

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ROKU, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MEDIA CHAIN, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2021-00390  
U.S. Patent No. 9,898,590

---

**DECLARATION OF JOHN TINSMAN IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR  
*INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,898,590**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS .....	2
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED.....	9
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS .....	14
	A. Obviousness.....	14
	B. Level of Skill in the Art .....	16
	C. Motivation to Combine and Hindsight Bias .....	17
	D. Claim Construction .....	18
V.	BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY .....	19
	A. Licensing and Distribution of Digital Media.....	19
	1. E-Commerce and the Digital Revolution .....	19
	2. The Rise of Digital Rights Management Technology.....	20
	3. Cloud-Based Streaming and Licensing Models.....	23
	B. Digital Fingerprinting .....	24
	C. Targeted Marketing Based on User Demographics and Preferences.....	28
	1. Targeted Marketing Over the Internet .....	28
	2. Targeted Marketing Based on Users' Negative Preferences ....	32
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '590 PATENT .....	34
	A. Summary of the Disclosure .....	34
	1. Licensing and Distributing Digital Media Content .....	36
	2. Targeted Marketing.....	40
	B. Summary of the Claims .....	41
	1. Overview of the '590 patent Claims.....	41
	2. The '590 Patent Claims Relative to Other Claims in the Family.....	45
	C. Summary of the Prosecution History.....	47
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART .....	48

A.	Peled.....	48
B.	Brandstetter.....	56
C.	Pou .....	63
D.	Levy.....	68
E.	Vijay .....	75
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	78
IX.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, 19-21 AND 23 OF THE '590 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER PELED IN VIEW OF POU.....	78
A.	Overview of the Combination of Peled and Pou.....	79
1.	A POSA would have been motivated to combine Peled and Pou.....	79
2.	A POSA would have known how to combine Peled and Pou and would have had a reasonable expectation of success. ....	83
B.	Independent Claim 1 .....	85
1.	[1P] “A computer implemented method for providing a multimedia hardware device to generate an authorized reproduction of a media content item included in a digital media file, comprising:” .....	85
2.	[1A] “receiving a license request from a user requesting to engage in a license transaction to reproduce a media content item;” .....	91
3.	[1B] “evaluating the license transaction to determine whether the user has acquired a license to reproduce the media content item;” .....	96
4.	[1C] “after the evaluation of the license transaction, extracting from the license transaction user data that is specific to the user that is attempting to reproduce the media content item when the user acquires the license and when the user declines the license, wherein the user data includes demographic data associated with the user that enables a copyright owner of the media content item to gauge a demographic that acquired the license for the media content item of the copyright owner and a	

demographic that declined the license for the media content item of the copyright owner;”	100
(a) Peled discloses “after the evaluation of the license transaction, extracting from the license transaction user data that is specific to the user that is attempting to reproduce the media content item when the user acquires the license and when the user declines the license, wherein the user data includes demographic data associated with the user”	100
(b) Peled discloses “wherein the user data ... enables a copyright owner of the media content item to gauge a demographic that acquired the license for the media content item of the copyright owner and a demographic that declined the license for the media content item of the copyright owner”	109
5. [1D] “aggregating the user data into a statistics record for the license request when the user acquires the license and when the user declines the license, wherein the statistics record summarizes the user data associated with the license request;”	111
6. [1E] “storing in a license database the statistics record so that the user data is accessible to the copyright owner of the media content item; and”	113
7. [1F] “analyzing a plurality of statistics records aggregated from each license request for the media content item owned by the copyright owner to provide the copyright owner with the aggregated user data from each license request of the media content item.”	117
C. Independent Claim 7	118
D. Independent Claim 13	122
E. Independent Claim 19	125
F. Claims 2 and 14	131
G. Claims 3 and 15	134
H. Claims 4, 10, 16, and 21	137
I. Claims 6 and 23	141

*Declaration of John Tinsman  
U.S. Pat. No. 9,898,590*

J.	Claims 8 and 20 .....	142
K.	Claim 9 .....	145
L.	Claim 12 .....	147
X.	GROUND 2: CLAIM 18 OF THE '590 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER PELED IN VIEW OF POU AND BRANDSTETTER. ....	148
XI.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 5, 11, 17, AND 22 OF THE '590 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER PELED IN VIEW OF POU AND VIJAY. ....	149
XII.	GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, 18-21, AND 23 OF THE '590 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER BRANDSTETTER IN VIEW OF LEVY.....	155
A.	Overview of the Combination of Brandstetter and Levy .....	156
1.	A POSA would have been motivated to combine Brandstetter and Levy. ....	156
2.	A POSA would have known how to combine Brandstetter and Levy and would have had a reasonable expectation of success. ....	164
B.	Independent Claim 1 .....	166
1.	[1P]: “A computer implemented method for providing a multimedia hardware device to generate an authorized reproduction of a media content item included in a digital media file, comprising:” .....	166
2.	[1A] “receiving a license request from a user requesting to engage in a license transaction to reproduce a media content item;” .....	176
3.	[1B] “evaluating the license transaction to determine whether the user has acquired a license to reproduce the media content item;” .....	182
4.	[1C] “after the evaluation of the license transaction, extracting from the license transaction user data that is specific to the user that is attempting to reproduce the media content item when the user acquires the license and when the user declines the license, wherein the user data includes demographic data associated	

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.