

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and GOOGLE LLC
Petitioner

v.

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD.
Patent Owner.

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,843,215**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8.....	1
III. FEE AUTHORIZATION	3
IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	3
V. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	3
VI. THE CHALLENGED PATENT	4
VII. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	6
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	7
IX. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS	7
A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19, and 21 are anticipated by Sakuma	7
1. Independent Claims 1 and 13.....	7
2. Dependent Claims 8, 9, 11, 17, 19, and 21	29
B. Ground 2: Claims 4, 5, 12, 18, and 22 are rendered obvious by Sakuma in view of Hiroki	35
1. The Combination of Sakuma and Hiroki Would Have Been Obvious.....	35
2. Dependent Claims 4, 5, 12, 18, and 22.....	38
C. Ground 3: Claims 1, 8-11, 13, 17, and 19-21 are rendered obvious by Sakuma in view of Suzuki.....	46
1. The Combination of Sakuma and Suzuki Would Have Been Obvious.....	46
2. Independent Claims 1 and 13.....	49
3. Dependent Claims 8, 11, 17, and 21	54
4. Dependent Claims 9 and 19	55
5. Dependent Claims 10 and 20	57

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
D. Ground 4: Claims 4, 5, 12, 18, and 22 are rendered obvious by Sakuma in view of Suzuki and Hiroki	58
1. The Combination of Sakuma, Suzuki, and Hiroki Would Have Been Obvious	58
2. Dependent Claims 4, 5, 12, 18, and 22	59
E. Ground 5: Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11-13, 17-19, 21, and 22 are anticipated by Hiroki	59
1. Independent Claims 1 and 13	59
2. Dependent Claims 4, 5, 8-12, and 17-22	80
F. Ground 6: Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11-13, 17-19, 21, and 22 are rendered obvious by Hiroki in view of Akiho	87
1. Independent Claims 1 and 13	87
2. Claims 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 17-19, 21, and 22	90
X. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT USE ITS DISCRETION TO DENY INSTITUTION UNDER <i>FINTIV</i>	91
XI. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION UNDER <i>GENERAL PLASTICS</i>	94
XII. CONCLUSION	96

LIST OF EXHIBITS¹

Ex-1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,843,215
Ex-1002	Declaration of Dr. Gary Woods
Ex-1003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Gary Woods
Ex-1004	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 9,843,215
Ex-1005	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2010/0007215 (“Sakuma”)
Ex-1006	U.S. Patent No. 9,515,513 (“Suzuki”)
Ex-1007	Certified Translation of Japanese Patent Publication No. 2008294347 (“Hiroki”)
Ex-1008	Japanese Patent Publication No. 2008294347 (“Hiroki”)
Ex-1009	U.S. Patent No. 8,934,836 (“Lefley”)
Ex-1010	U.S. Patent No. 8,922,162 (“Park”)
Ex-1011	Certified Translation of Japanese Patent Publication No. 2014011853 (“Koyanagi”)
Ex-1012	Japanese Patent Publication No. 2014011853 (“Koyanagi”)
Ex-1013	Certified Translation of Japanese Patent Publication No. 2013053029 (“Goto”)
Ex-1014	Japanese Patent Publication No. 2013053029 (“Goto”)
Ex-1015	U.S. Patent No. 5,756,162 (“Bae”)

¹ Four-digit pin citations that begin with 0 are to the branded numbers added by Samsung in the bottom right corner of the exhibits. All other pin citations are to original page, column, paragraph, and/or line numbers.

Ex-1016	U.S. Patent No. 7,070,624 (“Holmes, III”)
Ex-1017	European Patent Application Publication No. 2117017A1 (“Matsukawa”)
Ex-1018	U.S. Patent No. 5,449,419 (“Suzuki II”)
Ex-1019	U.S. Patent No. 8,297,518 (“Yamazaki”)
Ex-1020	U.S. Patent No. 6,371,380 (“Tanimura”)
Ex-1021	U.S. Patent No. 9,063,689 (“Suzuki III”)
Ex-1022	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0256468 (“Akiho”)
Ex-1023	U.S. Patent No. 6,517,005 (“Ayala”)
Ex-1024	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0011961 (“Uesaka”)
Ex-1025	The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (5 th ed.), 2011
Ex-1026	Google LLC’s Opposed Motion to Transfer Venue to the Northern District of California Under 28 U.S.C. 1404(a) [redacted], <i>Scramoge Technology Ltd. v. Google LLC</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00616 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2021), ECF No. 26.
Ex-1027	Standing Order Governing Proceedings – Patent Cases, <i>Scramoge Tech. Ltd. v. Samsung Elec. Co., Ltd.</i> , 6:21-cv-454-ADA (W.D. Tex. Oct. 8, 2021), ECF No. 36

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.