

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and SAMSUNG  
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2022-00808  
U.S. Patent No. 8,442,501

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PETITIONER’S EXHIBIT LIST .....	5
I. INTRODUCTION .....	7
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	7
III. NOTE.....	7
IV. THE ’501 PATENT.....	7
V. PROSECUTION HISTORY .....	9
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	10
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	10
VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF .....	11
IX. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE.....	11
A. Discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. §325(d) is not appropriate .....	11
B. Discretionary denial under <i>Fintiv</i> is not appropriate .....	12
1. No evidence regarding a stay .....	12
2. Parallel proceeding trial date .....	12
3. Investment in the parallel proceeding.....	13
4. Overlapping issues with the parallel proceeding .....	13
5. Petitioner is a defendant.....	14
6. Other circumstances.....	14
C. Discretionary denial under <i>General Plastic</i> is not appropriate.....	14
X. IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE....	14
A. Challenged Claims .....	14
B. Statutory Grounds for Challenges .....	15
C. Ground 1: Claims 1-3 and 16-18 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Rautiola in view of Regnier and Sainton.....	17
1. Rautiola Overview .....	17
2. Regnier Overview .....	20
3. Summary of Sainton .....	22

4.	Reasons to Combine Rautiola, Regnier, and Sainton .....	23
5.	Claim 1 .....	30
6.	Claim 2 .....	54
7.	Claim 3 .....	57
8.	Claim 16 .....	59
9.	Claim 17 .....	60
10.	Claim 18 .....	60
D.	Ground 2: Claims 5-6 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Rautiola in view of Regnier, Sainton, and Wilson.....	61
1.	Summary of Wilson .....	61
2.	Reasons to Combine Rautiola, Regnier, Sainton, and Wilson .....	62
3.	Claim 5 .....	64
4.	Claim 6 .....	66
E.	Ground 3: Claim 10 is obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Rautiola in view of Regnier, Sainton, and Salazar. ....	67
1.	Summary of Salazar .....	67
2.	Reasons to Combine Rautiola, Regnier, Sainton, and Salazar .....	67
3.	Claim 10 .....	69
F.	Ground 4: Claim 13 is obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Rautiola in view of Regnier, Sainton, and Crites.....	70
1.	Summary of Crites .....	70
2.	Reasons to Combine Rautiola, Regnier, Sainton, and Crites .....	70
3.	Claim 13 .....	72
G.	Ground 5: Claims 1-2, 5-6, 10, and 17 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Grube in view of Gillig.....	73
1.	Summary of Grube.....	73
2.	Summary of Gillig .....	76

3.	Reasons to Combine Grube and Gillig .....	78
4.	Claim 1 .....	80
5.	Claim 2 .....	94
6.	Claim 5 .....	95
7.	Claim 6 .....	96
8.	Claim 10 .....	97
9.	Claim 17 .....	97
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	98
XII.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	99
A.	Real Parties-in-Interest .....	99
B.	Related Matters.....	99
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information .....	99
	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT .....	101
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....	102

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST**

Ex.1001	U.S. 8,442,501 (“the ’501 patent”)
Ex.1002	Prosecution History of U.S. 8,442,501
Ex.1003	Declaration of Dr. Michael Kotzin under 37 C.F.R. §1.68
Ex.1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Michael Kotzin
Ex.1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,949,775 to Rautiola <i>et al.</i> (“Rautiola”)
Ex.1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,689,708 to Regnier <i>et al.</i> (“Regnier”)
Ex.1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,854,985 to Sainton <i>et al.</i> (“Sainton”)
Ex.1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,400,246 to Wilson <i>et al.</i> (“Wilson”)
Ex.1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,802,467 to Salazar <i>et al.</i> (“Salazar”)
Ex.1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,097,380 to Crites <i>et al.</i> (“Crites”)
Ex.1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,201,067 to Grube <i>et al.</i> (“Grube”)
Ex.1012	U.S. Patent No. 4,989,230 to Gillig <i>et al.</i> (“Gillig”)
Ex.1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,842,037 to Haartsen (“Haartsen”)
Ex.1014	U.S. Patent No. 5,982,520 to Weiser <i>et al.</i> (“Weiser”)
Ex.1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,714,983 to Koenck <i>et al.</i> (“Koenck”)
Ex.1016	U.S. Patent No. 5,786,921 to Wang <i>et al.</i> (“Wang”)
Ex.1017	U.S. Patent No. 5,953,507 to Cheung <i>et al.</i> (“Cheung”)
Ex.1018	U.S. Patent No. 5,793,744 to Kanerva <i>et al.</i> (“Kanerva”)
Ex.1019	U.S. Patent No. 5,668,654 to Benjamin <i>et al.</i> (“Benjamin”)
Ex.1020	U.S. Patent No. 5,774,247 to Taglione <i>et al.</i> (“Taglione”)
Ex.1021	U.S. Patent No. 5,628,055 to Stein (“Stein”)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.