

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00807
U.S. Patent No. 9,756,168

**PETITIONER'S REPLY
TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST	3
I. INTRODUCTION	6
II. THE SAINTON-BAKER COMBINATION RENDERS OBVIOUS THE "REMOTE SERVER" AND "PROFILE" LIMITATIONS.....	7
A. The Prior Art Renders Obvious " <i>remote server</i> " Under Both the Plain and Ordinary Meaning and Patent Owner's Interpretation.....	7
1. Sainton-Baker renders obvious " <i>remote server</i> "	7
2. Patent Owner's interpretation of " <i>remote server</i> " is not supported by the intrinsic evidence	8
3. PO's cited case law does not support PO's arguments.....	10
4. The extrinsic evidence supports the Petition's application of the art.....	12
5. Sainton-Baker renders obvious " <i>remote server</i> " under the understood plain meaning of the term, and PO's argued interpretation	14
B. Sainton-Baker Renders Obvious the "profiles of user specific information" (claim 2) and "profile" (claim 4).....	15
1. The "profiles" are obvious over Sainton.....	15
2. The "profiles" are obvious in view of Sainton-Baker	18
III. A POSITA WOULD HAVE BEEN MOTIVATED TO COMBINE SAINTON AND BAKER	21
A. PO's Response Misconstrues the Combination Actually in the Petition.....	21
B. Sainton and Baker are Analogous Art.....	23
1. Baker is in the same field of endeavor.....	23
2. Baker is reasonably pertinent.....	26
IV. THE DEPENDENT CLAIMS ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS	27
V. CONCLUSION.....	31
CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT.....	32
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	33

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Ex.1001	U.S. 9,756,168 (“the ’168 patent”)
Ex.1002	Prosecution History of U.S. 9,756,168
Ex.1003	Declaration of Dr. Michael Kotzin under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68
Ex.1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Michael Kotzin
Ex.1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,854,985 to Sainton <i>et al.</i> (“Sainton”)
Ex.1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,430,599 to Baker <i>et al.</i> (“Baker”)
Ex.1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,185,413 to Mueller <i>et al.</i> (“Mueller”)
Ex.1008	U.S. Patent No. 7,043,532 to Humpleman <i>et al.</i> (“Humpleman”)
Ex.1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,201,067 to Grube <i>et al.</i> (“Grube”)
Ex.1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,587,684 to Hsu <i>et al.</i> (“Hsu”)
Ex.1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,252,543 to Camp (“Camp”)
Ex.1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,337,858 to Petty <i>et al.</i> (“Petty”)
Ex.1013	U.S. Patent No. 6,097,707 to Hodzic <i>et al.</i> (“Hodzic”)
Ex.1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,590,943 to Ali (“Ali”)
Ex.1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,577,855 to Moore <i>et al.</i> (“Moore”)
Ex.1016	U.S. Patent No. 6,356,771 to Dent (“Dent”)
Ex.1017	U.S. Patent No. 6,545,990 to Amalfitano <i>et al.</i> (“Amalfitano”)
Ex.1018	U.S. Patent No. 5,764,704 to Shenoi (“Shenoi”)
Ex.1019	U.S. Patent No. 6,600,734 to Gernert <i>et al.</i> (“Gernert”)
Ex.1020	U.S. Patent No. 5,963,852 to Schlang <i>et al.</i> (“Schlang”)
Ex.1021	U.S. Patent No. 6,295,448 to Hayes <i>et al.</i> (“Hayes”)

IPR2022-00807 ('168 patent)
Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response

Ex.1022	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2001/0056502 to Hollstrom <i>et al.</i> ("Hollstrom")
Ex.1023	U.S. Patent No. 6,886,017 to Jackson <i>et al.</i> ("Jackson")
Ex.1024	U.S. Patent No. 7,574,693 to Kemink ("Kemink")
Ex.1025	U.S. Patent No. 6,446,192 to Narasimhan <i>et al.</i> ("Narasimhan")
Ex.1026	U.S. Patent No. 6,237,024 to Wollrath <i>et al.</i> ("Wollrath")
Ex.1027	David Clark, "Network Nirvana and the Intelligent Device," IEEE Concurrency, vol. 7, issue 2, April-June 1999, pp. 16-19 ("Clark")
Ex.1028	Olstad <i>et al.</i> , "Jini Technology: Impromptu Networking and its Impact on Telecommunications," Proceedings of Capstone 1999, University of Colorado at Boulder (Fall 1999) ("Olstad")
Ex.1029	Budka <i>et al.</i> , "Cellular Digital Packet Data Networks," Bell Labs Technical Journal, Vol. 2, Issue 3, Summer 1997 ("Budka")
Ex.1030	Michel Mouly and Marie-Bernadette Pautet, The GSM System for Mobile Communications (1992) ("Mouly")
Ex.1031	U.S. Patent No. 6,275,695 to Obhan ("Obhan")
Ex.1032	Joint Agreed Scheduling Order; Dkt. 30, <i>Smart Mobile Technologies LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6-21-cv-00603 (WDTX)
Ex.1033	Complaint; Dkt. 1, <i>Smart Mobile Technologies LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6-21-cv-00603 (WDTX)
Ex.1034	RESERVED
Ex.1035	RESERVED
Ex.1036	RESERVED
Ex.1037	Complaint; Dkt. 1, <i>Smart Mobile Technologies LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , Case No. 6-21-cv-00701 (WDTX)
Ex.1038	Joint Agreed Scheduling Order; Dkt. 43, <i>Smart Mobile Technologies LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , Case No. 6-21-cv-00701 (WDTX)

IPR2022-00807 ('168 patent)
Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response

Ex.1039 (NEW)	Microsoft Computer Dictionary, Fourth Edition (Microsoft Press 1999) (select pages)
Ex.1040 (NEW)	IBM Dictionary of Computing (1994) (select pages)
Ex.1041 (NEW)	Transcript of Deposition of Dr. Tudor Cooklev, April 28, 2023

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.