# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD ROKU, INC. Petitioner v. MEDIA CHAIN, LLC, Patent Owner

Case IPR2022-00394 U.S. Patent 10,885,154

# PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,885,154

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD" Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INT	NTRODUCTION1				
II.	IDE	IDENTIFICATION OF UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS 1				
III.	LEV	EL OF	ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	2		
IV.	OVE	RVIE	W OF THE '154 PATENT	3		
	A.	Discl	osure	3		
		1.	Distributing Digital Media Content Using Fingerprints	. 3		
		2.	Targeted Marketing	. 6		
	B.	Prose	ecution History	. 6		
	C.	Clain	ns	. 7		
	D.	Othe	r Patents	. 8		
V.	CLA	IM CO	ONSTRUCTION	. 8		
VI.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-2 AND 4-18 ARE OBVIOUS OVER BRANDSTETTER-LEVY					
	A.	Over	view of Brandstetter	. 9		
	B.	Overview of Levy10				
	C.	A PC	OSA Would Have Combined Brandstetter and Levy	.11		
		1.	A POSA would have been motivated to improve Brandstetter's platform with Levy's content identification and fingerprinting framework.			
		2.	A POSA would have known how to combine Brandstetter and Levy and would have had a reasonable expectation of success.			
	D.	Bran	dstetter-Levy Discloses Claim 1	.14		
		1.	[1P]	14		
		2.	[1A]: "a processor."	.15		
		3.	[1B]: "a memory."	16		
		4.	[1C]: "generate a first request"	16		
		5.	[1D]: "identify the first media content item by determining a first media fingerprint"	.17		
		6.	[1E]: "extract first user data specific to the user when the user accepts to stream the first media content item."	.20		



	7.	[1F]: "generate a second request"	.21
	8.	[1G]: "identify the second media content item by determining second media fingerprint"	
	9.	[1H]: "extract second user data specific to the user when the user declines to stream the second media content item."	.22
	10.	[1I]: "aggregate the extracted data into a first[/second] statistics record"	23
	11.	[1J]: "transmit to a database the first[/second] statistics record so that the summarized first and second user data is accessible to a third party online retailer"	
	12.	[1K]: "retrieving the statistics record with the identities of the first and second media content items"	.26
	13.	[1L]: "analyzing the retrieved statistics record to determine target demographic"	
	14.	[1M]: "providing the third party online retai[l]er the target demographic to market the media content item"	29
E.	Clain	n 2 (audiovisual works)	.30
F.	Clain	n 4 ("live version" and "studio version")	.30
G.		n 5 (first version "authorized for streaming" and second version athorized for streaming")	
H.	Clain	n 6 ("book in digital format")	.32
I.	Clain	n 7 ("geographic location")	.32
J.	Claim 8 (user data includes "number of times the user requested to evaluate streaming" content).		
K.		9 ("receive a license" and "prevent streaming when the est is declined by the licensing system").	33
L.	Clain	n 10 ("plurality of streaming parameters")	.34
M.	Clain	n 11 ("digital watermark")	.34
N.	Clain	n 12 ("digital watermark is metadata")	.35
O.	Clain	n 13 ("load a first digital media file for reproduction")	.36
P.		14 ("display query on the display asking the user whether the would like to stream")	
Q.	Clain	15 ("collect and transmit user identification data")	.40



	R.	Clain	n 16 ("transmit fingerprints for confirmation")	.41
	S.	Clain	n 17 ("collect payment").	.42
	T.	Clain	n 18 ("transmit statistics record in real time")	.43
VII.			2: CLAIM 3 IS OBVIOUS OVER BRANDSTETTER-LEVY-	
VIII.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1-2, 4-7, AND 9-18 ARE OBVIOUS OVER PELED-POU			
	A.	Over	view of Peled	.46
	B.	Overview of Pou		
	C.	A PO	SA Would Have Combined Peled and Pou	.47
		1.	A POSA would have been motivated to improve Peled's distribution of content by including Pou's licensing management and fingerprinting framework.	.47
		2.	A POSA would have known how to combine Peled and Pou and would have had a reasonable expectation of success	.48
	D.	Peled	l-Pou Discloses Claim 1	.49
		1.	[1P]	.49
		2.	[1A]: "a processor."	
		3.	[1B]: "a memory."	.51
		4.	[1C]: "generate a first request"	.51
		5.	[1D]: "identify the first media content item by determining a first media fingerprint"	52
		6.	[1E]: "extract first user data specific to the user when the user accepts to stream the first media content item."	55
		7.	[1F]: "generate a second request"	.56
		8.	[1G]: "identify the second media content item by determining second media fingerprint"	
		9.	[1H]: "extract second user data specific to the user when the user declines to stream the second media content item."	.57
		10.	[1I]: "aggregate the extracted data into a first[/second]	50



	11.	[1J]: "transmit to a database the first[/second] statistics record so that the summarized first and second user data is accessible to a third party online retailer"	.59
	12.	[1K]: "retrieving the statistics record with the identities of the first and second media content items"	61
	13.	[1L]: "analyzing the retrieved statistics record to determine target demographic"	
	14.	[1M]: "providing the third party online retai[l]er the target demographic to market the media content item"	.63
E.	Claim	2 (audiovisual works)	64
F.	Claim	4 ("live version" and "studio version").	64
G.		5 (first version "authorized for streaming" and second version thorized for streaming")	
H.	Claim	6 ("book in digital format")	65
I.	Claim	7 ("geographic location")	66
J.		9 ("receive a license" and "prevent streaming when the st is declined by the licensing system").	.66
K.	Claim	10 ("plurality of streaming parameters")	67
L.		11 ("digital watermark")	
M.	Claim	12 ("digital watermark is metadata")	68
N.	Claim	13 ("load a first digital media file for reproduction")	68
O.		14 ("display query on the display asking the user whether the would like to stream")	.69
P.	Claim	15 ("collect and transmit user identification data")	70
Q.	Claim	16 ("transmit fingerprints for confirmation")	70
R.	Claim	17 ("collect payment").	71
S.	Claim	18 ("transmit statistics record in real time")	72
GRO	UND 4	: CLAIM 3 IS OBVIOUS OVER PELED-POU-RASSOOL	72
		S: CLAIM 8 IS OBVIOUS OVER PELED-POU-	
		ETTER	
		RY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	
	BOAR PETIT	D SHOULD NOT EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION TO DENY	74



IX.

X.

XI.

XII.

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

