

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON,  
Patent Owner

---

*Inter Partes* Review Case No. IPR2022-00464  
U.S. Patent No. 10,193,600

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,193,600**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING ..... 1

III. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED..... 2

    A. Prior Art..... 2

    B. Relief Requested ..... 2

IV. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY ..... 3

V. THE '600 PATENT .....11

    A. Claims.....12

    B. Summary of the Specification .....12

    C. Summary of the Prosecution History.....15

    D. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....15

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....16

VII. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART .....16

    A. Novlan .....16

    B. 36.213 .....19

VIII. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION .....21

    A. Novlan, Or Alternatively Novlan In View Of 36.213, Renders Obvious All Challenged Claims .....21

        1. Independent Claim 1 .....21

        2. Dependent Claim 2.....33

        3. Dependent Claim 3.....34

        4. Dependent Claim 4.....35

        5. Dependent Claim 5.....38

        6. Dependent Claim 6.....39

        7. Dependent Claim 7.....43

        8. Independent Claim 8 .....45

        9. Dependent Claim 9.....48

        10. Dependent Claim 10.....49

        11. Dependent Claim 11.....49

        12. Dependent Claim 12.....49

        13. Dependent Claim 13.....50

        14. Dependent Claim 14.....50

15. Independent Claim 15 .....	51
16. Dependent Claim 16 .....	53
17. Dependent Claim 17 .....	53
18. Dependent Claim 18 .....	54
19. Dependent Claim 19 .....	54
20. Dependent Claim 20 .....	54
21. Dependent Claim 21 .....	55
22. Independent Claim 22 .....	55
23. Dependent Claim 23 .....	58
24. Dependent Claim 24 .....	58
25. Dependent Claim 25 .....	59
26. Dependent Claim 26 .....	59
27. Dependent Claim 27 .....	59
28. Dependent Claim 28 .....	60
IX. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS .....	60
X. PTAB DISCRETION SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION.....	61
A. The Advanced Bionics Test Favors Institution—§ 325(d).....	61
B. The General Plastic Factors Favor Institution.....	63
XI. CONCLUSION .....	63
XII. MANDATORY NOTICES .....	65
A. Real Party-In Interest .....	65
B. Related Matters .....	65
C. Counsel and Service Information .....	65
D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4): Service Information.....	66

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 10,193,600 (“the ’600 Patent”)
<b>1002</b>	Certified File History of U.S. Patent No. 10,193,600
<b>1003</b>	Declaration of Dr. Apostolos K. Kakaes for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,193,600
<b>1004</b>	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Apostolos K. Kakaes
<b>1005</b>	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2014/0016549 (“Novlan”)
<b>1006</b>	3GPP TS 36.213, v12.3.0 (“36.213”)
<b>1007</b>	3GPP TS 36.213, v10.1.0
<b>1008</b>	U.S. Patent No. 8,891,676
<b>1009</b>	Declaration of Friedhelm Rodermund in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,193,600
<b>1010</b>	U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/103,101 (“the ’600 Patent Provisional”)
<b>1011</b>	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2013/0163687 (“Jing”)
<b>1012</b>	U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/670,936 (the “Novlan Provisional”)
<b>1013</b>	Dahlman <i>et al.</i> , 4G – LTE / LTE-Advanced for Mobile Broadband (Academic Press 2011) (“Dahlman”)
<b>1014</b>	Declaration of James L. Mullins in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,193,600
<b>1015</b>	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2008/0051091
<b>1016</b>	Sesia, <i>et al.</i> , LTE - The UMTS Long Term Evolution From Theory to Practice (Wiley 2d. ed. 2011) (“Sesia”)
<b>1017</b>	Declaration of Jacob Robert Munford in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,193,600

Petitioner Apple Inc. (“Apple” or “Petitioner”) requests inter partes review (“IPR”) of claims 1–28 (the “Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 10,193,600 (Ex. 1001, “the ’600 Patent”).

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

The ’600 Patent is directed towards methods and apparatuses for “codebook subset restriction,” a well-known prior art technique used to assist in forming and directing antenna beams transmitted from a base station to a user device in a wireless communication network. *See* Ex. 1001 at 1:13–18. The purported novelty of the ’600 Patent is applying the conventional codebook subset restriction technique in a manner that is “rank-agnostic” (*See* Ex. 1002 at 344), meaning that the technique is applied without regard to the number of beams of information the base station targets at the user device. This Petition demonstrates, however, that applying the admittedly conventional technique of codebook subset restriction in a manner that is rank-agnostic was obvious at the time of the alleged invention. Petitioner therefore respectfully requests that the Challenged Claims be cancelled as invalid.

## **II. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING**

Petitioner certifies pursuant to Rule 42.104(a) that the ’600 Patent is available for IPR and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting an IPR of the Challenged Claims on the grounds identified in this Petition.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.