

Filed on Behalf of: Cisco Systems, Inc.  
Apple Inc.  
Hewlett-Packard Enterprise Co.  
Aruba Networks, LLC

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,  
APPLE INC.,  
HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE CO.,  
ARUBA NETWORKS, LLC

Petitioners,

- vs. -

BILLJCO, LLC,

Patent Owner

---

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,292,011

Case No.: IPR2022-00427

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	3
	A. Real Party-in-Interest .....	3
	B. Related Matters .....	3
	C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information .....	3
II.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	5
III.	REQUESTED RELIEF .....	5
IV.	REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF .....	5
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '011 PATENT .....	6
	A. Summary of the '011 Patent.....	6
	B. Prosecution History .....	10
	C. Priority Date .....	10
VI.	STATUTORY GROUNDS FOR CHALLENGES.....	11
VII.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	12
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	12
IX.	STATE OF THE ART PRIOR TO THE '011 PATENT.....	13
	A. Device-Locating Concepts, Including Periodically Beaconsing Data to Locate Mobile Devices, Were Well-Known Long Before the '011 Patent.....	13
	B. Ribaudó .....	14
	C. Lorincz .....	17
	D. Evans .....	19
	E. Wrappe .....	21

X.	GROUND 1: Claims 1-3, 9, 11-13 and 19-20 are Unpatentable AS OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF RIBAUDO and LORINCZ.....	22
A.	Motivation to Combine Ribaudo and Lorincz .....	22
B.	Claims 1, 11, and 20.....	25
C.	Claims 2 and 12.....	52
D.	Claims 3 and 13.....	53
E.	Claims 9 and 19.....	54
XI.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 1-3, 9, 11-13 AND 19-20 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF RIBAUDO AND WRAPPE...55	
A.	Claims 1, 11 and 20.....	55
B.	Claims 2-3, 9, 12-13 and 19.....	58
XII.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1-3, 9, 11-13 AND 19-20 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF RIBAUDO, LORINCZ AND EVANS. ....	58
A.	Claims 1, 11 and 20.....	58
B.	Claims 2-3, 9, 12-13 and 19.....	61
XIII.	GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1-3, 9, 11-13 AND 19-20 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF RIBAUDO, WRAPPE AND EVANS. ....	61
A.	Claims 1, 11 and 20.....	61
B.	Claims 2-3, 9, 12-13 and 19.....	63
XIV.	OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NONOBVIOUSNESS .....	63
XV.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL UNDER § 325(D) OR § 314 IS NOT WARRANTED .....	64
XVI.	CONCLUSION.....	70

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , 979 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2020) .....	66
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB March 20, 2020) .....	1, 65, 67
<i>Apple Inc. v. Maxell, Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00204, Paper 11 (PTAB June 19, 2020) .....	69, 70
<i>BillJCo, LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:21-cv-528 .....	1, 2, 3
<i>BillJCo, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:21-cv-181 .....	1, 2, 3
<i>BillJCo, LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Enterprise Co. and Aruba Networks</i> , Case No. 2:21-cv-183, Docket Control Order, Dkt. No. 44 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 25, 2021) .....	1, 2, 3
<i>Dish Network LLC v. Broadband iTV, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-01280, Paper 17 (PTAB Feb. 4, 2021).....	66
<i>Dish Network LLC v. Broadband iTV, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-01359, Paper 15 (PTAB Feb. 12, 2021).....	65
<i>Fintiv, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 6:21-CV-00926-ADA, Dkt. 412, Order (W.D. Tex. Oct. 4, 2021).....	67
<i>Fintiv, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:21-cv-926-ADA, Order (Oct. 4, 2021) .....	2, 67
<i>Mylan Pharma. Inc. v. Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GMBH</i> , IPR2018-01680, Paper 22 (PTAB Apr. 3, 2019) .....	66
<i>Petroleum Geo-Services Inc. v. WesternGeco LLC</i> , IPR2014-01478, Paper 18 (PTAB Mar. 17, 2015).....	63

<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (en banc) .....	13
<i>Sand Revolution II LLC v. Continental Intermodal Group-Trucking LLC</i> , IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (PTAB June 16, 2020) .....	65, 66, 69, 70
<i>Sega of Am., Inc. v. Uniloc USA, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01453, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 10, 2015) .....	63
<i>WAG Acquisition, LLC v. WebPower, Inc.</i> , 781 Fed. Appx. 1007 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	35
<b>Statutes</b>	
28 U.S.C. § 1404 .....	2
35 U.S.C. § 102 .....	10
35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....	11, 12
35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	11, 12
35 U.S.C. § 282(b) .....	12
35 U.S.C. §314(a) .....	64
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2).....	69
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11).....	65
35 U.S.C. §325(d) .....	64
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8 .....	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....	12, 13
83 Fed. Reg. 51340, Vol. 83, No. 197 (Oct. 11, 2018).....	13
IPR2016-01357 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017).....	64

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.