

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner

v.

CPC Patent Technologies PTY, LTD.,

Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2022-00602
U.S. Patent No. 9,665,705

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,665,705**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....1

II. SUMMARY OF THE '705 PATENT.....1

A. Description of the Alleged Invention1

B. Summary of Unpatentability of the Challenged Claims.....2

C. Priority Date of the Challenged Claims3

D. Level of Skill of a POSITA4

III. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....4

A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)4

B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested.....4

C. Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)5

IV. THE CITED REFERENCES ARE ANALOGOUS PRIOR ART6

V. MATHIASSEN'S ARCHITECTURE OVERVIEW.....7

VI. GROUND 1: THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD CLAIMS 1, 4, 6, 10-12, and 14-17 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF MATHIASSEN, MCKEETH, AND ANDERSON.....9

A. Claim 19

 1. Claim 1(Pre).....9

 2. Claim 1(a)11

 3. Claim 1(b).....13

 4. Claim 1(b1).....14

 5. Claim 1(b2).....15

 6. Claim 1(b3).....24

 7. Claims 1(c) and 1(c1)28

 8. Claim 1(c2)30

 9. Claim 1(d).....31

 10. Claim 1(d1)31

 11. Claim 1(d2)37

 12. Claim 1(d3)38

 13. Claim 1(e).....42

B. Claim 442

C. Claim 6	42
1. Claim 6(a)	42
2. Claim 6(b)	43
3. Claim 6(c)	44
D. Claim 10	44
1. Claim 10(Pre).....	44
2. Claim 10(a)	44
3. Claim 10(b).....	44
4. Claim 10(c)	44
5. Claim 10(d).....	45
6. Claim 10(d1).....	45
7. Claim 10(d2).....	45
8. Claim 10(d3).....	45
9. Claim 10(e).....	45
E. Claim 11	45
1. Claim 11(Pre1).....	45
2. Claim 11(Pre2).....	45
3. Claim 11(Pre3).....	46
4. Claim 11(Pre4).....	47
5. Claim 11(a)	47
6. Claim 11(a1)-(a2)	47
7. Claim 11(a3)	47
8. Claim 11(a4)	48
9. Claim 11(b).....	48
10. Claim 11(c).....	48
11. Claim 11(d)	48
12. Claim 11(e).....	48
13. Claim 11(f)	48
F. Claim 12	48
G. Claim 14	49
1. Claim 14(Pre).....	49
2. Claim 14(a)	49
3. Claim 14(b).....	49
4. Claim 14(c)	49
5. Claim 14(d).....	49
6. Claim 14(e)	50
7. Claim 14(f).....	50
8. Claim 14(g).....	50

9. Claim 14(h).....	50
10. Claim 14(i)	50
H. Claim 15	50
1. Claim 15(Pre).....	50
2. Claim 15(a)	50
3. Claim 15(b).....	50
4. Claim 15(b1).....	50
5. Claim 15(b2).....	50
6. Claim 15(b3).....	51
7. Claim 15(c).....	51
8. Claim 15(c1).....	51
9. Claim 15(c2).....	51
10. Claim 15(d)	51
11. Claim 15(d1)	51
12. Claim 15(d2)	51
13. Claim 15(d3)	51
14. Claim 15(e).....	51
I. Claim 16	51
1. Claim 16(Pre).....	51
2. Claim 16(a)	52
3. Claim 16(b).....	52
4. Claim 16(c)	52
5. Claim 16(d).....	52
6. Claim 16(d1).....	52
7. Claim 16(d2).....	52
8. Claim 16(d3).....	52
9. Claim 16(e).....	52
J. Claim 17	52
1. Claim 17(Pre1).....	52
2. Claim 17(Pre2).....	52
3. Claim 17(Pre3).....	53
4. Claim 17(Pre4).....	53
5. Claim 17(a)	53
6. Claim 17(a1)	53
7. Claim 17(a2)	53
8. Claim 17(a3)	53
9. Claim 17(a4)	53
10. Claim 17(b)	53
11. Claim 17(c).....	53

12.	Claim 17(d)	53
13.	Claim 17(e).....	53
14.	Claim 17(f)	54
VII.	DISCRETIONARY CONSIDERATIONS	54
A.	The <i>Fintiv</i> Factors Favor Institution	54
1.	Stay	54
2.	Proximity of the Court’s Trial Date.....	54
3.	Investment in Parallel Proceeding	57
4.	Overlap	57
5.	Same Party	58
6.	Other Circumstances.....	58
B.	The <i>Fintiv</i> Framework Should Be Overturned	59
1.	The <i>Fintiv</i> Framework Exceeds the Director’s Authority	59
2.	The <i>Fintiv</i> Framework Is Arbitrary and Capricious	59
3.	The <i>Fintiv</i> Framework Was Impermissibly Adopted Without Notice-and- Comment Rulemaking.....	60
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	60
IX.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER <i>Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)</i>.....	62
A.	Real Party-In-Interest.....	62
B.	Related Matters	62
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....	62

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.