

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON,

Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2022-00648

U.S. Patent No. 9,860,044

**DECLARATION OF JACOB ROBERT MUNFORD IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO.
9,860,044**

1. My name is Jacob Robert Munford. I am over the age of 18, have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and am competent to testify to the same.

2. I earned a Master of Library and Information Science (MLIS) from the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee in 2009. I have over ten years of experience in the library/information science field. Beginning in 2004, I have served in various positions in the public library sector including Assistant Librarian, Youth Services Librarian and Library Director. I have attached my Curriculum Vitae as Appendix A.

3. During my career in the library profession, I have been responsible for materials acquisition for multiple libraries. In that position, I have cataloged, purchased and processed incoming library works. That includes purchasing materials directly from vendors, recording publishing data from the material in question, creating detailed material records for library catalogs and physically preparing that material for circulation. In addition to my experience in acquisitions, I was also responsible for analyzing large collections of library materials, tailoring library records for optimal catalog

search performance and creating lending agreements between libraries during my time as a Library Director.

4. I am fully familiar with the catalog record creation process in the library sector. In preparing a material for public availability, a library catalog record describing that material would be created. These records are typically written in Machine Readable Catalog (herein referred to as “MARC”) code and contain information such as a physical description of the material, metadata from the material’s publisher, and date of library acquisition. In particular, the 008 field of the MARC record is reserved for denoting the date of creation of the library record itself. As this typically occurs during the process of preparing materials for public access, it is my experience that an item’s MARC record indicates the date of an item’s public availability.

5. Typically, in creating a MARC record, a librarian would gather various bits of metadata such as book title, publisher and subject headings among others and assign each value to a relevant numerical field. For example, a book’s physical description is tracked in field 300 while title/attribution is tracked in field 245. The 008 field of the MARC record is reserved for denoting the creation of the library record itself. As this is the only date reflecting the inclusion of said materials within the library’s collection, it is my experience

that an item's 008 field accurately indicates the date of an item's public availability.

6. This declaration is being drafted as of December 2021. Public and university libraries in my area have been operating for months with restricted access policies due to the COVID-19 pandemic. In my experience, library catalog records are accurate descriptions of a library's collection and my lack of physical access to libraries at this time creates no doubt in my determinations of authenticity or availability of the exhibits noted below.
7. I have reviewed Exhibit 1017, *The UMTS Long Term Evolution: From Theory to Practice* by Stefania Sesia, et. al.
8. Attached hereto as Appendix B is a true and correct copy of the MARC record for *The UMTS Long Term Evolution: From Theory to Practice* as held by the Penn State University library. I secured this record myself from the library's public catalog. The MARC record contained within Appendix B accurately describes the title, author, publisher, and ISBN number of *The UMTS Long Term Evolution: From Theory to Practice*. In comparing Exhibit 1017 to Appendix B, it is my determination that Exhibit 1017 is a

true and correct copy of *The UMTS Long Term Evolution: From Theory to Practice* by Stefania Sesia, et. al.

9. The 008 field of each MARC record in Appendix B indicates the date of record creation. The 008 field of Appendix B indicates the Penn State University library first acquired this book as of September 23, 2008. Considering this information, it is my determination that *The UMTS Long Term Evolution: From Theory to Practice* was made available to the public shortly after its initial acquisition in Fall 2008.

10. I have reviewed Exhibit 1015, *LTE for UMTS-OFDMA and SC-FDMA Based Radio Access* by Harri Holma and Antti Toskala.

11. Attached hereto as Appendix C is a true and correct copy of the MARC record for *The UMTS Long Term Evolution: From Theory to Practice* as held by the University of Michigan library. I secured this record myself from the library's public catalog. The MARC record contained within Appendix C accurately describes the title, author, publisher, and ISBN number of *LTE for UMTS-OFDMA and SC-FDMA Based Radio Access*. In comparing Exhibit 1015 to Appendix C, it is my determination that Exhibit 1015 is a

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.