UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CHANEL, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

MOLO DESIGN, LTD.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-00543
U.S. Patent 9,689,161

PATENT OWNER MOLO DESIGN LTD.'S RESPONSE

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 10
II. BACKGROUND 12
A. Background 12
III. OVERVIEW OF THE '161 PATENT 15
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 17
A. Petitioner's Advancement of Claim Constructions Positions that it Contends are Legally Erroneous Fails to Meet its Burden 17
B. The Board's Guidance on "Freestanding" 19
V. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT INVALID 20
A. None of the References Cited for Ground 1 Teach the Claimed "extensible wall" that forms "at least a substantially straight freestanding wall configuration, whilst maintaining its vertical extent" 22
B. None of the References Cited for Ground 2 Render Obvious the Claimed "extensible wall" that forms "at least a substantially straight freestanding wall configuration, whilst maintaining its vertical extent" 26
1. Petitioner's obviousness conclusions are not based on images from the SoftHousing references and are not representative of the conclusions of a POSITA 28
2. A POSITA Would Not Interpret The Figures in The '161 Patent as Supporting Petitioner's position 33
3. Petitioner provides no evidence or reasoning for modifying the SoftHousing references 34
4. The disclosure of fastenings in SoftHousing III do not support Petitioner's alleged interpretation of the SoftHousing references by a POSITA 35
C. None of the References Cited for Ground 2 Render Obvious Claim 2 37

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. Petitioner's obviousness conclusions are not based on images from the SoftHousing references and are not representative of the conclusions of a POSITA.....37

D. None of the References Cited for Ground 2 Render Obvious Dependent Claim 4.....38

E. None of the References Cited for Ground 2 Render Obvious Dependent Claims 3, 5-10, 12, 14, and 18.....41

F. None of the References Cited for Ground 3 teach the Claimed "extensible wall" that forms "at least a substantially straight freestanding wall configuration, whilst maintaining its vertical extent".....42

G. None of the References Cited for Ground 4 Render Obvious the Claimed ""extensible wall" that forms "at least a substantially straight freestanding wall configuration, whilst maintaining its vertical extent".....44

H. None of the References Cited for Ground 4 Render Obvious Independent Claim 2.....46

I. None of the References Cited for Ground 4 Render Obvious Dependent Claim 4.....47

J. None of the References Cited for Ground 4 Render Obvious Dependent Claims 3, 5-10, 12, 14, and 18.....47

K. None of the References Cited for Ground 5 Render Obvious the Claimed "a longitudinally flexible and extensible wall " having "at least two vertical supports …".....47

L. None of the References Cited for Ground 5 Render Obvious Dependent Claims 20-21.....53

M. None of the References Cited for Ground 5 Render Obvious the Claimed "at least two articles … stacked vertically on top of each other to form a stack" and each article having "at least two vertical support structures" in Claims 23 and 27.....54

N. None of the References Cited for Ground 5 Render Obvious Dependent Claims 24-26.....58

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

VI. THERE ARE STRONG OBJECTIVE INDICA OF NONOBVIOUSNESS THAT DEMONSTRATE THE PATENTABILITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS 58

A. Softwall Products Practice The Claims 59

B. The Industry Praised the Softwall product, which resides in the Museum of Modern Art (MOMA) 63

C. Softwall Products Have Achieved Commercial Success 65

D. Copying of Softwall Products by Petitioner and Others 69

E. The Claimed Invention Fulfilled a Long-Felt Need 71

VII. CONCLUSION 73

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.,
314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....19

Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.,
839 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....61, 63, 69

In re Aslanian,
590 F.2d 911 (CCPA 1979).....28

Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek, LLC,
805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....28, 45

Cable Elec. Prods., Inc. v. Genmark, Inc.,
770 F.2d 1015 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....67

In re Chu,
66 F.3d 292 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....40

Crocs, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n,
598 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....63, 68

Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing Ltd.,
851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....63

Diamond Rubber Co. v. Consol. Tire Co.,
220 U.S. 428 (1911).....67

Dominion Dealer Sols., LLC v. AutoAlert, Inc.,
IPR2014-00684, 2014 WL 5035359 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 6, 2014).....32

Finisar Corp. v. Direct TV Group, Inc,
523 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....23

Geo. M. Martin Co. v. Alliance Mach. Sys. Intern. LLC,
618 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....61

In re GPAC Inc.,
57 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....63, 68

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.