

Case IPR2022-00545  
Patent 9,689,161

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CHANEL, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MOLO DESIGN, LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2022-00545  
Patent 9,689,161

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	BACKGROUND OF RELATED LITIGATION.....	2
III.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE PETITIONER FAILS TO IDENTIFY THE GROUNDS FOR ITS CHALLENGE WITH SUFFICIENT PARTICULARITY .....	5
IV.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN TO ESTABLISH HOW THE CLAIMS SHOULD BE CONSTRUED.....	12
A.	Petitioner’s Advancement of Claim Construction Positions That It Contends are Legally Erroneous Fails to Meet its Burden.....	12
1.	Petitioner’s Inconsistent and Conflicting Positions For “supports” and “self-supporting” .....	13
2.	Petitioner’s Inconsistent Construction for “flaccid” .....	15
3.	Petitioner’s Bases for Alleging Inconsistent Positions Have No Merit .....	17
B.	Petitioner’s Failure to Identify Its Inconsistent Claim Constructions is a Breach of the Duty of Candor .....	20
V.	THE BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION BECAUSE THE PETITION HAS NOT SHOWN A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS IN VIEW OF THE SOFTHOUSING REFERENCES .....	23
A.	Overview of the <i>SoftHousing</i> and <i>SoftWall</i> References.....	23
1.	<i>SoftHousing I</i> (Exh. 1005) .....	23
2.	<i>SoftHousing II</i> (Exh. 1006).....	25
3.	<i>SoftHousing III</i> (Exh. 1007).....	27

4.	<i>SoftWall</i> (Exh. 1028).....	27
B.	The Subject Matter of Each <i>SoftHousing</i> Reference and the <i>SoftWall</i> Reference Was Not Only Before the Examiner, but Does Not Disclose the Claimed Inventions .....	28
C.	<i>MacAllen 2008</i> Does Not Teach or Describe the Claimed Inventions .....	38
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	39

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Adaptics Ltd. v. Perfect Co.</i> , IPR2018-01596, Paper No. 20 (PTAB Mar. 6, 2019) .....	6, 10
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.</i> , 314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	17, 20
<i>Edwards LifeSciences Corp. v. Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01304, Paper No. 8 (PTAB Oct. 25, 2017) .....	11
<i>EnergySource Minerals, LLC v. TerraLithium LLC</i> , IPR2019-01606, Paper No. 7 (PTAB May 4, 2020) .....	6, 10
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp.</i> , 599 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	16
<i>Epsilon Data Mgmt., LLC v. Umbanet, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01743, Paper No. 20 (PTAB Apr. 30, 2018).....	20
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. Sound View Innovations, LLC</i> , IPR2017-00998 .....	15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 23
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	5
<i>Hunting Titan, Inc. v. DynaEnergetics Europe GmbH</i> , PGR2020-00080, Paper No. 7 (PTAB Feb. 12, 2021) .....	6, 7, 10
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	5
<i>InVue Security Prods., Inc. v. Mobile Tech., Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00078, Paper No. 7 (PTAB May 1, 2019) .....	10, 11
<i>ipDataTel, LLC et al. v. ICN Acquisition</i> , IPR2018-01822, Paper No. 19 (PTAB Apr. 22, 2019).....	15, 16, 18, 21

Case IPR2022-00545  
Patent 9,689,161

*Kiosoft Techs., LLC v. PayRange, Inc.*,  
IPR2021-00086, Paper No. 12 (PTAB March 22, 2021) .... 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21

*L & P Property Mgmt. Co. v. Remacro Mach. & Tech. (Wujiang) Co., Ltd.*,  
IPR2019-00255, Paper No. 15 (PTAB June 18, 2019) .....20

*Neil Ziegmann, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens*,  
IPR2015-01860, Paper No. 13 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017).....33, 34

*OrthoPediatrics Corp. v. K2M, Inc.*,  
IPR2018-01546, Paper No. 10 (PTAB Feb. 14, 2019).....14, 16, 18, 21

*Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc. v. Prisia Eng'g Corp.*,  
948 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2020) ..... 16

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....5, 10

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.51 (b)(iii) .....20

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)..... 12, 13, 16, 19, 21, 22

77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,763 (Aug. 14, 2012) .....6

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.