

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

BAUSCH HEALTH IRELAND LIMITED,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2022-00722  
U.S. Patent No. 7,041,786

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Page**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. BACKGROUND ..... 8

    A. Claims of the '786 Patent ..... 8

    B. Grounds 1-4 of the Petition ..... 9

**ARGUMENT**

I. The Board Should Deny Institution because the Petition Improperly Failed to Disclose Real Parties in Interest ..... 11

II. The Board Should Deny Institution Under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) ..... 14

    A. Legal Framework ..... 15

    B. Factor 1: A Stay Has Not Been, and Is Unlikely to Be, Entered ..... 15

    C. Factor 2: Trial in the Related Litigations Is Likely to Occur Shortly After the Deadline for the Final Written Decision ..... 16

        1. The MSN Action ..... 17

        2. The Mylan Action ..... 17

    D. Factor 3: The Parties and the Court Will Invest Significant Resources in the Related Litigation ..... 18

        1. The MSN Action ..... 18

        2. The Mylan Action ..... 19

    E. Factor 4: The Petition Relies on Substantially the Same Art and Arguments Likely to Be Presented in The Parallel Proceeding ..... 20

        1. The MSN Action ..... 21

        2. The Mylan Action ..... 21

F.	Factor 5: The Petitioner Is a Defendant in the Related Litigation .....	23
G.	Factor 6: All Other Circumstances Further Support Denial .....	24
III.	The Board Should Deny Institution Under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d).....	26
A.	Legal Framework .....	26
B.	The Petition Relies on the Same or Substantially the Same Art or Arguments Overcome During Prosecution.....	28
1.	Currie (EX1005) .....	29
2.	Li (EX1006) .....	32
C.	The Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated That the Office Erred in a Manner Material to the Patentability of Challenged Claims .....	36
IV.	The Petition Should Be Denied Because the Petitioner Has Failed to Establish That Any of the Claims Are Unpatentable .....	39
A.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art and Claim Construction.....	39
B.	Trial Should Not Be Instituted on Any Grounds for Any Claims of the '786 Patent .....	39
1.	The Petition Fails to Perform a Lead Compound Analysis to Explain Why the POSA Would Have Started with Human Uroguanylin.....	40
2.	The Petition's Argument About a POSA's Alleged Motivation to Make an "Asp <sup>3</sup> " Substitution Is Internally Inconsistent and Flawed.....	49
3.	Trial Should Not Be Instituted on All Grounds.....	62
V.	Conclusion .....	63

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH</i> , IPR2019-01469, Paper No. 6 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 13, 2020).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper No. 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020); Paper No. 15 (P.T.A.B. May 13, 2020).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Applications in Internet Time, LLC v. RPX Corp.</i> , 897 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	13
<i>Becton, Dickinson &amp; Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586, Paper No. 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2017) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Daiichi Sankyo Co. v. Matrix Lab 'ys</i> , 619 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	40, 42
<i>Gen. Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper No. 19 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017).....	25
<i>Institut Pasteur &amp; Université Pierre-et-Marie-Curie v. Focarino</i> , 738 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	49
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	53
<i>Mylan Lab 'ys Ltd. v. Janssen Pharm. Nv</i> , IPR2020-00440, Paper No. 17 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 16, 2020).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 12, 2018).....	14
<i>Novo Nordisk A/S v. Caraco Pharm. Lab 'ys., Ltd.</i> , 601 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2010), <i>abrogated on other grounds</i> , 566 U.S. 399 (2012).....	24
<i>Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Mylan Lab 'ys, Inc.</i> , 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	53, 57

<i>OSI Pharms., Inc. v. Mylan Pharms. Inc.</i> , 858 F. Supp. 2d 341 (D. Del. 2012).....	41
<i>Otsuka Pharm. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 678 F.3d 1280 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Plantronics, Inc. v. Aliph, Inc.</i> , 724 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	53
<i>Procter &amp; Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	39
<i>Radware, Inc. v. F5 Networks, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01185, 2017 WL 4570445 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 11, 2017).....	13
<i>Ruiz v. A.B. Chance Co.</i> , 357 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	53
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. Ancora Techs., Inc.</i> , No. IPR2020-01184, 2021 WL 42429 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 5, 2021) .....	22, 23
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Seven Networks, LLC</i> , IPR2018-01108, Paper 22 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 28, 2018).....	11
<i>Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.</i> , IPR2020-01019, Paper 12, 19 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 1, 2020) .....	22
<i>Star Scientific, Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> , 655 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	55
<i>Takeda Chem. Indus., Ltd. v. Alphapharm Pty., Ltd.</i> , 492 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	39, 40, 57
<i>Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Facebook Inc.</i> , 989 F.3d 1018 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	12
<i>Weatherford Int’l, LLC v. Packers Plus Energy Servs., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01514, Paper 23 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 22, 2017).....	12
<i>Yamanouchi Pharm. Co., Ltd. v. Danbury Pharmacal, Inc.</i> , 231 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2000) .....	40

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.