Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822 Paper 10 Date: May 17, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and GOOGLE LLC, Petitioner,

v.

SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD., Patent Owner.

> IPR2022-00385 Patent 9,843,215 B2

Before, JAMESON LEE, KARL D. EASTHOM, and BRIAN J. McNAMARA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

ORDER Conduct of Proceeding 37 C.F.R. § 42.5

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

On May 9, 2022, Patent Owner filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 8. Patent Owner asserts that the Petition should be discretionarily denied under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) by application of the factors set forth in *Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.*, IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 at 5–6 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020) (precedential). Prelim. Resp. 41–53. Patent Owner also asserts that the Petition should be discretionarily denied under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), as a "follow on" petition, by application of the factors set forth in *General Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha*, IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 at 16 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017) (precedential as to § II.B.4.i). *Id.* at 32–41.

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner is authorized to file a Preliminary Reply, limited to eight pages, to address the discretionary denial arguments of Patent Owner under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), divided into two parts, one pertaining to factors under "*Fintiv*," and the other pertaining to factors under "*Genral Plastic*," due within one week of the day of entry of this Order;¹ and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is authorized to file a Preliminary Sur-Reply, limited to eight pages, also divided into two parts, one pertaining to "*Fintiv*" factors and the other pertaining to "*General Plastic*" factors, due within one week of the filing of Petitioner's Preliminary Reply, which only responds to Petitioner's Preliminary Reply on the issue of discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), not to advance additional arguments not included in the Preliminary Response.

¹ In particular, we would like Petitioner to inform the Board what relationship exists between any of Petitioner's real parties in interest and Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00385 Patent 9,843,215 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

John Kappos Cameron W. Westin O'Melveny & Myers LLP jkappos@omm.com cwestin@omm.com

Naveen Modi Joseph E. Palys Phillip Citroën Paul M. Anderson Quadeer A. Ahmed Paul Hastings LLP PH-Google-Scramoge-IPR@paulhastings.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Bret Cooper Reza Mirzaie Russ, August & Kabat bcooper@raklaw.com rmirzaie@raklaw.com rak_scramoge@raklaw.com

DOCKET