From:	Ghavimi, Darlene F.
То:	Director PTABDecision Review
Cc:	Jennifer Bailey; Bacchus, Raquel A.; Summerfield, George; Adam P. Seitz; Heemstra, Jonah B.
Subject:	Patent Owner Request for Director Review, IPR2022-00601
Date:	Friday, October 27, 2023 5:41:34 PM
Attachments:	Patent Owner"s Request for Director Review.pdf

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

To Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Katherine K. Vidal:

Patent Owner in the afore-referenced *inter partes* review proceeding respectfully requests that the Final Written Decision in that proceeding receive Director Review pursuant to the *interim* rules governing such review. The Request has been filed and assigned Paper No. 32. A copy is attached.

Ranked in order of importance are the following issues for which review is sought:

- 1) After it adopted for institution purposes a construction of the limitation "accessibility attribute" previously urged by the Petitioner before the district court, the Panel changed that construction materially to capture the prior art without providing notice to the Patent Owner sufficient for due process under the Administrative Procedures Act ("APA"), thereby prejudicing Patent Owner. *See, e.g, Qualcomm Inc. v. Intel Corp.,* 6 F.4th 1256, 1265 (Fed. Cir. 2021). This is both an abuse of discretion and an important issue of law and policy.
- 2) The proposed modification of the Mathiassen reference with the nonbiometric teachings of Anderson does not result in a series of received biometric signal entries that are mapped into an instruction used to populate the database as part of an enrollment process, as required by the challenged claims. This was an abuse of discretion and an erroneous finding of material fact.

IPR2022-00601

3) The Panel misapplied the law regarding the motivation to combine references in recognizing the combination of the Mathiassen reference, on the one hand, and the McKeeth and Anderson references, on the other hand, as valid combinations. This was an abuse of discretion and a misapplication of the law.

Respectfully submitted, Darlene Ghavimi



Darlene F. Ghavimi Partner K&L Gates LLP 2801 Via Fortuna Suite 650 Austin, Texas 78746-7568 Phone: 512-482-6919 Fax: 512-482-6859 darlene.ghavimi@klgates.com www.klgates.com

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of K&L Gates LLP. The contents may be privileged and confidential and are intended for the use of the intended addressee(s) only. If you are not an intended addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact me at <u>Darlene.Ghavimi@klgates.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.