UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

CPC PATENT TECHNOLOGIES PTY, LTD.,
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2022-00600
U.S. Patent No. 8,620,039

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,620,039

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1
II. SUMMARY OF THE '039 PATENT 1
A. Description of the '039 Patent 1
B. Summary of Unpatentability of the Challenged Claims 1
C. Priority Date of the Challenged Claims 4
D. Level of Skill of a POSITA 4
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 4
A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) 4
B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested 5
C. Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3) 5
IV. SHOWING OF ANALOGOUS PRIOR ART 6
V. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-2 and 19-20 ARE OBVIOUS OVER *BRADFORD* IN VIEW OF *Foss* in further view of *YAMANE* 9
A. Claim 1 9
1. Claim 1(Pre): "A method of enrolling in a biometric card pointer system, the method comprising the steps of:" 9
2. Claim 1(a): "receiving card information" 14
3. Claim 1(b): "receiving the biometric signature" 16
4. Claim 1(c): "defining, dependent upon the received card information, a memory location in a local memory external to the card" 17
5. Claim 1(d): "determining if the defined memory location is unoccupied" 33
6. Claim 1(e): "storing, if the memory location is unoccupied, the biometric signature at the defined memory location." 39
B. Claim 2 41
1. Claim 2(Pre): "A method of obtaining verified access to a process, the method comprising the steps of:" 41
2. Claim 2(a): "storing a biometric signature according to the enrolment method of claim 1;" 41
3. Claim 2(b): "verifying the subsequently presented presentation of the card information and the biometric signature if the subsequently presented biometric signature matches the biometric signature at the memory location, in said local memory, defined by the subsequently presented card information." 42
C. Claim 19 44

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. Claim 19(Pre): "A non-transitory computer readable medium having recorded thereon a computer program for directing a processor to execute a method of enrolling in a biometric card pointer system, the program comprising" 44
2. Claim 19(a): "code for receiving card information" 46
3. Claim 19(b): "code for receiving the biometric signature" 46
4. Claim 19(c): "code for defining, dependent upon the received card information, a memory location in a local memory external to the card" 46
5. Claim 19(d): "code for determining if the defined memory location is unoccupied" .. 46
6. Claim 19(e): "code for storing, if the memory location is unoccupied, the biometric signature at the defined memory location." 47
D. Claim 20 47
1. Claim 20(Pre): "A non-transitory computer readable medium having recorded thereon a computer program for directing a processor to execute a method of obtaining verified access to a process, the program comprising:" 47
2. Claim 20(a): "code for storing a biometric signature according to the enrolment method of claim 19;" 47
3. Claim 20(b): "code for subsequently presenting card information and a biometric signature" 47
4. Claim 20(c): "code for verifying the subsequently presented card information if the subsequently presented biometric signature matches the biometric signature at the memory location, in said local memory, defined by the subsequently presented card information" . 48
VI. DISCRETIONARY CONSIDERATIONS 48
A. The *Fintiv* Factors Favor Institution 48
1. Stay 48
2. Proximity of the Court's Trial Date 48
3. Investment in Parallel Proceeding 52
4. Overlap 52
5. Same Party 53
6. Other Circumstances 53
B. The *Fintiv* Framework Should Be Overturned 54
1. The Fintiv Framework Exceeds the Director's Authority 54
2. The Fintiv Framework Is Arbitrary and Capricious 54
3. The Fintiv Framework Was Impermissibly Adopted Without Notice-and-Comment Rulemaking 55
VII. CONCLUSION 55
VIII. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1) 57
A. Real Party-In-Interest 57

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

B. Related Matters 57
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel 57
A method of enrolling in a biometric card pointer system, the method comprising the steps of: 59

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) . *passim*

Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Ramot at Tel Aviv Univ. Ltd., IPR2020-00122, Paper 15 (PTAB May 15, 2020) 53

DISH Network L.L.C. v. Broadband iTV, Inc., IPR2020-01280, Paper 17 (PTAB Feb. 4, 2021) 49

Horsehead Resource Dev. Co. v. Browner, 16 F.3d 1246 (D.C. Cir. 1994) 54

In re Apple Inc., No 20-135, slip op. (Fed. Cir. Nov. 9, 2020) 49

Kisor v. Wilkie, 139 S. Ct. 2400 (2019).....55

NHK Spring Co., Ltd., v. Intri-Plex Technologies, Inc., IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2018).....48, 50

Petrella v. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc., 572 U.S. 663 (2014) 54

Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (*en banc*).....5

Port of Seattle v. FERC, 499 F.3d 1016 (9th Cir. 2007) 55

Sand Revolution II, LLC v. Continental Intermodal Group-Trucking LLC, IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (PTAB Jun. 16, 2020).....48

Shenzhen Carku Tech. Co., Ltd. v. The Noco Co., IPR2020-00944, Paper 20 (PTAB Nov. 12, 2020).....49

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102 .....6, 7, 8
35 U.S.C. § 314 48
35 U.S.C. § 316 .....50, 55

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.100.....5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....4, 5
37 C.F.R. § 42.105.....64
37 C.F.R. § 42.24.....63
37 C.F.R. § 42.6.....64
37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....57, 63

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.