

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

CPC PATENT TECHNOLOGIES PTY, LTD.,
Patent Owner

CASE: IPR2022-00601
U.S. PATENT NO. 9,269,208

DECLARATION OF DR. WILLIAM C. EASTTOM III

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS	7
	A. Obviousness.....	7
	B. Presumption of Validity	10
	C. Claim Construction.....	10
IV.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART	11
V.	OPINIONS REGARDING APPLE’S INVALIDITY GROUND	12
	A. Accessibility Attribute.....	15
	B. Series of Entries of the Biometric Signal, Said Series Being Characterised According to at Least One of the Number of Said Entries and a Duration of Each Said Entry	22
	C. Populate the Data Base According to the Instruction	29
	D. Independent Claims 9 and 10.....	33
	E. Dependent Claims 3-7, 11, and 13	33

I, Dr. William C. Easttom III, do hereby declare and say:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I am over the age of twenty-one (21) and competent to make this declaration. I am also qualified to give testimony under oath. The facts and opinions listed below are within my personal knowledge.
2. I have been engaged in this matter to provide my independent analysis of certain issues I understand arise in connection with the above-mentioned *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,269,208 (which I refer to as “the ’208 Patent”) (Ex. 1001).
3. I have considered the documents cited throughout this declaration, including the ’208 Patent (Ex. 1001), and have been asked to provide my opinions on how those skilled in the art (as defined herein) would understand those documents. I provide my conclusions regarding the disclosures of these documents below.
4. I have also not formulated any opinions regarding patent validity in light of any prior art other than that cited by Petitioner Apple Inc. (“Petitioner” or “Apple”). For the cited prior art, I have examined that art in light of the arguments made by Apple, the opinions expressed by Dr. Andrew Sears (“Dr. Sears”), and the preliminary determination by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“Board”) in instituting this *inter partes* review.

5. I am not offering any conclusions as to the ultimate determinations that I understand the Board will make in this proceeding. I am simply providing my opinion on the technical aspects of the documents.

II. BACKGROUND

6. I have 30 years of experience in the computer science industry including extensive experience with computer security, computer software, and computer networking. I have authored 37 computer science books, including textbooks used at over 60 universities around the world. I also have authored over 70 research papers and am an inventor with 25 patents, including patents related to computer networking.
7. I hold a Doctor of Science (D.Sc.) degree in Cyber Security from Capitol Technology University (Dissertation Topic: “A Comparative Study of Lattice Based Algorithms for Post Quantum Computing”). I also hold a Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Technology (focused on nanotechnology. Dissertation Topic: “The Effects of Complexity on Carbon Nanotube Failures”) from Capitol Technology University. I also have a Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Computer Science from the University of Portsmouth (Dissertation Topic: topic “A Systematic Framework for Network Forensics Using Graph Theory”). I also hold three master’s degrees (one in Applied Computer Science, one in Education, and one in Systems Engineering).

8. I am currently an Adjunct Lecturer for Georgetown teaching graduate courses in requirements engineering and cryptography. I am also an adjunct for Vanderbilt University teaching graduate computer science courses, specifically courses in quantum computing and digital forensics.
9. I am a Senior member and Distinguished Speaker for the Association of Computing Machinery (ACM) and a Senior Member and Distinguished Visitor of the Institute for Electrical and Electronics Engineering (IEEE). The IEEE is the world's largest and preeminent engineering organization. Among other activities, the IEEE creates industry standards for a wide range of engineering disciplines, including software development standards. I am also a Distinguished Visitor of the IEEE. I have been involved in IEEE standards creation for several years:
 - a. I worked on the DevOps 2675 standards group from 2017 to 2019.
 - b. I am also currently the Vice Chair of the IEEE p23026 Standards Group "Systems and Software Engineering -- Engineering and Management of Websites for Systems, Software, and Services Information."
 - c. I am the Chair of IEEE P3123 Standard for Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AI/ML) Terminology and Data Formats.
 - d. I am a member of IEEE P2995 - Trial-Use Standard for a Quantum Algorithm Design and Development Standards Group from 2021 to

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.