493, Paper 11 (PTAB March 21, 2022)
EX. 2003 <u>203</u> <u>5</u>	Claim Construction Order, <i>Bright Data Ltd. v. NetNut Ltd.</i> , No. 2:21-cv-225, Dkt. 146 (E.D. Tex. May 10, 2022)
EX. 2004 <u>203</u> <u>6</u>	Definition “Consumer”, Cambridge English Dictionary; accessed at https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/consumer on June 10, 2022
EX. 2005 <u>203</u> <u>7</u>	Definition “Consumer”, Collins English Dictionary; accessed at https://www.collinsdictionary.com/us/dictionary/english/consumer on June 10, 2022
EX. 2006 <u>203</u> <u>8</u>	Prosecution History of Patent No. 10,069,936
EX. 2007 <u>203</u> <u>9</u>	Network Fundamentals Study Guide, published February 17, 2015; accessed at https://www.webopedia.com/reference/network-fundamentals-studyguide/#topologies on June 14, 2022
EX. 2008 <u>204</u> <u>0</u>	Patent No. 10,069,936
EX. 2009 <u>204</u> <u>1</u>	<i>Alice</i> Order, <i>Bright Data Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB, et al.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-395, Dkt. 303 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 12, 2021)
EX. 2010 <u>204</u> <u>2</u>	Motion for Summary Judgment, <i>Bright Data Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB, et al.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-395, Dkt. 282 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 8, 2021) (redacted version of Dkt. 277)

EX. <u>2011204</u> <u>3</u>	Order, <i>Bright Data Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB, et al.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-395, Dkt. 476 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 9, 2021)
EX. <u>2012204</u> <u>4</u>	Jury Verdict, <i>Bright Data Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB, et al.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-395, Dkt. 516 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 5, 2021)
EX. <u>2013204</u> <u>5</u>	Website, Company information regarding “Major Data, UAB”; accessed at https://rekvizitai.vz.lt/en/company/major_data/ on June 16, 2022
EX. <u>2014204</u> <u>6</u>	Website, “The Story of Tesonet” published on October 30, 2017; accessed at https://tesonet.com/culture/the-story-of-tesonet/ on June 16, 2022
EX. <u>2015204</u> <u>7</u>	LinkedIn Profile, “Tom Okman”; accessed at https://www.linkedin.com/in/tokmanas/ on June 16, 2022
EX. <u>2016204</u> <u>8</u>	Wikipedia Article, “Tom Okman”; accessed at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tom_Okman on June 16, 2022
EX. <u>2017204</u> <u>9</u>	Website, “Who Owns NordVPN? Can You Really Trust This VPN?”; accessed at https://www.technadu.com/who-owns-nordvpn/295187/ on June 16, 2022
EX. <u>2018205</u> <u>0</u>	Order, <i>Bright Data Ltd. v. Tefincom S.A.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-414, Dkt. 176 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 17, 2021)
EX. <u>2019205</u> <u>1</u>	Docket Control Order, <i>Bright Data Ltd. v. Tefincom S.A.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-414, Dkt. 31 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 9, 2021)
EX. <u>2020205</u> <u>2</u>	Select Portions of Trial Transcript, Day 3 in the case of <i>Bright Data Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB, et al.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-395 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 3, 2021)
EX. <u>2021205</u> <u>3</u>	Select Portions of Trial Transcript, Day 4 in the case of <i>Bright Data Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB, et al.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-395 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 4, 2021)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.