

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

BILLJCO, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-528-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

APPLE INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C.
§ 1404(a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTS	2
A. Plaintiff BillJCo	2
B. Defendant Apple	2
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	3
IV. THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA IS CLEARLY THE MORE CONVENIENT VENUE FOR THIS CASE.	5
A. This Case Could Have Been Brought In NDCA.	5
B. The Private Interest Factors Favor Transfer to NDCA.....	5
1. The Relative Ease Of Access To Sources Of Proof Strongly Favors Transfer.	5
2. The Availability Of Compulsory Process Is, At Worst, Neutral.	8
3. The Attendance Of Willing Witnesses Strongly Favors Transfer.	8
4. The “All Other Practical Problems” Factor Is Neutral Or Favors Transfer.	11
C. The Public Interest Factors Favor Transfer To NDCA.....	12
1. The Administrative Difficulties Flowing From Court Congestion Factor Favors Transfer To NDCA.	12
2. The Local Interest Factor Strongly Favors Transfer To NDCA.	13
3. Familiarity With The Governing Law And Conflicts Of Law Are Neutral Factors.....	14
V. CONCLUSION.....	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>10Tales, Inc. v. TikTok, Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-CV-00810-ADA, Dkt. No. 88 (W.D. Tex. May 21, 2021).....	1, 7, 8, 14
<i>In re Acer Am. Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1252 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	9
<i>In re Adobe Inc.</i> , 823 Fed.Appx. 929 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	13
<i>Aguilar-Ayala v. Ruiz</i> , 973 F.2d 411 (5th Cir. 1992)	8
<i>In re Apple Inc.</i> , 979 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	5, 12
<i>In re: Apple Inc.</i> , No. 2020-127, 2020 WL 3249953 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	4, 8
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 581 F. App'x 886 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	4, 10
<i>Auto-Dril, Inc. v. Nat'l Oilwell Varco, L.P.</i> , No. 6:15-cv-00091, 2016 WL 6909479 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 28, 2016).....	4, 9
<i>CloudofChange, LLC v. NCR Corp.</i> , No. 6:19-cv-00513, 2020 WL 6439178 (W.D. Tex. Mar. 17, 2020).....	5
<i>Collaborative Agreements, LLC. v. Adobe Sys. Inc.</i> , No. 1-14-CV-356, 2015 WL10818739 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 21, 2015)	6
<i>Datascape, Ltd. v. Dell Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 6:19-cv-00129-ADA, 2019 WL 4254069 (W.D. Tex. June 7, 2019).....	10, 13
<i>Flexiworld Techs., Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-00553-ADA, Dkt. No. 101 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2021).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Gemalto S.A. v. CPI Card Grp. Inc.</i> , No. 15-CA-0910, 2015 WL 10818740 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 16, 2015)	8
<i>In re Genentech, Inc.</i> 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	<i>passim</i>

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>In re Google Inc.</i> , No. 2017-107, 2017 WL 977038 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 23, 2017)	9
<i>In re Hoffman-La Roche, Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	8, 13
<i>In re HP Inc.</i> , No. 2018-149, 2018 WL 4692486 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 25, 2018)	5, 10
<i>InfoGation Corp. v. Google LLC</i> , No. 6:20-CV-00366-ADA, Dkt. No. 65 (W.D. Tex. April 29, 2021)	1, 2, 10
<i>MasterObjects, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-00087-ADA, Dkt. No. 86 (W.D. Tex. July 13, 2021).....	10
<i>In re Nintendo Co., Ltd.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	4
<i>Peak Completion Techs., Inc. v. I-TEC Well Solutions, LLC</i> , No. A-13-cv-086-LY, 2013 WL 12121002 (W.D. Tex. June 26, 2013)	10
<i>Polaris Innovations Ltd. v. Dell, Inc.</i> , No. 16-CV-451, 2016 WL 7077069 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 5, 2016)	6, 10
<i>Ret. Sys. ex rel. BP P.L.C. v. Hayward</i> , 508 F. App'x 293 (5th Cir. 2013)	6
<i>In re Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> , 2 F.4th 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	11, 13
<i>In re Toyota Motor Corp.</i> , 747 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	4
<i>In re TS Tech USA Corp.</i> , 551 F.3d. 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	5, 10, 14
<i>In re Uber Techs.</i> , 852 Fed.Appx. 542 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	11
<i>Uniloc USA Inc. v. Box, Inc.</i> , No. 1:17-CV-754-LY, 2018 WL 2729202 (W.D. Tex. June 6, 2018).....	6, 10, 11
<i>Via Vadis, LLC v. Netgear, Inc.</i> , No. 14-cv-809, 2015 WL 10818675 (W.D. Tex. July 30, 2015).....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>In re Volkswagen AG</i> , 371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004)	4, 5, 9
<i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)	<i>passim</i>
<i>XY, LLC v. Trans Ova Genetics, LC</i> , No. 16-ca-00447, 2017 WL 5505340 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 5, 2017)	6
Statutes	
28 U.S.C. § 1400(b)	5
Other Authorities	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(1)(A), (B)	8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.