

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

RFCYBER CORP.,

Patent Owner.

Patent No. 9,189,787

Filing Date: May 28, 2013

Issue Date: November 17, 2015

Inventors: Liang Seng Koh, Futong Cho, Hsin Pan, and Fuliang Cho

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CONDUCTING  
E-COMMENCE AND M-COMMENCE

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

Case No. IPR2022-00412

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. THE '787 PATENT .....	3
III. THE ALLEGED PRIOR ART .....	7
A. Dua (U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2006/0165060) .....	7
B. Philips (P5CT072 Secure Dual Interface PKI Smart Card Controller Short Form Specification).....	9
C. GlobalPlatform .....	9
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	9
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	9
VI. THE BOARD HAS TERMINATED THE SAMSUNG IPR THUS THERE IS NO INSTITUTED PROCEEDING FOR APPLE TO JOIN.....	10
VII. PETITIONER HAS NOT SHOWN A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS AS TO ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM.....	10
A. Requirements for Showing Obviousness Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	10
B. Apple Fails to Show That the Limitation “a second interface configured to perform mobile commerce with a payment server via an application against the fund stored in the emulator” as Required by Claims 1-10 Would Be Obvious.....	11
C. Apple Fails to Show That the Limitation “performing mobile commerce via a second interface with a payment server via an application against the fund stored in the emulator,” as Required by Claims 11-19 Would Be Obvious .....	13

D.	Apple Fails to Show That the Limitations “a personalization process built on a first security channel so that the emulator is set to store a set of keys for subsequent data access authentication and the e-purse applet is configured to conduct a transaction with a network server over a second security channel”/“personalizing the emulator and the e-purse applet via a personalization process built on a first security channel so that the emulator is set to store a set of keys for subsequent data access authentication and the e-purse applet is configured to conduct a transaction with a network server over a second security channel” as required by all Challenged Claims Would Be Obvious .....	14
1.	Dua in View of Philips Does Not Disclose or Render Obvious the Limitation .....	15
2.	Dua in View of GlobalPlatform and Philips Does Not Render this Limitation Obvious Because a POSA Would Not Combine Dua with Global Platform .....	16
VIII.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED IN THE DISCRETION OF THE DIRECTOR UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(A) .....	21
A.	No Stay of the Parallel District Court Litigation .....	22
B.	The Board’s Written Decision Deadline Will Come Long After the Trial Date.....	23
C.	Significant Investment by the Time of Institution Favors Discretionary Denial.....	24
D.	The District Court Litigation Involves the Same Claims and the Same Arguments .....	25
E.	The Parallel District Court Litigation and the Petition Involve the Same Parties .....	26
F.	Other Circumstances Favor Denial of Institution .....	26
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	26

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020) .....	2, 21, 25
<i>Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	18
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Ramot at Tel Aviv Univ. Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00122, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. May 15, 2020) .....	23
<i>Gen. Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017).....	22
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	10
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	11
<i>LG Elecs., Inc. v. Bell N. Research, LLC</i> , IPR2020-00108, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. May 20, 2020) .....	10
<i>Lyft, Inc. v. Quartz Auto Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2020-01450, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 4, 2021).....	19
<i>Next Caller Inc. v. TrustID, Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00961, -00962, Paper 10, at 8-16 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2019) .....	23
<i>NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 12, 2018).....	22
<i>Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	18
<i>Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC</i> , IPR2019-01218, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 7, 2020) .....	23

*Samsung Elecs. Co. v. RFCyber Corp.*,  
IPR2021-00980, Paper No. 18 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 11, 2022) .....10

*Supercell Oy v. Gree, Inc.*,  
IPR2020-00513, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. June 24, 2020) .....24

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 103 .....10

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) ..... 1

35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....2, 21, 22, 26

35 U.S.C. § 314(b) .....24

35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11).....23

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.