

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ROKU, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MEDIA CHAIN, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-00389
U.S. Patent No. 9,715,581

**DECLARATION OF JOHN TINSMAN IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,715,581**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS	2
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED	9
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS	14
	A. Obviousness.....	14
	B. Level of Skill in the Art	16
	C. Motivation to Combine and Hindsight Bias	17
	D. Claim Construction	18
V.	BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY	19
	A. Licensing and Distribution of Digital Media.....	19
	1. E-Commerce and the Digital Revolution	19
	2. The Rise of Digital Rights Management Technology.....	20
	3. Cloud-Based Streaming and Licensing Models.....	23
	B. Digital Fingerprinting	25
	C. Targeted Marketing Based on User Demographics and Preferences	29
	1. Targeted Marketing Over the Internet.....	29
	2. Targeted Marketing Based on Users' Negative Preferences	33
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '581 patent	35
	A. Summary of the Disclosure	35
	1. Licensing and Distributing Digital Media Content	37
	2. Targeted Marketing.....	41
	B. Summary of the Claims	42
	1. Overview of the '581 Patent Claims	42
	2. The '581 patent Claims Relative to Other Claims in the Family	46
	C. Summary of the Prosecution History.....	48
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	49
	A. Peled.....	49

B.	Brandstetter.....	57
C.	Pou	64
D.	Levy.....	69
E.	Vijay.....	75
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	77
IX.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-21, 23, 25, 27, 29-30, AND 32 OF THE '581 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER PELED IN VIEW OF POU	77
A.	Overview of the Combination of Peled and Pou.....	78
1.	A POSA would have been motivated to combine Peled and Pou.	78
2.	A POSA would have known how to combine Peled and Pou and would have had a reasonable expectation of success.....	83
B.	Independent Claim 1	84
1.	[1P] “A computer implemented method for providing a multimedia hardware device to generate an authorized reproduction of a media content item included in a digital media file, comprising:”	84
2.	[1A] “loading a digital media file for reproduction, wherein the digital media file includes the media content item;”	91
3.	[1B] “analyzing the digital media file to identify digital media information associated with the media content item;”	97
4.	[1C] “receiving a license request from a user requesting to engage in a license transaction to reproduce the media content item;”	104
5.	[1D] “evaluating the license transaction to determine whether the user has acquired a license to reproduce the media content item;”	107
6.	[1E] “accessing a license database to determine whether the user is licensed to reproduce the media content item based on the digital media information;”	110
7.	[1F] “reproducing the media content item when the user is licensed to reproduce the media content item based on the digital media information;”	114
8.	[1G] “providing a licensing query to the user when the user is not licensed to reproduce the media content item to prompt the user to select to acquire a license to reproduce the media content item or to decline the license to reproduce the media content item;”	116

Declaration of John Tinsman
U.S. Pat. No. 9,715,581

9.	[1H] “after the evaluation of the license transaction, extracting from the license transaction user data that is specific to the user that is attempting to reproduce the media content item when the user acquires the license and when the user declines the license, wherein the user data includes demographic data associated with the user that enables a copyright owner of the media content item to gauge a demographic that acquired the license for the media content item of the copyright owner and a demographic that declined the license for [] the media content item of the copyright owner;”	120
a)	Peled discloses “after the evaluation of the license transaction, extracting from the license transaction user data that is specific to the user that is attempting to reproduce the media content item when the user acquires the license and when the user declines the license, wherein the user data includes demographic data associated with the user”	121
b)	Peled discloses “wherein the user data ... enables a copyright owner of the media content item to gauge a demographic that acquired the license for the media content item of the copyright owner and a demographic that declined the license for the media content item of the copyright owner”	124
10.	[1I] “aggregating the user data into a statistics record for the license request when the user acquires the license and when the user declines the license, wherein the statistics record summarizes the user data associated with the license request;”	127
11.	[1J] “storing in the license database the statistics record so that the user data is accessible to the copyright owner of the media content item; and”	129
12.	[1K] “analyzing a plurality of statistics records aggregated from each license request for the media content item owned by the copyright owner to provide the copyright owner with the aggregated user data from each license request of the media content item when the license is acquired by the user and when the license is declined by the user.”	132
C.	Independent Claim 6	133
D.	Independent Claim 11	138
E.	Independent Claim 16	142
F.	Claims 2 and 12	148

*Declaration of John Tinsman
U.S. Pat. No. 9,715,581*

G.	Claims 3 and 13	149
H.	Claims 4 and 14	151
I.	Claims 5 and 15	154
J.	Claims 7-8 and 17-18.....	157
K.	Claims 9 and 19	159
L.	Claims 10 and 20	162
M.	Claims 21, 23, 25, and 27.....	163
N.	Claims 29 and 32	168
O.	Claim 30	169
X.	GROUND 2: CLAIM 31 OF THE '581 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER PELED IN VIEW OF POU AND BRANDSTETTER	170
XI.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 22, 24, 26, AND 28 OF THE '581 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER PELED IN VIEW OF POU AND VIJAY	172
XII.	GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1-21, 23, 25, 27, AND 29-32 OF THE '581 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER BRANDSTETTER IN VIEW OF LEVY	177
A.	Overview of the Combination of Brandstetter and Levy	177
1.	A POSA would have been motivated to combine Brandstetter and Levy.	177
2.	A POSA would have known how to combine Brandstetter and Levy and would have had a reasonable expectation of success.....	185
B.	Independent Claim 1	187
1.	[1P]: “A computer implemented method for providing a multimedia hardware device to generate an authorized reproduction of a media content item included in a digital media file, comprising:”	187
2.	[1A]: “loading a digital media file for reproduction, wherein the digital media file includes the media content item;”	196
3.	[1B] “analyzing the digital media file to identify digital media information associated with the media content item;”	204
4.	[1C] “receiving a license request from a user requesting to engage in a license transaction to reproduce the media content item;”	211

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.