

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SMART MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-00807
Patent 9,756,168

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. PETITIONER FAILS TO PROVE ITS COMBINATION DISCLOSES OR RENDERS OBVIOUS A “REMOTE SERVER” (CLAIM 2, GROUND 1).....	3
A. Petitioner’s Implicit Construction Is Unsupported And Dr. Kotzin’s Conclusory Testimony Should Be Afforded Little Or No Weight.	3
B. Petitioner And Dr. Kotzin Are Rendering The Term “Remote” Superfluous.....	7
C. Baker’s “Local” “Lookup Service” Is Not “Remote.”.....	13
III. PETITIONER FAILS TO PROVE THAT A POSITA WOULD BE MOTIVATED TO COMBINE SAINTON AND BAKER WITH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS (ALL CLAIMS, ALL GROUNDS).....	23
IV. PETITIONER FAILS TO PROVE ITS COMBINATIONS DISCLOSE OR RENDER OBVIOUS “USER” “PROFILE[S]” (ALL CLAIMS, ALL GROUNDS).	36
A. Petitioner Fails To Prove That It Would Be Obvious To Store User Profiles At Sainton’s Alleged Server (Claim 2).	37
B. Petitioner Fails To Prove That Sainton And Baker In Combination Teach Storing “User” Profiles On The Server (Claims 2 and 4).	45
V. PETITIONER FAILS TO PROVE ITS COMBINATION TEACHES “AN INDICATOR OF A SOFTWARE APPLICATION TO BE DOWNLOADED FROM THE REMOTE SERVER.” (CLAIM 19, GROUND 4).....	48
VI. PETITIONER FAILS TO PROVE BAKER IS ANALOGOUS ART (ALL CLAIMS, ALL GROUNDS).....	55

A. Petitioner Fails To Prove That Baker Is In The Same Field Of
Endeavor As The '168.....56

B. Petitioner Does Not Contend Baker Is Reasonably Pertinent To
The Problem The Inventors Of The '168 Were Addressing.....64

VII. CONCLUSION.....67

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

COURT DECISIONS

Absolute Software, Inc. v. Stealth Signal, Inc.,
659 F.3d 1121 (Fed. Cir. 2011)11

Airbus S.A.S. v. Firepass Corp.,
941 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2019)58

Apple, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc.,
842 F.3d 1229 (Fed. Cir. 2016)11

Arctic Cat Inc. v. Polaris Indus.,
795 F. App'x 827 (Fed. Cir. 2019)30

ATD Corp. v. Lydall, Inc.,
159 F.3d 534 (Fed. Cir. 1998)42

Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Tex. Sys. v. BENO Am. Corp.,
533 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2008)8

Becton Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP,
616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010)8

Cat Tech LLC v. TubeMaster, Inc.,
528 F.3d 871 (Fed. Cir. 2008)11

Cheese Sys. v. Tetra Pak Cheese & Powder Sys.,
725 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2013)42

Donner Tech., LLC v. Pro Stage Gear, LLC,
979 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2020)65

Henny Penny Corp. v. Frymaster LLC,
938 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2019)30

<i>In re Clay</i> , 966 F.2d 656 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	passim
<i>In re Klein</i> , 647 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	55
<i>In re Nat. Alts., LLC</i> , 659 Fed. App'x. 608 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	55
<i>In re Ratti</i> , 270 F.2d 810 (CCPA 1959)	30
<i>In re Wood</i> , 599 F.2d 1032 (CCPA 1979)	58
<i>Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys.</i> , 381 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	8
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge, Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	7
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	28
<i>Penda Corp. v. U.S.</i> , 29 Fed. Cl. 533 (Fed. Cl. 1993)	55
<i>Plas-Pak Indus., Inc. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG</i> , 600 F. App'x 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	30
<i>Polaris Indus., Inc. v. Arctic Cat, Inc.</i> , 882 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	30
<i>Wang Labs., Inc. v. Toshiba Corp.</i> , 993 F.2d 858 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	58, 61
<i>Warner-Lambert Co. v. Apotex Corp.</i> , 316 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	39
<i>Wyers v. Master Lock Co.</i> , 616 F.3d 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	58

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.